



Bridging the Gap Between Regulation and Implementation: The Role of Regulatory Capacity in Indonesian Public Governance

Yuliana Fadhilah Jayaningsih¹

¹ Politeknik Ketenagakerjaan, Jakarta Timur, Indonesia

Abstract

Article history:

Received: July 18, 2025

Revised: August 27, 2025

Accepted: October 21, 2025

Published: December 30, 2025

Keywords:

Regulatory capacity,
Policy implementation,
Governance,
Public administration,
Institutional capacity,
Governance reform.

Identifier:

Zera Open

Page: 139-154

<https://zeraopen.com/journal/pp>

The effectiveness of public governance is not solely determined by the existence of regulatory frameworks but by the capacity of institutions to implement policies effectively. This study analyzes the effectiveness of regulatory capacity in ensuring policy implementation and examines the urgency of strengthening such capacity in Indonesia's public governance system. Using a normative juridical method combined with systematic and sociological approaches, the study evaluates the relationship between regulatory frameworks and their practical implementation. The findings reveal that although regulatory structures are well-established, policy implementation remains inconsistent due to limited institutional capacity, weak coordination, and administrative constraints. These conditions contribute to persistent implementation failures and reduced governance effectiveness. Furthermore, the study highlights that strengthening regulatory capacity is essential to address these challenges and improve policy outcomes. Enhancing institutional capability, coordination, and resource allocation is therefore critical for achieving effective governance. The study contributes to advancing governance reform by emphasizing the importance of aligning regulatory frameworks with institutional capacity.



1. Introduction

The effectiveness of public governance is not solely determined by the existence of regulatory frameworks but by the capacity of institutions to implement these regulations in practice. In many developing countries, including Indonesia, governments have established comprehensive legal and policy frameworks to guide public administration and service delivery. Regulatory instruments such as Undang-Undang Nomor 30 Tahun 2014 tentang Administrasi Pemerintahan and Undang-Undang Nomor 25 Tahun 2009 tentang Pelayanan Publik provide formal structures for ensuring accountability, transparency, and effectiveness in governance. These frameworks define clear procedures, responsibilities, and standards for public institutions. However, the presence of such regulations does not automatically guarantee successful implementation. This highlights the importance of examining governance not only from a normative perspective but also from an implementation standpoint.

Despite the strength of regulatory frameworks, policy implementation in Indonesia continues to face persistent challenges that undermine governance outcomes. Kristian (2023) notes that public policy implementation often encounters

obstacles related to institutional coordination and administrative complexity. Similarly, Indah et al. (2024) highlight that implementation processes at the local government level are frequently constrained by organizational and capacity limitations. Murdhani (2025) further emphasizes that governance initiatives, particularly in digital and administrative contexts, often fail to achieve their intended objectives due to structural and operational barriers. These findings indicate that the gap between policy formulation and implementation remains a critical issue in public governance. As a result, governance effectiveness cannot be assessed solely based on regulatory completeness. Instead, it requires a deeper analysis of the factors that influence implementation.

One of the key factors influencing policy implementation is regulatory capacity, which refers to the ability of institutions to design, enforce, and sustain policy interventions effectively. Williams (2021) argues that governance outcomes are closely linked to bureaucratic performance and institutional capacity rather than merely formal state structures. Similarly, Links and Draai (2023) emphasize that institutional capacity plays a central role in determining the effectiveness of governance systems. In addition, Lopushynskyi and Krasii (2025) highlight that local government performance is highly dependent on the availability of resources, administrative competence, and organizational coordination. These perspectives suggest that regulatory capacity is a critical determinant of policy implementation success. Without sufficient capacity, even well-designed policies may fail to produce intended outcomes. This underscores the need to examine the role of capacity in governance effectiveness.

The issue of policy implementation failure further illustrates the limitations of governance systems that lack adequate regulatory capacity. Studies on public administration indicate that implementation failure is often caused by factors such as resource constraints, weak coordination, and institutional fragmentation. Selepe (2023) highlights that policy failures frequently arise from the inability of institutions to execute policies effectively. Similarly, Matsieli and Sooryamoorthy (2022) emphasize that implementation challenges are particularly pronounced in developing countries, where institutional capacity is uneven. Mwilongo et al. (2025) further identify that local government authorities often struggle with operationalizing policies due to limited administrative capability. These findings indicate that implementation failure is not an isolated issue but a systemic problem linked to governance capacity. Therefore, understanding policy failure requires analyzing both regulatory frameworks and institutional capabilities.

Based on these considerations, this study aims to analyze the effectiveness of regulatory capacity in ensuring policy implementation within Indonesia's public governance framework and to examine the urgency of strengthening regulatory capacity to address implementation failures. The study adopts a normative juridical approach combined with systematic and sociological perspectives to evaluate both legal frameworks and their practical application. By focusing on the relationship between regulation, capacity, and implementation, this research provides a comprehensive analysis of governance effectiveness. The study contributes to the development of more effective governance models by highlighting the importance of strengthening institutional capacity. Furthermore, it offers policy insights into

how governance systems can be improved to ensure better implementation outcomes. Through this approach, the study supports the advancement of accountable, effective, and service-oriented public administration.

2. Literature Review

Regulatory capacity has become a central concept in understanding governance effectiveness, particularly in the context of public policy implementation. Regulatory capacity refers to the ability of government institutions to design, implement, and enforce policies effectively within complex administrative environments. Williams (2021) emphasizes that governance outcomes are more closely linked to bureaucratic performance and institutional capability than to formal regulatory structures alone. Similarly, Links and Draai (2023) argue that institutional capacity plays a critical role in determining the quality of governance and public service delivery. Lopushynskyi and Krasii (2025) further highlight that local government performance depends on resources, administrative competence, and coordination mechanisms. These perspectives suggest that regulatory capacity is a key determinant of whether policies can be translated into effective outcomes. Without sufficient capacity, regulatory frameworks may remain ineffective in practice.

The study of policy implementation provides a theoretical foundation for understanding how policies are translated into action within public administration. Hill and Hupe (2021) explain that policy implementation involves complex interactions between actors, institutions, and contextual factors that influence

outcomes. In addition, Buzogány and Pülzl (2024) distinguish between top-down and bottom-up approaches to implementation, highlighting the importance of both policy design and local-level execution. These theoretical perspectives indicate that successful implementation requires alignment between policy objectives, institutional structures, and administrative processes. However, such alignment is often difficult to achieve in practice, particularly in developing governance systems. As a result, implementation outcomes may deviate significantly from policy intentions. This highlights the need to examine implementation not only as a procedural process but also as a governance challenge.

Policy implementation failure has been widely documented in public administration literature, particularly in contexts where institutional capacity is limited. Selepe (2023) identifies that policy failures often result from inadequate execution mechanisms, weak coordination, and insufficient resources. Similarly, Matsieli and Sooryamoorthy (2022) emphasize that implementation challenges are more pronounced in developing countries due to structural and institutional constraints. Mwilongo et al. (2025) further highlight that local government authorities frequently encounter difficulties in operationalizing policies, leading to inconsistent outcomes. These findings suggest that implementation failure is not simply a technical issue but a systemic problem linked to governance capacity. Therefore, addressing policy failure requires a comprehensive understanding of both institutional limitations and governance dynamics. This perspective reinforces the importance of analyzing regulatory capacity in relation to implementation outcomes.

Despite extensive research on regulatory capacity and policy implementation, gaps remain in understanding how these concepts interact within specific governance contexts such as Indonesia. Kristian (2023) highlights that public policy implementation in Indonesia often faces challenges related to coordination and administrative complexity. Indah et al. (2024) further demonstrate that local-level implementation is constrained by organizational and capacity limitations. Murdhani (2025) emphasizes that governance initiatives frequently fail to achieve intended outcomes due to structural and operational barriers. These findings indicate that there is a disconnect between regulatory frameworks and implementation practices in Indonesia. As a result, regulatory capacity has not been fully effective in ensuring successful policy implementation. This study addresses this gap by analyzing the effectiveness of regulatory capacity and examining the urgency of strengthening it to improve governance outcomes.

3. Methods

This study employs a normative juridical research method combined with systematic and sociological approaches to analyze the effectiveness of regulatory capacity in ensuring policy implementation and to examine the urgency of strengthening such capacity in Indonesia's public governance framework. The normative approach is used to examine legal norms, regulatory frameworks, and governance principles related to public administration and service delivery. The systematic approach is applied to interpret these frameworks in a structured manner by analyzing the relationship between regulatory provisions and policy

implementation processes. In addition, the sociological approach is used to assess how these regulations are implemented in practice, particularly in relation to institutional capacity, bureaucratic behavior, and administrative coordination. This combination of approaches enables a comprehensive analysis of both formal governance structures and their operational realities.

The sources of legal materials consist of primary legal materials, including national regulations governing public administration and public services, and secondary legal materials such as academic articles and policy analyses related to regulatory capacity and policy implementation. All materials are selected based on their relevance and academic credibility. The analysis is conducted using qualitative legal interpretation through systematic interpretation and normative reasoning, supported by a sociological perspective to evaluate implementation challenges and institutional constraints. This method allows the study to identify gaps between regulatory frameworks and actual practices, as well as to formulate normative conclusions regarding the effectiveness and urgency of strengthening regulatory capacity in public governance.

4. Results

4.1. Effectiveness of Regulatory Capacity in Policy Implementation

The findings indicate that Indonesia possesses a relatively strong regulatory framework governing public administration and service delivery. Legal instruments such as Undang-Undang Nomor 30 Tahun 2014 tentang Administrasi Pemerintahan and Undang-Undang Nomor 25 Tahun 2009 tentang Pelayanan Publik provide clear

procedural guidelines, accountability mechanisms, and administrative standards. These regulations establish a formal structure intended to ensure effective governance and policy implementation. However, empirical evidence suggests that the existence of such regulatory frameworks does not automatically lead to successful implementation outcomes. Kristian (2023) highlights that policy implementation frequently encounters obstacles related to administrative complexity and coordination issues. This indicates that regulatory strength alone is insufficient to ensure governance effectiveness.

A critical limitation in policy implementation is the uneven distribution of regulatory capacity across institutions and levels of government. Williams (2021) emphasizes that governance outcomes are highly dependent on bureaucratic performance and institutional capability rather than formal regulations. Similarly, Links and Draai (2023) argue that institutional capacity determines the ability of public organizations to translate policy into action. Lopushynskyi and Krasii (2025) further highlight that local government performance is influenced by resources, administrative competence, and coordination mechanisms. In the Indonesian context, Indah et al. (2024) demonstrate that local-level implementation is often constrained by organizational and capacity limitations. These findings indicate that regulatory capacity varies significantly across administrative units, leading to inconsistent implementation outcomes.

The limitations of regulatory capacity are further reflected in the persistence of policy implementation failures within public governance. Studies show that implementation failures are often caused by weak coordination, limited resources,

and institutional fragmentation. Selepe (2023) identifies that policy failures frequently arise from the inability of institutions to execute policies effectively. Matsieli and Sooryamoorthy (2022) emphasize that such challenges are particularly prevalent in developing countries, where governance capacity is uneven. Mwilongo et al. (2025) further highlight that local government authorities often struggle with operationalizing policies due to administrative constraints. In Indonesia, Murdhani (2025) also indicates that governance initiatives frequently fail to achieve intended outcomes due to structural and operational barriers. These findings demonstrate that regulatory capacity in Indonesia remains insufficient to ensure effective policy implementation.

4.2. Urgency of Strengthening Regulatory Capacity

The urgency of strengthening regulatory capacity in Indonesia is closely linked to the need to improve governance outcomes and address persistent implementation failures. Comparative governance studies indicate that institutional capacity is a fundamental determinant of policy effectiveness and public service quality. Salvador and Sancho (2021) emphasize that institutional capacity is essential for achieving sustainable policy outcomes, particularly at the local government level. Similarly, Links and Draai (2023) highlight that strengthening institutional capacity can significantly improve governance performance and service delivery. These perspectives indicate that enhancing regulatory capacity is not merely a technical improvement but a strategic necessity for governance reform. Without sufficient capacity, regulatory frameworks will continue to function ineffectively.

Strengthening regulatory capacity also requires addressing systemic issues related to coordination, resource allocation, and administrative competence. Bianchi et al. (2021) emphasize the importance of collaborative governance in improving policy implementation, particularly in complex administrative environments. In addition, Lopushynskyi and Krasii (2025) highlight that effective governance depends on the ability of institutions to coordinate across different levels of government. These findings suggest that improving regulatory capacity involves not only enhancing individual institutional capabilities but also strengthening inter-institutional coordination. In Indonesia, the lack of such coordination contributes to fragmented implementation and inconsistent policy outcomes. Therefore, strengthening capacity must be approached as a systemic reform rather than a sector-specific intervention.

Finally, the urgency of strengthening regulatory capacity is reinforced by the broader implications of governance failure for public trust and policy effectiveness. Persistent implementation failures can undermine the credibility of public institutions and reduce citizen confidence in governance systems. Mwilongo et al. (2025) highlight that policy failures often lead to reduced effectiveness of public services and diminished governance legitimacy. In addition, Selepe (2023) emphasizes that addressing implementation failure requires improving institutional capacity and execution mechanisms. These findings indicate that strengthening regulatory capacity is essential not only for improving policy implementation but also for maintaining governance legitimacy. Therefore, enhancing regulatory

capacity should be prioritized as a key component of public governance reform in Indonesia.

5. Discussion

The findings of this study demonstrate that the effectiveness of public governance in Indonesia is fundamentally constrained by limitations in regulatory capacity rather than deficiencies in regulatory design. While legal frameworks provide clear procedural and normative guidance, their impact is diminished by the inability of institutions to operationalize these rules effectively. This supports the argument that governance effectiveness is not primarily a function of regulatory completeness but of institutional capability and bureaucratic performance. From a theoretical perspective, policy implementation is shaped by the interaction between regulatory frameworks and the capacity of implementing institutions, as highlighted in implementation studies. The results indicate that this interaction remains weak in the Indonesian context, leading to persistent gaps between policy objectives and outcomes. Consequently, regulatory frameworks function more as formal instruments than as effective governance tools. This reinforces the need to shift analytical focus from regulatory design to regulatory capacity in evaluating governance performance.

The urgency of strengthening regulatory capacity further reflects broader challenges in achieving sustainable governance reform. The persistence of implementation failures suggests that current reform efforts have not adequately addressed structural issues related to institutional capacity and coordination.

Strengthening regulatory capacity requires a comprehensive approach that integrates resource development, administrative competence, and inter-institutional coordination. In addition, improving capacity involves fostering adaptive and collaborative governance practices that enable institutions to respond effectively to complex policy environments. These findings align with broader governance perspectives that emphasize the importance of institutional resilience and coordination in achieving policy effectiveness. Without such improvements, governance reforms risk remaining procedural rather than transformative. Furthermore, the link between implementation failure and declining public trust underscores the importance of strengthening capacity as a means of enhancing governance legitimacy. Therefore, future governance strategies should prioritize capacity-building as a central component of public administration reform.

6. Conclusion

This study concludes that the effectiveness of public governance in Indonesia is not primarily constrained by the absence of regulatory frameworks, but by the limited capacity of institutions to implement these regulations effectively. Although legal instruments such as Undang-Undang Nomor 30 Tahun 2014 tentang Administrasi Pemerintahan and Undang-Undang Nomor 25 Tahun 2009 tentang Pelayanan Publik provide a comprehensive foundation for governance, their practical impact remains limited. The findings demonstrate that regulatory capacity—defined by institutional competence, resource availability, and coordination—plays a decisive role in determining policy implementation outcomes.

Weak capacity leads to fragmented implementation, inconsistent results, and persistent policy failures. Therefore, the effectiveness of governance depends not only on regulatory design but also on the ability of institutions to operationalize these frameworks. Strengthening regulatory capacity is thus essential to bridge the gap between policy formulation and implementation.

Furthermore, the study highlights that strengthening regulatory capacity is an urgent priority for improving governance outcomes and ensuring the success of public administration reforms in Indonesia. Persistent implementation failures indicate that existing governance mechanisms are insufficient to address complex administrative challenges. Addressing these issues requires a systemic approach that enhances institutional capability, improves coordination across levels of government, and ensures adequate resource allocation. In addition, fostering a culture of accountability and administrative competence is critical to sustaining policy effectiveness. Policy efforts should therefore prioritize capacity-building initiatives as a central component of governance reform. By strengthening regulatory capacity, Indonesia can improve the effectiveness of policy implementation and enhance the overall quality of governance. This study thus underscores the importance of aligning regulatory frameworks with institutional capabilities to achieve sustainable and effective public administration.

References

- Bianchi, C., Nasi, G., & Rivenbark, W. C. (2021). Implementing collaborative governance: Models, experiences, and challenges. *Public Management Review*, 23(11), 1581–1589.
- Buzogány, A., & Pülzl, H. (2024). Top-down and bottom-up implementation. In *Handbook of public policy implementation* (pp. 116–126). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Indah, V. F., Zubaidah, S., Lestari, D. P., Hasanah, A. U., Sari, F. H., Fatihah, E. S., & Basron, B. (2024). Tantangan dan hambatan dalam implementasi kebijakan pembentukan tim Renstra di Kesbangpol Kabupaten Ogan Komering Ilir. *Jurnal Ilmu Administrasi dan Studi Kebijakan (JLASK)*, 7(1), 99–118.
- Kristian, I. (2023). Kebijakan publik dan tantangan implementasi di Indonesia. *Jurnal Dialektika: Jurnal Ilmu Sosial*, 21(2), 88–98.
- Links, R., & Draai, E. (2023). Institutional capacity for improved local government performance. *Journal of Public Administration*, 58(4), 1078–1091.
- Lopushynskyi, I., & Krasii, M. (2025). Institutional capacity of local government. *Public Management and Policy*, (12/16).
- Matsieli, M., & Sooryamoorthy, R. (2022). Why is public policy implementation difficult in developing countries? Analysis of e-government implementation in Lesotho. *Journal of Studies in Social Sciences and Humanities*, 8(3), 303–317.
- Murdhani, L. A. (2025). The implementation of digital governance in Indonesia: A systematic review of challenges and opportunities. *International Journal of Scientific Research*, 2(01).

- Mwilongo, N., Kaamo, K., & Tumbo, E. (2025). Challenges in public policy implementation in local government authorities: Who to blame for policy failure? *Eminent Journal of Business and Management*, 1(1), 19–35.
- Salvador, M., & Sancho, D. (2021). The role of local government in the drive for sustainable development public policies: An analytical framework based on institutional capacities. *Sustainability*, 13(11), 5978.
- Selepe, M. M. (2023). The evaluation of public policy implementation failures and possible solutions. *EUREKA: Social and Humanities*, (1), 43.
- Williams, M. J. (2021). Beyond state capacity: Bureaucratic performance, policy implementation and reform. *Journal of Institutional Economics*, 17(2), 339–357.
- Hill, M., & Hupe, P. (2021). *Implementing public policy: An introduction to the study of operational governance*. Routledge.
- Anwary, I. (2022). Evaluation of the effectiveness of public administration policies in the development of stringent legal framework: An analysis of the criminal justice system in Indonesia. *International Journal of Criminal Justice Sciences*, 17(2), 312–323.
- Buzogány, A., & Pülzl, H. (2024). Top-down and bottom-up implementation. In *Handbook of public policy implementation* (pp. 116–126). Edward Elgar Publishing.