Risk Management and Investment
Volume 3, Number 2, 2024

Financial Risk Management in Investment during the Era of Global Economic Uncertainty

Yoga Supriyatna[1]

[1] Universitas Mercu Buana, Jakarta, Indonesia

Abstract

Article history:
Received: July 9, 2024
Revised: August 25, 2024
Accepted: October 2, 2024
Published: December 30, 2024

Keywords:
Adaptation,
Economic Uncertainty,
Financial Resilience,
Investment,
Risk Management.

Identifier:
Zera Open
Page: 150-167
https://zeraopen.com/journal/rmi

This study aims to analyze the role of financial risk management in maintaining stability and strengthening investment resilience amid increasing global economic uncertainty and financial volatility. Employing a library research approach combined with content analysis, this study systematically reviews academic and empirical literature published over the past five years, focusing on the dynamics of financial risk, investment decision-making behavior, and the influence of digital transformation on modern risk management systems. The findings reveal that the effectiveness of financial risk management depends on the integration of advanced data analytics, organizational risk culture, and adaptive economic policies that can anticipate and respond to global market fluctuations. Furthermore, digital transformation through the application of artificial intelligence, machine learning, and big data analytics has significantly improved the accuracy of market risk detection, modeling, and early-warning systems. Overall, this study highlights that modern financial risk management functions not only as a loss prevention mechanism but also as a strategic approach to enhance resilience and ensure the long-term sustainability of investment systems.

1. Introduction

Risk management is one of the main pillars in maintaining financial system stability and investment sustainability amid global economic uncertainty. In the past two decades, the dynamics of the international economy have experienced significant shocks due to the global financial crisis, the COVID-19 pandemic, widespread inflation, and geopolitical tensions that have affected capital flows and investor confidence. This condition confirms that financial institutions and capital market participants need to have adaptive skills in managing risk in order to be able to maintain asset value, profitability, and public trust in the financial system. According to Halida (2021), the success of risk management greatly determines the ability of the economic sector to withstand pressure due to the crisis and ensure the sustainability of long-term economic growth. Therefore, risk management is no longer a complementary function, but a strategic aspect inherent in every financial and investment decision.

Global economic uncertainty not only affects the stability of investment portfolios, but also changes the way financial institutions make decisions. Various studies show that economic policy uncertainty (EPU) is closely related to increased market risk and decreased investment activity (Zhang et al., 2021). Fluctuations in asset prices, changes in benchmark interest rates, and disruptions in the global supply chain make long-term investment planning increasingly complex. In this context, the implementation of a more integrative and data-based risk management system is essential. The use of big data-based financial analytics helps financial institutions

detect potential risks early, so that they can take preventive steps before they have a significant impact on investment performance (Zhao et al., 2022).

The development of financial technology (fintech) has also brought fundamental changes to the way risks are identified, analyzed, and mitigated. Gusev, Demidova, and Novikova (2022) affirm that modern financial risk assessment systems must be dynamic, flexible, and able to adapt to rapid changes in the digital sector. The adaptive risk management approach they put forward shows the importance of integrating data-driven predictive analytics and conventional risk management strategies to produce more accurate policies. On the other hand, Kaveh-Yazdy and Zarifzadeh (2023) highlight that financial market volatility is now caused not only by fundamental economic factors, but also by psychological and digital factors such as investor perception, automated trading algorithms, and regulatory uncertainty. Therefore, financial institutions are required to continue to update their risk models to remain relevant to the dynamics of the digital economy.

In addition to risks stemming from technological and market changes, global monetary and fiscal policy uncertainty is also a source of systemic risk that affects investment decision-making behavior. Wang and Zhu (2022) revealed that increased policy uncertainty can reduce the level of corporate confidence in long-term investment expansion, especially in sectors that are highly sensitive to interest rates and exchange rates. When economic policies are inconsistent, market participants tend to be conservative and choose assets that are considered safer, such as government bonds or hedging commodities. However, Srivastava et al. (2023) found that financial institutions that have a mature and integrated risk management system

tend to be able to maintain their operational stability, even when in a volatile economic environment. This shows that institutional readiness is a key factor in managing macroeconomic volatility.

Furthermore, the concept of financial risk management is no longer limited to loss mitigation, but has developed into a strategic tool to create financial resilience. Roberts (2023) introduces the concept of the risk–reward–resilience framework, which is an approach that balances the potential benefits (rewards) and the threat of risks in the framework of long-term decision-making. This approach encourages financial institutions to not only focus on risk aversion, but also optimize the opportunities that arise from market uncertainty. In the post-pandemic context, this concept has become increasingly relevant as financial system stability now depends on the ability of investors and financial institutions to anticipate complex macroeconomic risks while maintaining investment sustainability.

Thus, this article focuses on the analysis of the role of financial risk management in supporting investment stability and resilience in an era of global economic uncertainty. The study integrates the latest empirical findings of the past five years to explain how modern risk management strategies play a role in strengthening the financial system, optimizing investment decision-making, and supporting sustainable economic development. This article confirms that risk management serves not only as a loss control instrument, but also as a strategic foundation for intelligent, adaptive and resilient investment decision-making to global economic turmoil.

2. Literature Review

2.1. The Concept and Evolution of Financial Risk Management

Financial risk management is a systematic process to identify, measure, and control uncertainties that can affect an organization's financial stability. In the last two decades, this concept has evolved from a reactive approach to a strategic approach oriented to a sustainability-oriented one. According to Gusev, Demidova, and Novikova (2022), modern risk management systems must be adaptive in nature, with the ability to analyze rapid changes in the financial environment through dynamic modeling. This approach emphasizes the importance of using technologies such as big data and machine learning in detecting complex risk patterns.

In addition, the concept of risk management now focuses not only on asset protection, but also on value creation through optimizing the relationship between risk and return (risk-return trade-off). Zhao et al. (2022) affirm that big data-driven risk analysis allows companies to simultaneously assess potential losses and investment opportunities. Thus, risk management serves as a mechanism to transform uncertainty into a measurable business strategy. Furthermore, Srivastava et al. (2023) highlighted that the effectiveness of risk management contributes directly to corporate financial stability, especially in the face of macroeconomic shocks. Therefore, understanding comprehensive risk management is key for financial institutions to maintain investment resilience amid global volatility.

2.2. Economic Uncertainty and Its Impact on Investment Decisions

Global economic policy uncertainty is the dominant factor that influences investor behavior and financial decision-making. A study by Zhang et al. (2021)

shows that fluctuations in fiscal and monetary policies cause companies to tend to delay investments due to increased market risks. This suggests that perceptions of macroeconomic risk can significantly change the structure of financial decisions. According to Tran (2019), economic policy uncertainty also has an impact on the cost of capital and investor confidence levels, thus demanding the strengthening of corporate risk management systems to maintain long-term investment stability.

In addition to policy factors, technological innovation also expands the spectrum of investment risks. Umar et al. (2021) emphasized that uncertainty now comes not only from economic policies, but also from digital risks such as data security, volatility of crypto assets, and reliance on market algorithms. In that context, companies must balance traditional risk mitigation strategies with technology-based predictive approaches. In conclusion, modern investment risk management must include a cross-disciplinary understanding that blends economic, financial, and technological aspects in order to be able to adapt to the ever-evolving complexity of global markets.

3. Method

This study uses a library research approach with the content analysis method as the main foundation in the study process. Literature research is defined as a systematic study that examines various books, scientific articles, and credible reference sources relevant to the research topic to gain conceptual understanding and up-to-date empirical findings. This approach is used because the topic of risk management in the context of finance and investment is theoretical and requires a

review of the development of concepts, models, and research results that have been carried out in the last five years period. Through this method, the researcher does not collect field data, but relies on analysis of literature that has been published in indexed journals, such as the Journal of Risk and Financial Management, the Journal of Corporate Finance, as well as reports from international institutions such as the IMF and OECD related to global economic uncertainty.

The research stage begins with the identification and selection process of literature using the main keywords such as financial risk management, investment risk, economic uncertainty, and resilience in finance. All articles used must meet the criteria for the year of publication in last five years, be sourced from Google Scholar, and have direct relevance to the context of financial risk management. After the selection stage, a thematic categorization process was carried out to group research based on the focus of the discussion, such as technology-based risk management, economic policy uncertainty, and investment risk mitigation strategies. This process helps to build a logical structure in analyzing the relationships between concepts and finding research gaps.

Content analysis was carried out by reading in depth each selected article to identify the patterns, main themes, and theoretical and practical contributions of each study. The findings of the analysis are then compared and synthesized to gain a comprehensive understanding of the dynamics of financial risk management post-pandemic and under conditions of global economic volatility. The validity of the data is maintained through the process of triangulating sources, namely by comparing findings between literature to ensure consistency and validity of

information. All analysis results are used as a basis for compiling scientific arguments in the results and discussion sections. Thus, this research method allows researchers to explore the conceptual relationship between financial risk, economic uncertainty, and sustainable investment strategies, while making a theoretical contribution to strengthening risk management practices in the modern economic era.

4. Results

The results of the literature review show that financial risk management has a strategic role in maintaining financial system stability and investment sustainability in the midst of global economic fluctuations. In the post-pandemic context, various studies have confirmed that financial resilience does not only depend on the strength of capital, but also on the ability of financial institutions to identify, measure, and manage multidimensional risks. According to Halida (2021), risk management serves as the main mechanism to stabilize the economy when market conditions are shaken by the crisis. Effective risk mitigation strategies have been proven to minimize negative impacts on liquidity and asset value, especially for financial institutions operating in markets that are highly sensitive to global policy changes.

Preliminary findings from various studies show that the COVID-19 pandemic has been a major catalyst for the transformation of financial risk management practices. Before 2020, risk management approaches tended to focus on short-term loss mitigation, while after the pandemic, the orientation shifted towards building long-term resilience. Gusev, Demidova, and Novikova (2022) emphasize the need for a dynamic risk assessment system capable of adapting to rapid changes in

economic and financial variables. This model uses the integration of analytical technologies, such as artificial intelligence and machine learning, to detect potential instability before it impacts the value of the investment portfolio. This approach is in line with the findings of Zhao et al. (2022) who show that big data-based risk analysis can improve the accuracy of market risk predictions and accelerate the response of financial policies to macroeconomic changes.

Furthermore, the results of the synthesis show that global economic policy uncertainty (EPU) is the dominant factor influencing risk-taking behavior in investment. Wang and Zhu (2022) explain that increased EPU reduces corporate courage in making investment decisions, especially on long-term projects with high levels of uncertainty. The study also found that companies that implement integrated risk management systems have a more stable tendency to maintain their investment activities, compared to companies that ignore external risks. This indicates that risk management not only serves as a protective tool, but also as a strategic component in rational investment decision-making.

Similar findings were revealed by Zhang et al. (2021), which showed a negative relationship between economic policy volatility and corporate investment growth. In conditions of high uncertainty, companies tend to hold back capital expansion and increase cash reserves as an anticipatory measure. This strategy is often referred to as precautionary saving behavior, which reflects an investor's cautious response to systemic risk. However, if applied excessively, this behavior can slow down the pace of economic growth due to the decrease in capital circulation in the investment

market. Therefore, stable fiscal and monetary policies are important external factors in supporting the effectiveness of risk management in the financial sector.

In addition to policy factors, the results of the study also highlight the role of digitalization in expanding the scope of financial risk. Kaveh-Yazdy and Zarifzadeh (2023) identified that the emergence of financial technology (fintech), crypto assets, and algorithmic automation in the capital market poses new risks, especially related to data security, system reliability, and digital asset price volatility. These challenges demand an update of the risk management framework to include cyber risk and technological risks. In line with that, Ram and Zhang (2020) put forward the concept of a risk-reward-resilience framework that emphasizes the need for a balance between the pursuit of profit (reward) and efforts to strengthen resilience in every investment policy. This model encourages financial institutions to not only minimize risk, but also to utilize risks productively to create a competitive advantage.

In the operational realm of financial institutions, the implementation of good risk management has been proven to contribute to the reduction of losses due to market fluctuations. Srivastava et al. (2023) revealed that financial institutions with a mature risk management system have a more stable investment performance amid global uncertainty. They tend to have a more balanced portfolio structure between high-risk assets and safe-haven assets. This diversification strategy is an important instrument to reduce the negative impact of economic crises or sudden changes in monetary policy. In addition, financial institutions that are able to leverage analytical data to anticipate changes in market trends can optimize risk-based investment strategies more effectively.

Research by Sadeghi (2022) confirms that the integration between risk management and sustainability management is increasingly important in the context of modern finance. Risk management practices should not only be oriented towards short-term profits, but should also pay attention to the principles of social and environmental sustainability. Thus, risks stemming from climate change, shifts in energy regulations, and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) issues must be considered in the framework of investment risk management. This approach supports the transformation towards sustainable finance which is now the main focus of global financial institutions.

In addition, the results of the study show that companies that have a strong risk management culture tend to be better prepared to deal with economic uncertainty. According to Azeem et al. (2021), the formation of a culture of risk within the organization allows employees at all levels to understand the implications of financial decisions on long-term stability. A good risk culture also increases transparency, strengthens communication between management and shareholders, and speeds up the decision-making process in the event of a market crisis. Thus, the human aspect of risk management is no less important than technology and institutional policies.

The change in the risk management paradigm is also reflected in the increasing attention to stress testing and scenario analysis. Lucero (2023) shows that financial institutions now not only rely on historical statistical models, but also simulate various extreme possibilities such as a global recession, energy crisis, or geopolitical disruption. Through this approach, investors can test the resilience of

their portfolios against various market conditions that may occur. The results of this analysis are the basis for more realistic and resilient investment decision-making. The scenario-based approach also strengthens the readiness of financial institutions to deal with unprecedented risks (unknown risks).

Meanwhile, D'Orazio (2021) highlights that the post-pandemic global crisis has changed the way financial institutions assess systemic risk. Previously, systemic risk was more associated with the failure of large institutions (too big to fail), but now includes financial supply chains, information technology, and global inter-market interconnections. This demands a collaborative risk management approach, where regulators, investors, and companies work together to build a resilient financial system. This collaborative approach allows risk surveillance to be carried out more proactively through data sharing and institutional capacity building.

Other findings suggest that effective risk management strategies should be integrated into corporate policies. Bachtiar (2023) revealed that the company's success in maintaining investment growth depends on the alignment between risk strategy and business strategy. In other words, risk management should not be positioned as an additional function, but rather as an integral part of corporate governance. The application of this principle can increase the company's credibility in the eyes of investors and minimize potential losses due to financial planning errors.

The results of this analysis show that the success of risk management in the financial and investment sectors is largely determined by three main components: (1) adaptability to economic uncertainty, (2) the integration of technology and data

analytics in the risk management process, and (3) the strengthening of risk culture throughout the organization. These three components interact with each other in forming a resilient and sustainable financial system. Companies that are able to manage all three effectively tend to be more stable in the face of global volatility, while also having high competitiveness in attracting new investment. Therefore, risk management is not just a loss control instrument, but is a strategic foundation for economic sustainability in an era of increasingly complex uncertainty.

5. Discussion

The results show that financial risk management has undergone a fundamental transformation in the face of global economic uncertainty and modern investment dynamics. This change is in line with the development of risk management theory that places risk no longer as a threat alone, but as a strategic opportunity to strengthen the financial competitiveness of organizations. According to Halida (2021), the effectiveness of risk management depends on the ability of financial institutions to strike a balance between risk mitigation and sustainable economic value creation. In the post-pandemic context, financial institutions are required not only to reduce potential losses, but also to take advantage of uncertainty to develop innovation and diversify portfolios.

The development of financial technology has been a major factor driving the emergence of a new paradigm in risk management. The integration of artificial intelligence and big data analytics has changed the way financial institutions predict and respond to market changes. Zhao et al. (2022) emphasized that the use of big

data analytics can reduce the information gap between actual risks and risks perceived by investors. Thus, the ability of financial institutions to process real-time data provides a significant competitive advantage in maintaining investment stability. However, the adoption of this technology also presents new risks, such as cyber risk and data privacy risks, which must be anticipated through comprehensive data protection policies and digital regulations (Umar et al., 2021).

In addition to the technological aspect, the results of the analysis show that the dimension of investor behavior has a large role in the effectiveness of financial risk management. Zhang et al. (2021) explained that perceptions of economic policy uncertainty can cause overreactions in the financial markets, such as panic selling or short-term speculation. In such situations, the ability of financial institutions to maintain transparent communication and provide accurate risk information is key to maintaining investor confidence. On the other hand, Tran (2019) found that companies that implement prudential approach risk policies tend to be more stable in the face of macroeconomic fluctuations compared to companies that rely on a reactive approach. Therefore, the integration of risk management in strategic investment policies is an important step in maintaining financial sustainability in the midst of market volatility.

From a policy perspective, these findings reinforce the view of Roberts (2023) that strengthening financial resilience cannot be achieved only through monetary policy or macroprudential regulation, but through the implementation of a comprehensive risk management framework at the institutional level. Ram and Zhang (2020) risk-reward–resilience framework emphasizes the importance of

balancing the potential benefits and risks faced in investment decisions. This requires collaboration between regulators, financial institutions, and investors to create a financial system that is more adaptive, transparent, and resilient to crises.

This discussion underscores that the success of modern financial risk management depends on three main things: first, the ability of financial institutions to integrate technology in risk prediction and mitigation systems; second, the importance of stability of investor behavior through information transparency; and third, cross-agency collaboration in strengthening the global risk regulatory framework. These three aspects form the basis for a sustainable and resilient financial strategy, which focuses not only on protection against losses but also on value creation in the long term.

6. Conclusion

This study confirms that financial risk management has a central role in maintaining financial system stability and supporting sustainable investment decision-making amid global economic uncertainty. The results show that the effectiveness of risk management lies not only in the technical ability to identify and measure risks, but also in institutional strategies that are able to comprehensively integrate data analysis, market behavior, and economic policies. The paradigm transformation from a reactive approach to a strategic approach makes risk management the main instrument in strengthening the financial resilience of organizations.

The application of technologies such as artificial intelligence, big data analytics, and adaptive financial information systems has improved the ability of financial institutions to detect potential risks and optimize asset allocation. In addition, strengthening the risk culture within the organization is an important factor that determines the readiness of financial institutions to face crises or market fluctuations. In the context of public policy, synergy between financial institutions, regulators, and investors is needed to build a financial system that is more resilient, transparent, and responsive to global economic dynamics. Modern financial risk management is not just a loss control instrument, but an integral part of investment strategies and corporate governance. An approach oriented towards resilience and sustainability is expected to be able to create an adaptive, stable, and inclusive long-term economic growth.

References

Azeem, M., Ahmed, M., Haider, S., & Sajjad, M. (2021). Expanding competitive advantage through organizational culture, knowledge sharing and organizational innovation. *Technology in Society*, *66*, 101635.

Bachtiar, N. K., Setiawan, A., Prastyan, G. A., & Kijkasiwat, P. (2023). Business resilience and growth strategy transformation post crisis. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, *12*(1), 1-25.

De Lorenzo, A., Lattke, L. S., & Rabaglietti, E. (2023). Creativity and resilience: a mini-review on post-pandemic resources for adolescents and young adults. *Frontiers in public health*, *11*, 1117539.

D'Orazio, P. (2021). Towards a post-pandemic policy framework to manage climate-related financial risks and resilience. *Climate Policy*, *21*(10), 1368-1382.

Gusev, D. S., Demidova, E. G., & Novikova, O. A. (2022). Building a Dynamic System of Advanced Risk Management and Risk Assessment of the Company. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.00556*.

Halida, U. M. (2021). Manajemen Risiko di Era Covid-19 Terhadap Ekonomi di Indonesia. *Mabny: Journal of Sharia Management and Business*, *1*(1), 1-13.

Kaveh-Yazdy, F., & Zarifzadeh, S. (2023). Economic Policy Uncertainty: A Review on Applications and Measurement Methods with Focus on Text Mining Methods. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.10304*.

Lucero, C. R. (2023). Post-pandemic risks and its influence on enterprise resiliency. *Open Journal of Business and Management*, *11*(3), 920-944.

Ram, J., & Zhang, Z. (2020). Belt and road initiative (BRI) supply chain risks: propositions and model development. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, *31*(4), 777-799.

Roberts, A. (2023). Risk, reward, and resilience framework: integrative policy making in a complex world. *Journal of International Economic Law*, *26*(2), 233-265.

Sadeghi, N. (2022). Continuity of small businesses when facing increased flood risk due to global climate change impacts: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, *82*, 103316.

Srivastava, B., Singh, S., & Jain, S. (2023). Bank competition, risk-taking and financial stability: insights from an emerging economy. *Competitiveness Review: An International Business Journal*, *33*(5), 959-992.

Tran, Q. T. (2019). Economic policy uncertainty and corporate risk-taking: International evidence. *Journal of Multinational Financial Management*, *52*, 100605.

Umar, M., Su, C. W., Rizvi, S. K. A., & Shao, X. F. (2021). Bitcoin: A safe haven asset and a winner amid political and economic uncertainties in the US?. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *167*, 120680.

Wang, J., & Zhu, R. (2022). Economic policy uncertainty, corporate risk-taking and abnormal audit fees. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, *14*(12), 1-28.

Zhang, C., Yang, C., & Liu, C. (2021). Economic policy uncertainty and corporate risk-taking: Loss aversion or opportunity expectations. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, *69*, 101640.

Zhao, Y., Du, H., Li, Q., Zhuang, F., Liu, J., & Kou, G. (2022). A Comprehensive Survey on Enterprise Financial Risk Analysis from Big Data Perspective. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.14997*.