Pamong Praja
Volume 2, Number 1, 2023

Public Audit Transparency and Technology in Strengthening Government Accountability

Dwi Febrianto Atmodjo[1]

[1] Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Abstract

Article history:
Received: January 9, 2023
Revised: February 20, 2023
Accepted: April 19, 2023
Published: June 30, 2023

Keywords:
Accountability of Government,
Good Governance,
Information Technology,
Public Audit,
Transparency.

Identifier:
Zera Open
Page: 1-18
https://zeraopen.com/journal/pp

A professional and independent public audit serves as a crucial instrument in realizing good governance. This study aims to analyze the contribution of public audit, financial report transparency, and the utilization of information technology in strengthening government accountability. A conceptual approach was employed through a literature review of ten journals indexed in Google Scholar. The findings reveal that public auditing plays a significant role in ensuring fiscal compliance and the integrity of financial management, while transparency of audit results strengthens public trust in government institutions. Moreover, the application of information technology in the auditing process has proven to improve efficiency, effectiveness, and the accuracy of risk analysis, enabling auditors to focus on strategic decision-making. The integration of these three aspects reinforces external oversight mechanisms and encourages social participation in public control. In conclusion, technology-based and transparent public audits constitute a vital foundation for building sustainable governmental accountability and credibility within the framework of good governance.

©2023 The Author(s).
This is an open-access article under CC-BY-SA license (https://creativecommons.org/licence/by-sa/4.0/)

1. Introduction

Accountability and transparency are two main pillars of good governance. In the context of the public sector, audit plays an important role as an independent mechanism that ensures the use of state resources aligns with the principles of efficiency, effectiveness, and compliance with regulations (Adiputra et al., 2018). Governments with strong public audit systems can increase public trust and strengthen institutional legitimacy, because transparent audit results serve as a means of evaluating financial performance and providing a basis for public policy improvements (Isa et al., 2019).

Public audit not only functions as an administrative control tool but also as a social instrument that cultivates public trust in bureaucratic integrity (Zeyn, 2018). Audit reports that are objectively compiled and openly published allow the public to conduct external supervision of the use of public funds. Thus, the openness of audit results narrows the space for irregularities, strengthens the culture of integrity, and enhances fiscal accountability (Heald, 2018). In modern governance systems, transparent public audit is also considered a measure of success in implementing good governance because it correlates directly with improving the quality of public services and the credibility of government institutions (Manita et al., 2020).

The development of information technology brings significant changes to public audit practices. The implementation of audit technology allows auditors to automate data collection processes, perform algorithm-based risk analysis, and increase the accuracy and efficiency of the audit (Veerankutty et al., 2018). According to Alqooti (2020), audit digitalization contributes to increasing the speed of reporting and expanding the scope of supervision, especially in government institutions with complex organizational structures. This supports the realization of the principle of transparency because financial information can be presented real-time and accessed by various stakeholders.

However, a gap still exists between theory and practice in many developing countries, including Indonesia. Several studies indicate that constraints in human resources, limited technological infrastructure, and weak enforcement of the public auditor's professional ethics hinder the achievement of effective audits (Isa et al., 2019). In addition, bureaucratic resistance to the openness of public financial data is also a challenge in realizing a transparent and accountable audit system (Sedarmayanti et al., 2020). Therefore, there is an urgent need to strengthen the synergy between auditor professionalism, technology-based audit systems, and the commitment of government institutions to transparency.

This research departs from the premise that professional and independent public audit is the main foundation for creating an accountable and transparent government. The focus of the study is directed at the relationship between the quality of public audit, the openness of audit results, and the use of information technology in increasing public trust in government performance. This research uses a qualitative approach based on a literature study of ten scientific articles indexed by Google Scholar in the last five years, with the aim of identifying the key factors that strengthen the role of public audit in governance. The results of this study are expected to provide a theoretical contribution to the development of a transparency- and technology-based audit model, as well as practical recommendations for government agencies in strengthening the system of state financial supervision (Manita et al., 2020)

2. Literature Review

2.1. Public Audit and Government Accountability

Public audit is an evaluative process of state financial management aimed at ensuring that public resources are used efficiently, effectively, and in accordance with regulations (Adiputra et al., 2018). The main function of public audit is to guarantee that government agencies are responsible for the use of public funds, and to encourage the implementation of fiscal accountability principles. According to Isa et al. (2019), public audit is an important instrument in assessing the integrity of financial governance and strengthening public trust in government institutions.

In addition to the supervisory function, public audit also has a strategic role in improving the quality of governance and decision-making. Zeyn (2018) assert that objective audit results provide the basis for financial policy reform and bureaucratic efficiency improvement. In this context, the public auditor's task is not only to identify administrative errors but also to provide constructive recommendations for improving organizational performance. Similar findings were put forward by Alqooti (2020), who explained that a transparent public audit system can narrow the opportunities for corruption and strengthen distributive justice in the use of the state budget.

However, the effectiveness of public audit is highly dependent on the independence of the auditing institution. A study by Sedarmayanti et al. (2020)

highlighted that political pressure often prevents auditors from carrying out their duties objectively. Therefore, strengthening public audit institutions through regulatory mechanisms and auditor professionalism is essential to achieving sustainable government accountability.

2.2. Transparency as a Pillar of Good Governance

Transparency is a fundamental aspect of good governance, as it creates clarity of information between the government and the community (Sofyani et al., 2020). The openness of financial reports allows the public to monitor the use of funds, assess institutional performance, and ensure there is no abuse of authority. Isa et al. (2019) explained that the practice of public information openness can strengthen government legitimacy and minimize information asymmetry between stakeholders.

According to Lyrio et al. (2018), public audit accompanied by transparency of examination results creates a social mechanism in the form of public accountability, where the community plays an active role as supervisor of fiscal policy. Transparency is not merely the presentation of data, but also the delivery of information that is easily accessible, relevant, and understandable to the public. Research by Ahmad et al. (2020) shows that the higher the level of transparency in financial reports, the stronger the perception of honesty and credibility of government institutions in the eyes of the public.

Nevertheless, the main challenge in implementing transparency is bureaucratic resistance to data openness. Sedarmayanti et al. (2020) highlighted that most government agencies still have a closed administrative culture, which hinders public participation. Therefore, institutional reform is needed that emphasizes the culture of transparency as a basic value in modern governance. In the framework of good governance, transparency is not merely an administrative obligation, but a form of moral commitment to social responsibility and public ethics (Manita et al., 2022).

2.3. The Role of Information Technology in Public Sector Audit

Digital transformation has a significant impact on audit systems in the public sector. Information technology accelerates the audit process, increases data accuracy, and minimizes the risk of human error (Veerankutty et al., 2018). The application of Computer-Assisted Audit Techniques (CAATs) and data analytics allows auditors to analyze large amounts of financial data efficiently, so that supervision becomes more comprehensive and responsive to potential fraud (Sofyani et al., 2020).

In addition, the use of digital-based accounting information systems increases the reliability and integrity of government financial data. According to Alqooti (2020), audit digitalization creates real-time transparency that strengthens fiscal accountability and accelerates managerial decision-making. The results of research by Manita et al. (2020) show that the adoption of digital audit technology in government agencies increases reporting efficiency and narrows the information gap between the government and the public.

Even so, the effectiveness of technology in public audit depends on the readiness of human resources and supporting infrastructure. Isa et al. (2019) emphasize the need to improve the competence of auditors in mastering technology and integrating information systems between institutions. Without the support of technical capacity and good information governance, audit digitalization has the potential to produce big data without in-depth analytical meaning. Therefore, technology adoption policies must be accompanied by continuous training, strengthening data security regulations, and enforcing the professional ethics of public auditors (Veerankutty et al., 2018). Overall, the literature shows that effective public audit is a synergy between auditor independence, openness of audit results, and the use of information technology. The combination of these three factors contributes directly to improving government accountability and achieving the principles of good governance (Sofyani et al., 2020)

3. Methods

The literature review on public audit, transparency, and information technology in the context of government accountability shows a close relationship between these three variables in realizing good governance. Public audit theoretically functions as a tool to ensure the integrity of financial reports and assess compliance with fiscal policies and applicable accounting standards (Ahmad et al., 2020). In practice, public audit not only plays a role as a control mechanism but also as a medium that fosters public trust in the government.

The research by Isa et al. (2019) confirms that audits conducted independently and transparently can strengthen the legitimacy of public institutions because the audit results form the basis of moral and administrative accountability. Transparency arising from the publication of audit results encourages the community to participate in public oversight, while also creating a deterrent effect against potential abuse of power (Sofyani et al., 2020). Meanwhile, Lyrio et al. (2018) added that the openness of audit information also contributes to the efficiency of public policy because it increases the awareness of officials of the importance of public accountability.

Along with technological developments, the audit process in the public sector is undergoing significant digital transformation. Information technology provides auditors with the ability to identify patterns of data anomalies, automate verification processes, and increase the accuracy of risk analysis (Veerankutty et al., 2018). Within the framework of good governance, the use of information technology is a catalytic factor that strengthens the synergy between transparency and accountability. The study by Manita et al. (2020) showed that the digitalization of public audit in developing countries has increased the efficiency of supervision and accelerated the delivery of government financial reports.

The link between public audit, transparency, and information technology is also explained by Alqooti (2020) as an integral element in building a government that is adaptive and responsive to public demands. Challenges that are still faced include limitations in human resource competence, bureaucratic resistance to openness, and lack of data integration systems between institutions (Sedarmayanti et al. 2020). Therefore, the literature indicates that the success of public audit in increasing accountability is not only determined by normative tools and technology but also by the ethical commitment and professionalism of the auditors.

Thus, the literature review shows a consensus that transparent public audit supported by adequate information technology is the main foundation for strengthening fiscal accountability and realizing good governance.

4. Results

The results of the literature review indicate that public audit has a significant role in strengthening government accountability, increasing financial transparency, and ensuring the implementation of good governance principles. From the ten scientific articles analyzed, there is a consistent pattern confirming that auditor professionalism, openness of audit results, and the integration of information technology are key factors that determine the effectiveness of the public supervisory system.

Firstly, public audit functions as an accountability mechanism that ensures the government is responsible for managing public resources. As stated by Ahmad et al. (2020), public audit allows for the evaluation of the extent to which government agencies comply with the principles of efficiency and effectiveness in the use of public funds. Independent audit results serve as the basis for fiscal decision-making and improving the quality of public policy. In this context, the auditor acts as a watchdog of integrity, ensuring that every public financial activity is carried out in accordance with legal provisions and government accounting standards.

Secondly, the transparency of audit results is proven to be an important element in building public trust. The results of Isa et al.'s (2019) research show that the openness of public audit reports increases the perception of government honesty and reduces the risk of financial data manipulation. Transparency is not merely the presentation of information to the public, but also concerns the provision of access to data that is relevant and verifiable. In a modern governance environment, transparency is the basis for public participation in budget oversight, as the community can monitor the extent to which public funds are used for the public interest.

Heald (2018) added that the openness of audit results increases the credibility of public institutions in the eyes of investors, creditors, and international organizations. In the context of economic globalization, the reputation of government financial governance is a key indicator of external trust. The transparency of audit results, therefore, serves a dual function: as an instrument of domestic accountability and as a mechanism for international fiscal diplomacy. This strengthens the argument that accountability and transparency are two inseparable sides of the same coin in the practice of modern governance.

Thirdly, the use of information technology contributes significantly to increasing the effectiveness of public audit. Based on the research results of Veerankutty et al. (2018), the use of digital audit technology accelerates the data collection process, reduces the risk of human error, and allows for large-scale data analysis efficiently. In traditional audit systems, time and resource limitations are often the main obstacles. However, through Computer-Assisted Audit Techniques (CAATs), auditors can analyze financial transaction patterns real-time, detect anomalies, and identify potential fraud with a high degree of accuracy.

Similar findings are reinforced by Bisht et al. (2022), who explain that the digitalization of public audit creates dynamic transparency, where financial information can be accessed openly through technology-based systems. The use of information technology also allows for cross-institutional collaboration, strengthens the supervisory function, and speeds up data-based decision-making. In this case, digitalization not only strengthens the technical capacity of the audit but also encourages the creation of a governance ecosystem that is more adaptive to changes in the strategic environment.

Fourthly, the study results indicate that the relationship between public audit and government accountability is highly influenced by the level of independence of the audit institution. Santoso et al. (2021) highlighted that political pressure, conflicts of interest, and limitations in the authority of the auditing institution often weaken the role of public audit. In some cases, audit results that are critical of the government are not openly published due to political intervention. This situation creates a paradox between the idealism of accountability and the reality of a bureaucracy that is still closed. Therefore, institutional reform is needed to guarantee the full autonomy of the audit institution and legal protection for auditors.

The study results also highlight that the success of public audit is not only determined by technology and regulation but also by the capacity of human resources. Isa et al. (2019) stated that the competence of auditors, especially in mastering information technology and understanding digital risks, is a determining factor for the effectiveness of modern audits. Without adequate training, the implementation of digital audit technology risks creating data overload without meaningful analytical value. Therefore, strengthening auditor capacity through continuous education and professional certification is essential in the era of technology-based auditing.

Meanwhile, Lyrio et al. (2018) emphasize that organizational culture also influences the effectiveness of public audit. A closed and hierarchical bureaucratic culture tends to reject transparency, while an open organizational culture supports public participation and honest reporting. In this regard, digital transformation will only succeed if accompanied by cultural and ethical transformation within the government environment. Accountability cannot be achieved merely with technological tools, but requires values of integrity, responsibility, and moral courage in carrying out public duties.

In addition to structural and technological aspects, the study results show the importance of community participation in strengthening public oversight. According to Zeyn (2018), the publication of audit results opens space for the community to conduct social control over the use of the state budget. Public participation not only strengthens the legitimacy of the audit results but also increases the quality of democracy through the active involvement of citizens in fiscal oversight. Thus, inclusive public audit is the foundation for the formation of a government that is transparent and responsive to the needs of the community.

Alqooti (2020) concluded that the interaction between public audit, transparency, and information technology forms a governance ecosystem oriented towards integrity and efficiency. When these three elements are integrated synergistically, the result is an increase in public trust, efficiency in resource management, and the strengthening of institutional legitimacy. However, the challenges identified include limitations in technological infrastructure, resistance to innovation, and inconsistency in the application of audit standards across regions or institutions.

Overall, the results of this literature study affirm that independent, transparent, and technology-based public audit is the most effective combination in realizing an accountable government. The synergy between professional auditors, information technology support, and community participation creates a strong, adaptive, and sustainable supervisory system. This type of audit model not only increases the efficiency of state financial management but also strengthens public trust as the main social capital for government stability.

Thus, the study results show a new direction in public audit practice in the digital era, where transparency and accountability are no longer just administrative obligations but an integral part of an ethical, open, and public-service-oriented government culture.

5. Discussion

The results of the study indicate that public audit, transparency, and information technology are three complementary elements in building modern government accountability. The integration of the three creates a supervisory system that is more efficient, adaptive, and responsive to public demands. However, the effectiveness of this system largely depends on the extent to which government agencies are able to maintain auditor independence, consistently implement information openness, and optimize technological infrastructure (Veerankutty et al., 2018).

This finding aligns with agency theory which states that public audit functions as a mechanism to reduce information asymmetry between the government (agent) and the community (principal). Audit transparency plays a role in minimizing the potential for abuse of power by strengthening external control through public information access. In this context, public audit is not just an administrative tool, but also a means of democratization that encourages social participation in the oversight of fiscal policy (Zeyn, 2018).

The application of information technology serves as a catalyst in strengthening the effectiveness of public audit. With a digital audit system, the financial examination process becomes more accurate and efficient. According to Bisht (2022), audit digitalization creates a real-time monitoring mechanism that allows auditors to detect transaction anomalies early on. This condition strengthens the concept of predictive auditing, where the audit is no longer retrospective, but proactive against the risk of deviation. This finding supports the opinion of Veerankutty et al. (2018) that information technology governance plays a role as a strengthening factor in public audit governance.

However, there are structural challenges that still limit the effectiveness of implementing public audit systems in many developing countries. Santoso et al. (2021) highlighted the influence of political pressure and bureaucratic resistance to the openness of audit results. Public auditors often face a dilemma between professionalism and compliance with the political hierarchy. When audit results containing sensitive findings are not published transparently, public trust in government institutions potentially declines. This condition confirms that accountability cannot be achieved only through technical reform but requires ethical and moral commitment from institutional actors.

In addition, the human resource factor is also a major concern. The competence of auditors in managing technology-based audit systems still needs to be improved. Lyrio et al. (2018) emphasize the importance of developing technical capacity and forming a work ethic based on integrity. In this framework, the education and professional certification of auditors is a strategic investment to ensure the sustainability of accountable financial governance.

Overall, the literature discussion confirms that the success of the public audit system is not only the result of the application of technology or good regulation, but is the result of synergy between auditor professionalism, commitment to transparency, and institutional support for audit independence. When these three factors unite, public audit can function optimally as the main pillar of good governance which guarantees integrity, efficiency, and fairness in the management of state finances.

6. Conclusion

Public audit is a strategic instrument in realizing governance that is accountable, transparent, and oriented towards the public interest. Based on the results of the literature review of ten scientific articles in the last five years, it was found that the effectiveness of public audit is determined by three main factors: auditor independence, openness of audit results, and the use of information technology. The three form a synergy that strengthens external supervision mechanisms and increases public trust in the government.

The application of modern audit technology provides significant added value in efficiency, accuracy, and timeliness of financial reporting. Digital-based audit allows for early detection of potential deviations and accelerates the fiscal decision-making process. However, without the support of human resource competence and commitment to professional ethics, technology cannot replace the integrity of the auditor.

Thus, strengthening the capacity of public auditors, institutional reform to guarantee independence, and the application of a transparent, technology-based audit system are top priorities in improving the quality of public accountability. Professional and technology-based public audit not only improves the financial supervisory system but also builds social trust, which is the main social capital for sustainable good governance.

References

Adiputra, I. M. P., Utama, S., & Rossieta, H. (2018). Transparency of local government in Indonesia. *Asian Journal of Accounting Research*, *3*(1), 123-138.

Ahmad, J., Nonci, N., Nurmandi, A., Priyo, E., & Purnomo, A. (2020). What Factors Affect Financial Transparency Reports? A Study of Regional Government Financial Reports in South Sulawesi Province, Indonesia. *International Journal of Economics & Business Administration (IJEBA)*, *8*(4), 525-544.

Bisht, D., Singh, R., Gehlot, A., Akram, S. V., Singh, A., Montero, E. C., ... & Twala, B. (2022). Imperative role of integrating digitalization in the firms finance: A technological perspective. *Electronics*, *11*(19), 3252.

Heald, D. (2018). Transparency-generated trust: The problematic theorization of public audit. *Financial Accountability & Management*, *34*(4), 317-335.

Isa, A. M., Sharif, S. M., Ali, R. M., & Nordin, N. M. (2019). Managing evidence of public accountability: An information governance perspective. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, *10*(7), 142-153.

Lyrio, M. V. L., Lunkes, R. J., & Taliani, E. T. C. (2018). Thirty years of studies on transparency, accountability, and corruption in the public sector: The state of the art and opportunities for future research. *Public Integrity*, *20*(5), 512-533.

Manita, R., Elommal, N., Baudier, P., & Hikkerova, L. (2020). The digital transformation of external audit and its impact on corporate governance. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *150*, 119751.

Santoso, F., Wijaya, A., Pramudita, C., Permata, D., & Suryani, E. (2021). The Influence of Government Regulations on Auditing Practices: A Qualitative Research. *Golden Ratio of Auditing Research*, *1*(2), 54-63.

Sedarmayanti, S. G., Pradesa, H. A., Agnesia, T., & Sitorus, T. (2020). The effect of good governance, leadership and organizational culture on public performance accountability. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, *9*(1).

Sofyani, H., Riyadh, H. A., & Fahlevi, H. (2020). Improving service quality, accountability and transparency of local government: The intervening role of information technology governance. *Cogent Business & Management*, *7*(1), 1735690.

Veerankutty, F., Ramayah, T., & Ali, N. A. (2018). Information technology governance on audit technology performance among Malaysian public sector auditors. *Social Sciences*, *7*(8), 124.

Zeyn, E. (2018). The effect of internal audit quality on financial accountability quality at local government. *Journal of Accounting, Business and Finance Research*, *4*(2), 74-82.