Pamong Praja
Volume 1, Number 1, 2022

The Role of Independent Public Auditing and Financial Transparency in Strengthening Fiscal Accountability and Good Governance

Mega Putri Khairunnisa[1]

[1] Universitas Sarjanawiyata Tamansiswa, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Abstract

Article history:
Received: February 4, 2022
Revised: March 12, 2022
Accepted: April 9, 2022
Published: June 30, 2022

Keywords:
Accountability,
Audit Opinion,
Financial Management,
Good Governance,
Transparency.

Identifier:
Zera Open
Page: 74-91
https://zeraopen.com/journal/pp

Public demand for transparency and accountability in government financial management has continued to increase as a logical consequence of implementing the principles of good governance. This study aims to examine the role of audit opinions, financial report quality, and public sector auditing in strengthening accountability and integrity in state financial management. Using a literature review approach of studies published in last five years, the findings reveal that audit opinions serve as a public assurance instrument, while transparent financial reporting reinforces social control over the use of public funds. The results also indicate that synergy between effective audit systems, high-quality financial reporting, and proper governance practices enhances public trust and promotes government fiscal efficiency. Furthermore, this study provides empirical evidence that transparency and accountability are foundational elements of modern governmental legitimacy and determinants of public policy effectiveness. These findings contribute to a broader understanding of how audit mechanisms and financial disclosure play an integral role in achieving credible, efficient, and transparent financial governance.

1. Introduction

Transparency and accountability in government finance are the main pillars in realizing good governance and democracy. Both serve as key indicators of the integrity and legitimacy of modern government administration, where the use of public funds must be accountable to the public as the holders of the highest sovereignty. In this context, the government financial reporting system and public audit mechanisms become strategic means in upholding the values of openness, justice, and efficiency in state financial management. According to Alqooti (2020), public sector audit practices play a significant role in enhancing the transparency and accountability of government agencies, as well as serving as an independent evaluation tool for budget management performance.

In the era of decentralization and freedom of information, the public is increasingly critical of how the government manages public financial resources. Quality financial reporting reflects not only compliance with government accounting standards but also functions as an instrument of public legitimacy. Al-Hashimi (2019) asserts that transparency in regional financial reporting can strengthen public trust in the government apparatus, as open financial reports allow the public to assess the effectiveness and efficiency of budget usage. Therefore, audit opinions issued by independent institutions, such as the (*Badan Pemeriksa Keuangan*/BPK) Supreme Audit Agency in Indonesia, become important indicators reflecting the level of compliance and accountability of public entities toward laws and regulations.

Furthermore, audit opinions are not merely technical tools for assessing the fairness of financial statements, but also contain social and political dimensions.

According to Safkaur et al. (2019), audit opinions play a role in building public perception of government integrity, where an Unqualified Opinion (*Wajar Tanpa Pengecualian*/WTP) is often seen as a symbol of credibility and effectiveness in state financial governance. However, a good audit opinion does not necessarily guarantee freedom from irregularities or corruption if it is not accompanied by continuous supervision and a strong internal control system.

In the framework of bureaucratic reform and strengthening public accountability, the government also applies various digital innovations such as e-Audit systems to support inspection efficiency and early detection of potential corruption. As highlighted by Yuniarta and Purnamawati (2020), the use of digital-based audit technology can increase the transparency of the examination process, accelerate data validation, and strengthen the fiscal accountability system at the regional level. This is in line with the principles of new public governance, which emphasizes collaboration, openness, and public participation as integral parts of public sector management.

Several studies indicate that factors such as the quality of financial reports, apparatus competence, and internal audit effectiveness have a significant influence on the implementation of good governance in the public sector (Tambingon et al., 2018). Transparency and accountability are not merely administrative obligations but representations of public ethics that show the extent to which the government is responsible for the use of state resources. Thus, research on the relationship between audit opinions, transparency, and public financial accountability becomes highly relevant to understanding the effectiveness of modern government financial governance.

This study aims to analyze how audit opinions, financial reporting quality, and public sector audit systems contribute to increasing accountability and transparency in government administration. Through a literature review approach of scientific works published in the last five years, this research is expected to provide theoretical and empirical understanding of the importance of public financial oversight as a foundation for good governance. This study is also expected to be a basis for policy recommendations in strengthening state financial supervision mechanisms sustainably.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Transparency in Public Financial Management

Transparency in the public sector is a fundamental principle in a democratic government system. Transparency does not only mean openness of information but also the provision of financial data that is relevant, reliable, and easily accessible to the public. According to Al-Hashimi (2019), government financial report transparency functions as an instrument of social control that allows the community to assess how public funds are used and whether the fiscal policies applied are effective in achieving development goals. High transparency encourages public trust, reduces information asymmetry, and strengthens bureaucratic integrity.

In the context of local government, financial openness also plays a role in creating an accountable relationship between the government and the community.

Yuniarta and Purnamawati (2020) emphasize that the application of new public governance principles demands transparency as a primary prerequisite for the effectiveness of public policy. Local governments with open financial reporting systems tend to be more trusted by the public and have stronger legitimacy in fiscal decision-making. Additionally, openness in financial reports strengthens vertical accountability (to central authorities) and horizontal accountability (to the community), which ultimately supports the creation of clean and integrated governance.

Alqooti (2020), add that public financial transparency is closely related to the level of public trust in audit institutions and state financial institutions. In a democratic system, government financial reports function as a public accountability report, providing objective evidence to the public and external supervisory bodies regarding the management of public resources. Thus, transparency is not only an administrative aspect but also moral and political, as it reflects the government's commitment to the values of openness, honesty, and justice.

2.2. Government Financial Accountability and Good Governance

Public financial accountability refers to the government's obligation to explain, account for, and report the results of financial management to the public and supervisory bodies. High accountability reflects the integrity of public institutions and is an important indicator in the application of good governance principles (Naher et al., 2020). In practice, accountability is measured not only by compliance with regulations but also by the extent to which the government demonstrates effectiveness, efficiency, and fairness in the use of public funds.

Grossi et al. (2020) explains that increased public accountability can be achieved through strengthening government accounting systems, applying transparent reporting standards, and strict internal supervision. Good accountability ensures that fiscal policies taken by the government are based on accurate and verifiable financial data. Furthermore, financial accountability functions as a social control mechanism to prevent irregularities and budget misuse.

In an empirical context, Triwibowo (2019) found that strong internal audit practices contribute to increasing public financial management accountability. The role of internal auditors is not only corrective but also preventive, namely helping government agencies fix system weaknesses before they become significant irregularities. This aligns with the view of Bora et al. (2021), who assert that public accountability is a main pillar of fiscal democracy sustainability, as it allows the public to monitor the use of state resources openly.

Additionally, Tambingon et al. (2018) show that the quality of public financial reporting has a significant effect on achieving good governance. Financial reports prepared accurately, relevantly, and auditably become the basis for transparency and accountability of public policy. Therefore, the quality of the government financial reporting system becomes a direct reflection of the level of accountability and effectiveness of government administration.

2.3. Audit Opinion as an Indicator of Public Accountability

An audit opinion is a form of independent assurance given by auditors on the fairness of financial statements. In the government context, an audit opinion functions as a tool of public legitimacy describing the extent to which financial statements are presented in accordance with accounting principles and applicable regulations. Safkaur et al. (2019) emphasize that audit opinions have strategic implications for public perception of government integrity. Governments that obtain an WTP are usually considered to have a high level of accountability and good governance.

However, audit opinions should not be understood solely as a symbol of administrative success. Haliah and Nirwana (2019) states that audit opinions should be interpreted as a reflection of the effectiveness of internal control and reporting transparency, not merely an administrative award. Audit opinions become instruments ensuring the integration between fiscal responsibility, public ethics, and efficiency in state fund management.

Furthermore, the integration of technology-based audit systems like e-Audit strengthens the effectiveness of public financial examinations. This innovation allows auditors to perform more comprehensive data analysis, accelerate the examination process, and detect potential irregularities early on (Alqooti, 2020). Thus, audit opinions are not just an evaluative mechanism, but also part of a managerial control system aimed at ensuring the sustainability of public accountability in government financial governance.

3. Methods

This research uses a literature review approach (library research) that is qualitative descriptive in nature, aiming to examine and synthesize various scientific findings regarding transparency, government financial accountability, audit opinions, and good governance principles in the context of public financial management. This approach was chosen because the issues studied are conceptual and normative, so the analysis is directed more towards a deep understanding of theories, concepts, and empirical practices discussed in various academic literature and previous research results.

Data sources in this study were obtained secondarily through searching scientific articles indexed on Google Scholar or Research Gate, with publication criteria within the last five years. Literature selection was conducted based on topic relevance, journal credibility, and direct connection to issues of accountability and public sector financial transparency. The articles used cover various empirical and theoretical studies, both from international and national contexts, to obtain a comprehensive picture.

The analysis process was carried out through content analysis techniques (content analysis) with stages including: (1) identification of key concepts, (2) thematic classification of main findings, (3) comparison between literature to find patterns and similarities, and (4) formulation of a conceptual synthesis explaining the relationship between variables such as transparency, audit opinion, and public accountability. This analysis aims to find a conceptual framework explaining how audit mechanisms and financial reporting quality can increase the effectiveness of good governance.

In addition, this research also applies a comparative-conceptual approach, comparing study results across countries and periods to assess the consistency of public financial accountability principle application. This approach is important to understand how audit practices, regulations, and financial reporting system reforms may vary but still aim for universal goals: transparency, efficiency, and justice in public fund management. Thus, this literature review method is not only descriptive but also analytical and reflective, to provide theoretical contributions to the development of more accountable and transparent public sector financial governance.

4. Results

The results of this study indicate that transparency and public financial accountability have a close relationship with the effectiveness of governance (good governance), where audit opinion acts as a connecting variable providing independent assurance on the fairness of financial statements. Based on a review of ten scientific literatures from the last five years, it was found that the application of transparency and accountability principles in public financial management has a direct impact on increasing public trust, strengthening internal control, and the effectiveness of government fiscal policy.

Findings from Alqooti (2020) emphasize that professional and independent public audit practices are fundamental in ensuring that government finances are managed in a responsible and transparent manner. Transparent public audits enhance stakeholder trust because audit results demonstrate the institution's adherence to fiscal accountability and integrity principles. This perspective highlights that audit quality directly influences the credibility of financial management and the overall perception of good governance. Furthermore, Yuniarta and Purnamawati

(2020) reinforce these findings by revealing that local governments with robust internal audit mechanisms and transparent financial reporting systems are more likely to receive favorable audit opinions. Such entities are also better equipped to optimize the allocation and utilization of public resources. Together, these studies underscore that effective audit systems and transparency not only strengthen fiscal discipline but also serve as essential components of sustainable and accountable public financial governance.

Empirically, transparency and accountability are proven to have a reciprocal effect on public policy effectiveness. When financial reports are prepared openly and are accessible to the public, the community can participate in the supervision and assessment process of government fiscal performance. This creates a form of social control that strengthens the integrity of the state apparatus. Al-Hashimi (2019) shows that the level of openness of local government financial reports in Iraq is positively correlated with increased public trust in local government institutions. This openness becomes the basis for political stability and increased efficiency in public budget use.

Apart from the aspect of public trust, transparency also plays a role in reducing the risk of moral hazard and budget irregularities. Grossi et al. (2020) asserts that the application of transparency principles in public financial reporting is able to suppress the potential for corruption, collusion, and nepotism (KKN) through the provision of data that is easily audited and verified. Transparency encourages public institutions to work openly and be responsible for the results of the programs run.

Thus, financial information openness serves not only as an administrative obligation but also as a preventive strategy against irregularities in the government system.

Audit opinions issued by independent auditors serve as instruments of legitimacy strengthening government credibility. Safkaur et al. (2019) highlight that WTP reflect the integrity of financial reporting and the reliability level of the government's internal control system. However, an audit opinion is not the end goal, but part of a continuous evaluative process to ensure state finances are used efficiently and in accordance with legal provisions. In practice, some government entities that obtain good opinions still face challenges in maintaining consistency in implementing accountability principles at the operational level. This confirms that audit opinions need to be viewed as dynamic indicators, not static ones, in assessing financial governance.

Research by Tambingomn et al. (2018) identified that the quality of public financial reporting is strongly influenced by human resource competence, government accounting systems, and consistent application of reporting standards. When financial reports are prepared based on principles of transparency and accuracy, the resulting audit opinions tend to be positive, which ultimately strengthens public perception of good governance. Conversely, incomplete or irrelevant financial reports can lower audit quality and cause public distrust in government fiscal performance.

Grossi et al. (2020) emphasize the importance of integrating technology-based audit systems, such as e-Audit, to enhance the accuracy and efficiency of public financial examinations. Through the use of advanced digital tools, auditors can access real-time financial data, streamline validation procedures, and identify irregularities at an early stage. This technological integration not only improves the timeliness and reliability of audit results but also minimizes human error and opportunities for manipulation. Furthermore, it supports greater transparency and accountability in public sector financial management by ensuring that data are systematically monitored and analyzed. Grossi et al. (2020) assert that digital innovation represents a strategic advancement in strengthening audit supervision mechanisms and optimizing the effectiveness of government financial reporting within the broader context of digital transformation and modern governance practices.

In the context of internal supervision, Triwibowo (2019) state that internal audits function as a control tool ensuring financial procedures run according to applicable policies and regulations. Good internal audit performance can increase the effectiveness of external audits and improve the opinions issued by audit institutions. These findings confirm that internal and external audits have a complementary relationship in maintaining public accountability.

Furthermore, the analysis results show that the implementation of good governance in the public sector requires cross-institutional collaboration and community participation as external supervisors. According to Bora et al. (2021), the principle of accountability is attached not only to government institutions but also to the community, who have the right to know and evaluate state fiscal performance. Therefore, public information openness policies and access to financial reports are integral parts of a healthy fiscal democracy system.

This study further revealed that audit opinions serve as a reliable benchmark for assessing the effectiveness of public financial control. According to Haliah and Nirwana (2019), a positive audit opinion reflects the government's success in maintaining fiscal discipline, adhering to applicable accounting standards, and demonstrating transparency in financial reporting. Such opinions signify not only technical compliance but also institutional integrity and sound governance practices. However, sustaining favorable audit outcomes requires continuous improvement of audit systems, consistent capacity building for auditors, and regular updates to regulatory frameworks to adapt to evolving global financial environments. Ongoing reform ensures that audit mechanisms remain responsive, credible, and aligned with international best practices.

Thus, audit opinions should be understood as dynamic indicators that measure the quality of fiscal governance and accountability, emphasizing the importance of institutional resilience and the state's commitment to transparency and ethical financial management (Haliah & Nirwana, 2019). From these various studies, it can be concluded that transparency and accountability have a dual effect on government financial governance: first, as a means of public supervision over fiscal policy, and second, as an internal mechanism to ensure public fund use complies with regulations. Public audits, both internal and external, play an important role in maintaining the balance between public interest and government authority.

Audit opinions become objective indicators linking financial reporting, public accountability, and government institution integrity. Overall, the findings of this study indicate that the successful implementation of good governance in public financial management depends not only on legal instruments or regulations but also on the ethical commitment and integrity of the state apparatus. Transparency, accountability, and audit opinions are not standalone entities, but three pillars that support each other in creating a credible, efficient, and fair public financial system.

5. Discussion

The findings of this research reinforce the view that transparency, accountability, and audit opinions are inseparable elements in an effective public financial governance system. These three elements form a cycle of fiscal integrity that functions to ensure the use of public resources is efficient, lawful, and responsible. In the context of modern government, transparency becomes not only a form of information openness but also a tool to uphold fiscal justice through participatory public oversight. In line with the findings of Al-Hashimi (2019), transparency has a strategic role in strengthening the legitimacy of government institutions in the eyes of the public, because open information allows the public to assess the effectiveness and honesty of state financial management.

In the perspective of accountability, this research shows that quality financial reporting that can be audited independently becomes the main basis for public trust. Strong accountability relates not only to regulatory compliance but also includes the moral integrity of public apparatus. As stated by Triwibowo (2019), public accountability is a two-way system, where the government is obliged to report budget usage and the public has the right to evaluate the results. Thus, a good accountability system strengthens principles of fiscal democracy and suppresses the possibility of irregularities.

The role of audit opinion in this context becomes very important as it functions as a bridge between government financial reports and public perception of state institution honesty. Audit opinions provide assurance to stakeholders that financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles and applicable legal provisions. However, as conveyed by Safkaur et al. (2019), audit opinions should not be interpreted merely as administrative symbols, but as indicators of integrity and internal control system effectiveness. Governments receiving Unqualified Opinions (WTP) are not necessarily free from the risk of irregularities if internal audit mechanisms and social controls do not run consistently.

Moreover, the discussion results also highlight the importance of technological innovation in strengthening fiscal transparency. The application of e-Audit systems and the digitalization of public financial reporting, as suggested by Grossi et al. (2020), can increase examination accuracy and speed, while minimizing opportunities for data manipulation. This technology integration makes the audit process more objective and data-driven, which directly strengthens the quality of audit opinions and public trust in the results.

Thus, it can be affirmed that the effectiveness of public financial governance is determined by the extent to which synergy between transparency, accountability, and audits can be realized sustainably. These three components not only increase fiscal efficiency but also strengthen the political legitimacy of the government in the eyes of the community. Public financial reform needs to continue to be directed at strengthening auditor capacity, consistency in applying accounting standards, and optimizing digital technology to create a supervision system that is more adaptive, transparent, and of high integrity.

6. Conclusion

This research confirms that transparency, accountability, and audit opinions are three fundamental components in creating credible, efficient, and integrated public financial governance. The three form a system that interacts to ensure that every use of public funds can be accounted for legally, morally, and administratively. Transparency allows the community to access government financial information openly, thereby increasing public participation in supervision. Accountability becomes the instrument ensuring that public officials carry out fiscal responsibilities honestly and efficiently, while audit opinions play a role in providing independent assurance on the fairness of financial statements.

The synthesis results show that positive audit opinions reflect not only the technical success of financial report preparation but also serve as indicators of the government's success in upholding good governance principles. The implementation of technology-based public audits such as e-Audit has the potential to strengthen accuracy and transparency, while suppressing the risk of irregularities. However, the quality of financial reporting and auditor integrity remain the main determining factors for the effectiveness of the public accountability system. Thus, efforts to strengthen government financial governance need to be directed at increasing auditor competence, consistency in applying reporting standards, and commitment to public information openness. Sustainable reform will create a fiscal environment that is transparent, accountable, and oriented towards the people's welfare, which ultimately strengthens public trust in the government as the primary manager of state resources.

References

Al-Hashimi, A. (2019). Transparency of government financial reporting: A case study of local government financial reporting in Iraq. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, *10*(6), 372-393.

Alqooti, A. A. (2020). Public governance in the public sector: Literature review. *EuroMid Journal of Business and Tech-Innovation*, 14-25.

Bora, I., Duan, H. K., Vasarhelyi, M. A., Zhang, C., & Dai, J. (2021). The transformation of government accountability and reporting. *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting*, *18*(2), 1-21.

Grossi, G., Kallio, K. M., Sargiacomo, M., & Skoog, M. (2020). Accounting, performance management systems and accountability changes in knowledge-intensive public organizations: a literature review and research agenda. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, *33*(1), 256-280.

Haliah, & Nirwana. (2019). The development of good governance model for performance improvement. *International Journal of Excellence in Government*, *1*(1), 21-36.

Naher, N., Balabanova, D., Hutchinson, E., Marten, R., Hoque, R., Tune, S. N. B. K., ... & Ahmed, S. M. (2020). Do social accountability approaches work? A review of the literature from selected low-and middle-income countries in the WHO South-East Asia region. *Health policy and planning*, *35*(Supplement_1), i76-i96.

Safkaur, O., Afiah, N. N., Poulus, S., & Dahlan, M. (2019). The effect of quality financial reporting on good governance. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, *9*(3), 277.

Tambingon, H. N., Yadiati, W., & Kewo, C. L. (2018). Determinant factors influencing the quality of financial reporting local government in Indonesia. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, *8*(2), 262-268.

Triwibowo, S. (2019). Public financial management and corruption In Indonesia: a panel cointegration and causality analysis. *Journal of Indonesian Economy and Business*, *34*(3), 267-279.

Triwibowo, S. (2019). Public financial management and corruption In Indonesia: a panel cointegration and causality analysis. *Journal of Indonesian Economy and Business*, *34*(3), 267-279.

Yuniarta, G. A., & Purnamawati, I. G. A. (2020). Key elements of local government transparency in new public governance. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, *18*(4), 96-106.