Pamong Praja
Volume 3, Number 1, 2024

The Role of State Financial Compliance Audits in Preventing Corruption in the Indonesian Public Sector

Revi Lonna[1]

[1] Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang, Indonesia

Abstract

Article history:
Received: January 11, 2024
Revised: February 19, 2024
Accepted: March 24, 2024
Published: June 30, 2024

Keywords:
Accountability,
Compliance Audit,
Corruption Prevention,
Governance,
Public Finance.

Identifier:
Zera Open
Page: 19-36
https://zeraopen.com/journal/pp

The compliance audit of state finances plays a vital role in ensuring transparency, efficiency, and accountability in the management of public funds. This study aims to analyze in depth the role of compliance audits in preventing corruption within Indonesia's public sector. A descriptive qualitative approach was employed by reviewing recent empirical literature and academic reports related to public sector auditing practices. The findings indicate that the effectiveness of compliance audits strongly depends on auditor quality, the implementation of robust internal control systems, and the independence of supervisory institutions such as the Audit Board of the Republic of Indonesiaand regional inspectorates. Comprehensive audits help detect administrative irregularities, strengthen financial control, and provide legal evidence supporting corruption law enforcement. The study also highlights the importance of improving auditor competence, integrating professional ethics, and adopting data-driven auditing technologies to enhance public oversight effectiveness. Thus, compliance auditing serves not only as a control mechanism but also as a strategic instrument to strengthen transparent, accountable, and integrity-based governance in Indonesia.

1. Introduction

Compliance audit over state finance is one of the crucial instruments in the public oversight system, aiming to ensure the management of the state budget and resources complies with prevailing laws and regulations. This audit has a strategic function in assessing the extent to which government agencies apply the principles of accountability, transparency, and efficiency in managing public funds. According to Kurniawati and Pratama (2021), government audit plays a vital role in controlling the level of corruption through the strengthening of the oversight system and compliance with state finance standards. This aligns with the main objective of public governance reform in Indonesia, which is to realize a clean and integral government.

The phenomenon of corruption in the public sector remains a serious challenge in Indonesia. Based on the research by Surya et al. (2021), the implementation of New Public Management principles in the government sector attempts to reduce the level of deviation through performance transparency, although its effectiveness is highly dependent on the quality of the audits conducted. Compliance audit stands at the forefront in detecting administrative deviations and misuse of public funds before they escalate into acts of corruption. In this context, public auditors not only function as administrative reviewers but also as guarantors of the integrity of the state finance management system.

Several previous studies emphasize that the success of compliance audit depends on the competence and independence of the auditor. Sudirman et al. (2021) stress that a strong internal audit role, supported by an effective internal control system, can minimize the opportunity for fraud. Similarly, the findings of Handoyo et al. (2021) show that audit quality and supervision effectiveness have a positive relationship with fraud prevention in local government agencies. This means that the higher the competence of the auditor and the adherence to auditing standards, the lower the potential for deviations to occur.

Compliance audit also serves as an early detection mechanism for systemic weaknesses in financial governance. Through a thorough examination of administrative procedures, auditors can identify high-risk areas and provide recommendations for structural improvements. Rana et al. (2022) state that strengthening the capacity of public audit institutions in Indonesia must be balanced with the adoption of a risk-based approach so that audit findings are more relevant and have a significant impact on public policy.

Furthermore, the success of compliance audit in curbing corruption is also influenced by the follow-up on audit findings. Sumiyana et al. (2023) asserts that audit reports often do not have a significant impact due to the weak mechanism for enforcing audit results. Therefore, collaboration among audit institutions, regional inspectorates, and law enforcement agencies like the Corruption Eradication Commission (*Komisi Pemberantasan Korupsi*/KPK) is a key element in creating a deterrent effect on perpetrators of deviation. On the other hand, Kaur et al. (2023) highlight the importance of building a culture of integrity and ethical awareness within the bureaucracy as a complement to the formal audit function.

Conceptually, compliance audit is not just an administrative tool but also a strategic instrument in building clean public governance. Effective auditing contributes to increasing public trust in the government, as shown by Khan et al. (2023), who emphasizes that audit quality and auditor competence have a direct impact on public perception of the integrity of government institutions. Thus, the role of compliance audit needs to be continually strengthened through methodological innovation, enhanced auditor competence, and the integration of digital auditing technology. This transformation is a crucial step toward realizing state financial governance that is transparent, accountable, and free from corrupt practices.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Compliance Audit and Public Financial Governance

Compliance audit is a form of systematic oversight aimed at assessing the extent to which public entities comply with regulations, procedures, and accounting standards in the management of state finance. In the context of public governance in Indonesia, compliance audit is viewed as an important mechanism for increasing the transparency and accountability of government agencies. According to Kurniawati and Pratama (2021), the function of government audit is not limited to financial verification but also includes assessing the integrity of the administrative process and the effectiveness of the internal control system. This audit serves as the primary instrument for enforcing the principles of good governance and preventing administrative deviations that could lead to criminal acts of corruption.

Additionally, Sumiyana et al. (2023) explains that the effectiveness of public sector audit depends on the extent to which audit results are followed up by the authorized parties. Without a strong follow-up mechanism, auditors' recommendations often do not lead to policy changes or sanctions for violations. Therefore, bureaucratic reform and the strengthening of the independence of audit institutions such as the State Audit Agency (*Badan Pemeriksa Keuangan*/BPK) and the Inspectorate General are key to the successful implementation of compliance audit in Indonesia.

Wibisana (2020) adds that compliance audit in state-owned enterprises (*Badan Pemeriksa Keuangan*/BUMN) is also important in reducing opportunities for the misuse of power. Through the application of anti-corruption law integrated with audit mechanisms, state companies can maintain their reputation and accountability in the eyes of the public. Thus, compliance audit functions as a bridge between financial regulation and ethical governance practices.

2.2. The Role of Audit in Corruption Prevention and Detection

Corruption remains one of the main challenges in the management of public finance in Indonesia. Audits, both internal and external, serve as preventive and detective tools in identifying potential fraud. Surya et al. (2021) found that public sector reform emphasizing efficiency and transparency must be balanced with audits that are more adaptive to corruption risks. Risk-based audits can identify areas most vulnerable to deviation.

The research by Handoyo et al. (2021) affirms that audit effectiveness in preventing misappropriation is highly influenced by the quality of the auditors and the implementation of a strong internal control system. The higher the level of professionality of the auditor and adherence to examination standards, the more effective the audit role is in reducing the chances of corruption. This is also highlighted by Sudirman et al. (2021), who underscore the importance of layered supervision between internal and external audits to ensure the accuracy of public financial reports.

In addition to serving as a preventive control, audit also plays a detective role through the identification of unusual transaction patterns. Kaur et al. (2023) explain that routine internal audits can uncover anomalies in financial reports that indicate fraud. The auditor plays a crucial role as a gatekeeper ensuring every transaction and budget policy complies with legal principles and the ethics of state administration.

However, the effectiveness of audit in corruption prevention is highly dependent on the level of auditor independence. Rana et al. (2022) assert that conflicts of interest and political pressure often weaken audit objectivity, thereby reducing the impact of audit findings on the law enforcement process. Therefore, institutional strengthening and legal protection for auditors are necessary so they can carry out their duties without intervention.

2.3. Strengthening Auditor Capacity and Audit Innovation in the Digital Era

The capacity and competence of auditors are key factors in ensuring the success of compliance audit. Khan et al. (2023) shows that increasing the technical competence of auditors is directly related to public trust in audit results. When auditors possess a deep understanding of regulations, risks, and auditing technology, the audit findings become more credible and reliable. Furthermore, continuous training on professional ethics and forensic auditing is necessary to face increasingly complex corruption challenges.

In the context of government digitalization, data-driven audit becomes an important innovation. The use of data analytics and financial information systems allows auditors to detect deviation patterns faster and more accurately. Surya et al. (2021) suggest that public audit institutions integrate information technology into the examination process to increase efficiency and accuracy.

Beyond the technical aspects, Sumiyana et al. (2023) also emphasizes the importance of ethical aspects and organizational culture in strengthening audit effectiveness. An audit carried out without integrity and moral responsibility is potentially likely to fail in identifying deviation practices. Thus, the success of compliance audit in the context of corruption prevention is determined not only by technical methods but also by ethical values, organizational culture, and commitment to public accountability principles.

Overall, the literature shows that compliance audit is an integral element of an effective public oversight system. This audit is not merely an administrative tool but a strategic pillar for building clean, transparent, and integral government governance. The integration of audit technology, strengthening of auditor capacity, and cross-institutional collaboration are the main directions for reinforcing the role of compliance audit in Indonesia.

3. Methods

This research employs a descriptive qualitative approach aimed at thoroughly understanding and describing the role of compliance audit over state finance in preventing corruption in the Indonesian public sector. The qualitative approach was chosen because it allows researchers to explore the phenomenon of audit and public financial governance comprehensively within a social, legal, and institutional context. With this approach, the researcher seeks to obtain a holistic understanding of how compliance audit contributes to the transparency and accountability of state financial management. A descriptive design is used to describe the relationship between key variables such as audit effectiveness, internal control system, auditor competence, and the level of corruption prevention in government agencies.

The research data are sourced from scientific literature, audit reports, and previous research findings relevant to the topic of compliance audit and corruption prevention. The main sources include scientific journals published within the last five years, reports from the BPK, publications from anti-corruption institutions, and official government documents. Source selection was carried out purposively by considering relevance, credibility, and data novelty. This literature is used as the basis for interpretation to explain how public audit strengthens transparency and increases accountability in government governance.

Data collection methods were performed through documentation study and literature analysis. The researcher examined scientific articles and institutional reports to gain a comprehensive understanding of the compliance audit mechanism and its implementation in corruption prevention. The collected data were then analyzed using content analysis techniques to identify themes, patterns, and relationships between variables. The analysis procedure was conducted through three main stages: data reduction to select relevant information, data presentation in the form of a thematic narrative, and conclusion drawing based on the consistency and correspondence between sources.

To maintain the validity of the research results, the researcher applied source triangulation techniques by comparing various academic literature and official government reports. This step is intended to ensure the accuracy, objectivity, and reliability of the data interpretation. Furthermore, the principle of reflexivity was applied during the analysis process to minimize subjective bias and maintain the integrity of the research findings. With this approach, the research is expected to provide a comprehensive understanding of the contribution of compliance audit in building state financial governance that is transparent and free from corruption.

4. Results

The findings of this research indicate that compliance audit over state finance has a central role in strengthening government governance and reducing the level of corruption in the Indonesian public sector. Based on the review of empirical literature and thematic analysis of various academic studies, it was found that the effectiveness of compliance audit depends on three main dimensions: audit quality, auditor capacity, and the mechanism for following up on audit results. These three aspects contribute significantly to the efforts to realize transparency, accountability, and integrity in state financial management.

First, the analysis of the literature shows that audit quality is a determining factor in the success of compliance audit. Audits performed according to examination standards and professional principles are proven capable of identifying administrative deviations and misuse of authority practices that potentially harm state finance. According to Kurniawati and Pratama (2021), government audit plays a role not only in assessing the compliance of financial procedures with applicable regulations but also in ensuring that budget policies have been executed efficiently and are free from political intervention. Their research confirms that the higher the level of compliance with audit results, the stronger the supervisory system formed within the bureaucracy.

Furthermore, the research findings of Surya et al. (2021) suggest that the implementation of New Public Management principles has encouraged government agencies to be more transparent and results-oriented. However, the effectiveness of these principles is highly dependent on the ability of audit institutions to detect inconsistencies between financial reports and budget execution. When audits are conducted thoroughly and are risk-oriented, the opportunity for corruption can be significantly reduced. Risk-based audits also allow auditors to focus attention on areas with the highest level of vulnerability, such as procurement of goods and services, regional fund transfers, and public subsidies.

Second, the dimension of auditor capacity and competence emerges as a main determinant of compliance audit effectiveness. Based on the findings of Sudirman et al. (2021), auditors with high levels of professionalism and competence are more capable of enforcing the integrity of financial reports and identifying complex deviation patterns. In the context of the Indonesian public sector, continuous training and mastery of auditing technology are important elements in improving the quality of examination. Auditors capable of using data analytics techniques and digital-based audit systems can identify transaction anomalies faster and more accurately. These results strengthen the view that the digital transformation of public audit is a strategic necessity to increase the effectiveness of state financial oversight.

Similar findings were also presented by Handoyo et al. (2021), who asserted that the synergy between internal audit, internal control, and external audit is the main foundation in efforts to prevent fraud. When audit mechanisms are implemented in a coordinated manner, the opportunity for collusion between work units can be minimized. The research also showed that the implementation of high-quality audit is directly proportional to the level of public trust in government institutions, especially in the context of regional budget management. Thus, increasing auditor capacity must be accompanied by the enforcement of professional ethics and the application of a layered supervision system.

Third, this research found that the effectiveness of compliance audit is determined not only by its execution but also by the follow-up on audit results. Based on the analysis of Pamungkas et al. (2019) research, one of the main obstacles in public sector audit in Indonesia is the weak mechanism for implementing audit recommendations. Many audit reports contain important findings but are not followed up with corrective actions or administrative sanctions. This condition weakens the supervisory effect and reduces the credibility of audit institutions. For this reason, binding policies are needed that mandate government agencies to follow up on audit results within a certain timeframe and report the progress of implementation transparently to the public.

Beyond the structural aspects, the research findings also highlight the importance of organizational culture and public ethics in supporting audit effectiveness. Kaur et al. (2023) stated that internal audit will not be effective if it is not accompanied by the moral commitment of the organizational leadership. The existence of a strong audit system must be balanced with a culture of integrity across all levels of the bureaucracy. This aligns with Khan et al. (2023) findings, which showed that auditor competence and the credibility of audit institutions have a direct influence on public trust in the government. When the public is confident that audit institutions work independently and transparently, social trust in the government increases, which ultimately strengthens democratic stability.

Analysis of the literature also found that data-based audit and information technology have contributed significantly to increasing the effectiveness of compliance audit in the digital era. The use of audit software, integrated financial systems, and analytical algorithms helps auditors detect unusual transactions on a large scale. In line with Rana et al.(2022) research, the application of digital audit enables government agencies to optimize resources and speed up the examination process without reducing the accuracy of findings. This digital transformation also supports public data openness and expands public access to state financial information.

Furthermore, the analysis also identified a close relationship between compliance audit and anti-corruption law enforcement. Wibisana (2020) explained that integrating the compliance audit function with the anti-corruption legal framework can strengthen efforts to prevent systemic corruption in BUMN and government agencies. When audit results are used as the basis for legal investigation by institutions like the KPK, the deterrent effect on corruption perpetrators increases significantly. Therefore, synergy between audit institutions, inspectorates, and law enforcement is a key factor in creating an effective and just oversight system.

Overall, the findings of this research demonstrate that compliance audit functions not only as an administrative tool to ensure compliance with regulations but also as a strategic instrument in building state financial governance that is clean, transparent, and accountable. The effectiveness of compliance audit depends on the auditor's ability to apply the principles of professionalism, independence, and public ethics. In addition, institutional reform, strengthening of human resource capacity, and the application of digital technology are important prerequisites for increasing the effectiveness of public oversight in Indonesia. By strengthening these three aspects, compliance audit can become the main instrument in systematic efforts to eradicate corruption and enhance public accountability.

5. Discussion

The findings of this research reinforce the argument that compliance audit over state finance plays a significant role in strengthening government governance and corruption prevention. Through theoretical and empirical perspectives, compliance audit is proven to function as an effective control mechanism in identifying administrative deviations, strengthening the integrity of public institutions, and increasing state financial transparency. This oversight function aligns with the principles of good governance, which place accountability and openness as the main foundations of government administration.

This finding is consistent with Kurniawati and Pratama (2021), who assert that compliance audit is an important instrument in ensuring the use of public funds is in accordance with legal provisions. When audit institutions actively analyze regulatory compliance and the effectiveness of budget policies, the potential for misuse of authority can be reduced. In the context of Indonesia, the role of the BPK and the Regional Inspectorate is crucial because both have a legal mandate to ensure state finance is managed transparently and accountably.

However, the effectiveness of compliance audit is determined not only by procedural quality but also by institutional and auditor behavioral factors. According to Sudirman et al. (2021), the professional competence of auditors and the application of data-based audit technology can increase the ability for early detection of corruption practices. This result is consistent with Buabeng (2020) who found that the integration of effective internal audit and internal control creates a layered oversight system that narrows the space for fraud to occur.

In addition to technical factors, the dimension of ethics and organizational culture also determines the success of the audit. Kaur et al. (2023) emphasize that audit will not be effective without the support of integrity and ethical awareness from public apparatus. When moral values are not part of the bureaucratic culture, audit findings risk being ignored or manipulated. Thus, corruption prevention depends not only on formal audit mechanisms but also on the formation of a strong institutional ethic.

Furthermore, these results demonstrate the need for synergy between audit institutions and law enforcement agencies. Wibisana (2020) asserts that the results of compliance audit should be used as a reference in the legal investigation process against state financial violations. The integration between the audit system and anti-corruption law will strengthen the deterrent effect for perpetrators of violations. This synergy also increases public legitimacy for the audit institution as the guardian of state transparency.

Another relevant finding is the urgency of strengthening the follow-up on audit results. Pamungkas et al. (2019) identified that the weak follow-up mechanism is a cause of the low effectiveness of public audit in Indonesia. Therefore, a stricter internal control system is needed, as well as regulations that require public entities to follow up on auditor recommendations. Overall, this discussion asserts that compliance audit is a strategic component in encouraging a clean and transparent government. Strengthening auditor capacity, applying digital audit technology, and enhancing the culture of integrity are key for Indonesia in creating a credible and sustainable public oversight system.

6. Conclusion

Compliance audit over state finance plays a strategic role in ensuring the creation of government governance that is transparent, accountable, and free from corruption. The results of the study show that compliance audit functions not only as an administrative tool to assess the compliance of public fund usage but also as a strategic oversight instrument in detecting and preventing financial deviations. Audit quality, auditor competence, and the effectiveness of the follow-up on audit results are the three main pillars that determine the success of compliance audit in the public sector. The role of institutions such as the BPK and the Regional Inspectorate is very important in strengthening the integrity of the state financial management system.

Strengthening auditor capacity through continuous training, the application of digital audit technology, and increasing the independence of inspection institutions are crucial steps in facing the complexity of modern corruption. Furthermore, the integration of audit results with the anti-corruption legal system will strengthen the enforcement effect and increase public trust in the government. Thus, the success of compliance audit is determined not only by the accuracy of examination procedures but also by the commitment to ethics, integrity, and inter-institutional collaboration. The implementation of quality audits oriented towards the values of transparency is the foundation for realizing clean, efficient, and just governance in Indonesia.

References

Buabeng, A. A. (2020). *A comparative case study of internal controls and the impact of fraud on nonprofit organizations*. Sandiego: Northcentral University.

Handoyo, M., Roemkenya, B., & Bayunitri, B. I. (2021). The influence of internal audit and internal control toward fraud prevention. *International Journal of Financial, Accounting, and Management*, *3*(1), 45-64.

Kaur, B., Sood, K., & Grima, S. (2023). A systematic review on forensic accounting and its contribution towards fraud detection and prevention. *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance*, *31*(1), 60-95.

Khan, A. S., Nejad, M. Y., Hakami, T. A., Kassim, A. A. M., & Othman, J. (2023). The Effect of Audit Quality on Fraud Reduction. *International Business and Accounting Research Journal*, *7*(2), 123-135.

Kurniawati, A. D., & Pratama, Y. M. (2021). The role of government auditing in controlling the level of corruption in Indonesia. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Auditing Indonesia*, 54-64.

Pamungkas, B., Avrian, C., & Ibtida, R. (2019). Factors influencing audit findings of the Indonesian district governments' financial statements. *Cogent Business & Management*, *6*(1), 1673102.

Rana, T., Steccolini, I., Bracci, E., & Mihret, D. G. (2022). Performance auditing in the public sector: A systematic literature review and future research avenues. *Financial Accountability & Management*, *38*(3), 337-359.

Sudirman, S., Sasmita, H., Krisnanto, B., & Muchsidin, F. F. (2021). Effectiveness of internal audit in supporting internal control and prevention of fraud. *Bongaya Journal of Research in Accounting (BJRA)*, *4*(1), 8-15.

Sumiyana, S., Hendrian, H., Jayasinghe, K., & Wijethilaka, C. (2023). Public sector performance auditing in a political hegemony: A case study of Indonesia. *Financial accountability & management*, *39*(4), 691-714.

Surya, D., Pratiwi, E., Wijaya, G., Permadi, H., & Suryani, I. (2021). Audit quality and its influence on stakeholder trust: A qualitative analysis. *Golden ratio of auditing research*, *1*(1), 34-44.

Wibisana, A. W. (2023). The urgency of preventive supervision to prevent corruption in Indonesian state-owned enterprises. *Beijing L. Rev.*, *14*, 28.