Journal of Financial Supervision and Audit
Volume 4, Number 1, 2025

Accountability, Transparency, and the Quality of Local Government Financial Reports: A Systematic Literature Review

Hanna Shafira Hadi[1]

[1] Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang, Indonesia

Abstract

Article history:
Received: January 9, 2025
Revised: February 23, 2025
Accepted: April 3, 2025
Published: June 30, 2025

Keywords:
Accountability,
Good Governance,
Local Government,
Transparency,
Quality of Financial Reports.

Identifier:
Zera Open
Page: 1-13
https://zeraopen.com/journal/jfsa

The quality of local government financial reports represents an important measure of good governance. Among the key factors shaping report quality are accountability and transparency, which influence accuracy, timeliness, and compliance with accounting standards. Nonetheless, their effectiveness is often constrained by issues such as abuse of authority, weak internal controls, limited public financial literacy, and insufficient technological infrastructure. This study applies a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) to analyze how accountability and transparency affect the quality of financial reporting and to identify obstacles that hinder their implementation. The review shows consistent findings: accountability, through systematic monitoring and reporting, enhances compliance with accounting standards but is weakened by inadequate internal control mechanisms; transparency, through open disclosure and citizen participation, improves report reliability and fosters public trust, yet is challenged by low financial literacy and technological limitations. To strengthen these factors, governments are advised to improve oversight systems, promote financial literacy education, and invest in better information technology infrastructure. Future studies are encouraged to investigate context-specific strategies that can support more effective implementation of accountability and transparency in local government financial reporting.

1. Introduction

Accountability and transparency are fundamental pillars in the preparation of government financial reports. Both serve as crucial indicators for assessing the reliability of financial statements and their effectiveness as instruments of public accountability. Accountability is an ethical principle closely tied to governance and involves the executive, legislative, and judicial branches. It ensures that the use of public funds can be properly justified to society. Meanwhile, transparency is vital for enabling citizens, as mandate holders, to openly monitor government performance. By guaranteeing access to financial management information, transparency helps maintain public trust in government institutions (Masdar et al., 2021).

The relationship between citizens and regional leaders can be explained through the principal-agent theory, where regional heads act as agents who must remain accountable to the people as principals. Local governments are therefore required to present financial reports that not only comply with accounting standards but are also understandable and accessible to the public. The Regional House of Representatives (*Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat Daerah*/DPRD) also plays a central role in overseeing local government activities. Hence, the quality of financial reports largely depends on the consistent application of accountability and transparency across all stages of financial management (Dewi et al., 2019).

In practice, however, these principles have not always been effectively implemented. For example, in one subdistrict of Sleman Regency, the local government repeatedly obtained Unqualified Opinions (*Wajar Tanpa Pengecualian*, WTP) yet was still considered to have failed in addressing community needs and lacked transparency in financial disclosure. This raises concerns about whether favorable audit opinions truly reflect the actual quality of financial reporting. The absence of adequate disclosure to citizens, who have the right to know their region's financial condition, suggests that formal achievements such as WTP do not necessarily guarantee the expected levels of openness and accountability (Al-Hashimi, 2019).

Previous studies have consistently demonstrated a positive relationship between accountability, transparency, and the quality of financial reports. Findings indicate that higher levels of accountability and transparency contribute to stronger integrity in local government financial reporting. Other research further confirms their significant influence on financial report quality, reinforcing the notion that the consistent application of these principles can enhance public trust in government financial reporting (Agung, 2020).

Based on these observations, it is essential to further examine the impact of accountability and transparency on financial reporting quality. This study seeks to provide a clearer understanding of the relationship between these variables and financial reporting quality (Darwis & Ohorella, 2022). The results are also expected to offer practical recommendations for local governments to continuously improve accountability and transparency practices, thereby producing financial reports that not only meet formal requirements but also fulfill public expectations as genuine accountability.

2. Methods

This study adopts the Systematic Literature Review (SLR) method to identify, evaluate, and synthesize previous research related to the influence of accountability and transparency on financial reporting quality. The SLR was selected because it provides a structured and comprehensive approach to mapping existing literature, allowing researchers to better understand variable relationships, detect consistent patterns, and highlight research gaps that may serve as a basis for future investigations. The process begins with the formulation of clear research questions to establish the scope of the review, for instance examining how accountability and transparency affect the quality of financial reports. A systematic search is then conducted through academic databases such as Google Scholar, using strict inclusion and exclusion criteria for example, selecting only articles published in indexed journals or within a specified timeframe. This ensures that the studies analyzed are both relevant and of high quality.

After collecting the relevant literature, each study is assessed for quality and relevance, often using appraisal tools such as the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP). Data are then extracted to capture key findings, including the role of accountability (financial management responsibility) and transparency (information disclosure) in ensuring accuracy, reliability, and compliance of financial reports with accounting standards. The findings are synthesized both qualitatively (through narrative analysis) and, where applicable, quantitatively (through meta-analysis) to identify recurring trends, such as the positive link between transparency and stakeholder trust in financial reporting. The SLR also enables the identification of research gaps, for example, the limited focus on certain organizational contexts or mediating factors such as corporate governance, as well as inconsistencies across studies, such as differences in how accountability influences reporting in the public versus private sectors. In this way, the review not only consolidates current knowledge but also offers directions for future research, including the development of empirical models and the exploration of contextual factors that shape these relationships. Overall, this systematic approach aims to enhance both theoretical insights and practical applications regarding the role of accountability and transparency in strengthening financial reporting quality.

3. Results

3.1. How Accountability Shapes the Quality of Local Government Financial Reports

The findings of the Systematic Literature Review (SLR) indicate that accountability has a significant effect on the quality of financial reports, especially within local governments. Higher levels of accountability encourage governments to be more responsible in managing and preparing financial reports that comply with established accounting standards, such as the Government Accounting Standards (SAP) in Indonesia. This results in a more systematic and transparent reporting process that can be justified to both the public and other stakeholders (Dewi et al., 2019). In this regard, accountability reflects the obligation of local governments to explain how public finances are managed. Effective mechanisms such as publishing budget realization reports and involving legislative bodies in oversight have been shown to improve the quality of financial reporting. Studies further suggest that when governments practice public accountability, financial reports are generally more accurate, timely, and relevant.

These findings align with good governance principles, which emphasize openness, transparency, and public oversight in financial management. For instance, clear and well-prepared financial reports enable citizens to see how budgets are spent, thereby strengthening trust in local government institutions (Agung, 2020). Accountability also motivates governments to focus more carefully on the technical aspects of financial reporting. Accurate recording of transactions and adherence to accounting standards are key indicators of report quality. Several studies show that accountability implemented through internal and external audits increases report reliability. External audits, for example, ensure compliance with standards and minimize material misstatements, while legislative oversight ensures that reports are not treated as mere formalities but as tools for assessing government performance (Prabtanto et al., 2021).

Despite these positive effects, some studies reveal that the quality of financial reports in certain local governments remains below expectations. Contributing factors include abuse of authority, such as data manipulation or misrepresentation of actual conditions (Dziak et al., 2020). Weak internal control systems also limit accountability. Research highlights that without strong controls, accountability cannot operate effectively. Examples include a lack of auditor independence or insufficient training for staff preparing financial reports. Strengthening internal controls through strict monitoring procedures and improving human resource capacity is therefore essential to maximize accountability's impact on report quality (Prabtanto et al., 2021).

The findings also reveal differences in how accountability is implemented across local governments. Regions with sufficient resources and a strong commitment to good governance tend to produce higher-quality reports. By contrast, governments with limited resources or low awareness of accountability often struggle to meet reporting standards. This suggests that local contexts such as institutional capacity and organizational culture are critical in determining the effectiveness of accountability (Yusran, 2023). Furthermore, accountability influences not only technical aspects of reporting but also public trust. High-quality reports generated under strong accountability systems increase the legitimacy of governments, while poor or non-transparent reporting can foster distrust and criticism (Sofyani et al., 2020).

In conclusion, the SLR findings confirm that accountability is a key factor in improving the quality of local government financial reports. To achieve optimal outcomes, accountability must be supported by strong monitoring systems, skilled human resources, and a commitment to good governance principles. This study also highlights the need for further research to explore contextual factors affecting accountability practices across different regions. In this way, accountability can serve as a central pillar in ensuring financial reports that are not only technically sound but also transparent and trustworthy.

3.2. How Transparency Enhances the Quality of Local Government Financial Reports

The findings of the Systematic Literature Review (SLR) highlight that transparency plays a critical role in strengthening the quality of local government financial reports. Transparency is reflected in practices such as disclosing financial information to the public, providing online access to financial reports, and involving communities in budgeting and evaluation processes. These measures demonstrate a commitment to the principles of good governance, which emphasize openness and accountability in public financial management (Al-Hashimi, 2019).

Transparency ensures that financial information is presented honestly, accurately, and in a way that is relevant to stakeholders. When reports are made available through government websites or other media, citizens can directly monitor the use of public funds. This creates social pressure that motivates governments to prepare financial reports more carefully, thereby reducing the risk of misstatements or errors. Research shows that transparency improves the accuracy and timeliness of reports two key indicators of report quality. Moreover, open disclosure fosters trust among both the public and auditors, ultimately enhancing the legitimacy of local governments (Haustein & Lorson, 2023).

Effective transparency practices include publishing financial reports in accessible and user-friendly formats and engaging citizens in budget discussions (Cohen et al., 2022). Studies also note that regions adopting online transparency portals tend to produce higher-quality financial reports because of increased public oversight. For instance, the open publication of budget realization reports allows citizens to compare planned budgets with actual outcomes, prompting governments to maintain consistency and accuracy. Similarly, involving citizens in budget planning processes, such as through development planning forums (*Musrenbang*), strengthens both accountability and report quality by integrating direct stakeholder input (Yuniarta & Purnamawati, 2020).

Nonetheless, several barriers hinder the effectiveness of transparency. Low levels of public financial literacy remain a challenge, as many citizens particularly in rural areas struggle to interpret financial reports, limiting their ability to hold governments accountable. Weak information technology infrastructure in some regions also poses difficulties; for example, limited internet access restricts online reporting initiatives and reduces public access to information. In addition, bureaucratic culture can obstruct transparency, as some agencies are reluctant to disclose full financial data due to fear of criticism or increased scrutiny (Sofyani et al., 2020).

To overcome these challenges, additional measures are needed. Public financial literacy programs are crucial to help citizens understand key financial concepts, including budgets, realizations, and variances. Investing in reliable and user-friendly IT systems, such as online reporting portals, can also expand access to financial information. Furthermore, governments should foster a culture of openness by training staff to recognize transparency as a core principle of good governance (Dewi et al., 2019).

These findings suggest that transparency not only improves the quality of financial reports but also strengthens trust between governments and citizens. By enabling the public to clearly understand how funds are allocated, transparency reinforces legitimacy and accountability. However, its effectiveness depends on the ability of governments to address both technical and social barriers (Ferraris et al., 2020). Future research is recommended to explore strategies for improving financial literacy and expanding technological infrastructure, particularly in underdeveloped areas, to ensure more equitable implementation of transparency. In this way, transparency can serve as a powerful mechanism for producing high-quality, credible financial reports and supporting effective governance.

4. Conclusion

The findings of this Systematic Literature Review (SLR) demonstrate that accountability and transparency play a crucial role in enhancing the quality of local government financial reports. However, several challenges, such as low levels of public financial literacy, inadequate information technology infrastructure, and a bureaucratic culture resistant to openness, limit the full effectiveness of transparency. To address these barriers and strengthen the impact of accountability and transparency, governments need to reinforce internal monitoring mechanisms, expand financial literacy education, and invest in more robust technological infrastructure. Strengthening these aspects not only improves the quality of financial reporting but also fosters greater public trust and reinforces the principles of good governance. Finally, further research is recommended to identify and develop more effective implementation strategies that are tailored to different regional contexts.

References

Agung, M. (2020). The effect of financial reporting quality on regional governments' performance accountability. *KnE Social Sciences*, 694-701.

Al-Hashimi, A. (2019). Transparency of government financial reporting: A case study of local government financial reporting in Iraq. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, *10*(6), 372-393.

Al-Hashimi, A. (2019). Transparency of government financial reporting: A case study of local government financial reporting in Iraq. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, *10*(6), 372-393.

Cohen, S., Manes Rossi, F., Mamakou, X., & Brusca, I. (2022). Financial accounting information presented with infographics: does it improve financial reporting understandability?. *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management*, *34*(6), 263-295.

Darwis, H., & Ohorella, R. W. U. (2022). Transparency and accountability of regional financial management: Accessibility of financial statements as a moderation. *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science*, *11*(9), 243-249.

Dewi, N., Azam, S., & Yusoff, S. O. U. T. H. (2019). Factors influencing the information quality of local government financial statement and financial accountability. *Management Science Letters*, *9*(9), 1373-1384.

Dewi, N., Azam, S., & Yusoff, S. O. U. T. H. (2019). Factors influencing the information quality of local government financial statement and financial accountability. *Management Science Letters*, *9*(9), 1373-1384.

Dewi, N., Azam, S., & Yusoff, S. O. U. T. H. (2019). Factors influencing the information quality of local government financial statement and financial accountability. *Management Science Letters*, *9*(9), 1373-1384.

Dziak, J. J., Dierker, L. C., & Abar, B. (2020). The interpretation of statistical power after the data have been gathered. *Current Psychology*, *39*(3), 870-877.

Ferraris, A., Santoro, G., & Pellicelli, A. C. (2020). "Openness" of public governments in smart cities: removing the barriers for innovation and entrepreneurship. *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, *16*(4), 1259-1280.

Haustein, E., & C. Lorson, P. (2023). Transparency of local government financial statements: Analyzing citizens' perceptions. *Financial Accountability & Management*, *39*(2), 375-393.

Masdar, R., Furqan, A. C., Masruddin, M., & Meldawaty, L. (2021). The role of transparency and professional assistance in regional financial management in the Indonesian regional governments. *Journal of Public Affairs*, *21*(3), e2666.

Prabtanto, W., Apriyanto, G., & Respati, H. (2021). The Effect of Regional Financial Accounting System and Human Resources Competency on Regional Financial Report Accountability with Information Quality Mediation in Probolinggo Regency Government. *International Journal of Business Marketing and Management (IJBMM)*, *6*(9), 18-23.

Sofyani, H., Riyadh, H. A., & Fahlevi, H. (2020). Improving service quality, accountability and transparency of local government: The intervening role of information technology governance. *Cogent Business & Management*, *7*(1), 1735690.

Sofyani, H., Riyadh, H. A., & Fahlevi, H. (2020). Improving service quality, accountability and transparency of local government: The intervening role of information technology governance. *Cogent Business & Management*, *7*(1), 1735690.

Yuniarta, G. A., & Purnamawati, I. G. A. (2020). Key elements of local government transparency in new public governance. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, *18*(4), 96-106.

Yusran, I. N. (2023). Determinants of the quality of financial reports. *International Journal of Professional Business Review: Int. J. Prof. Bus. Rev.*, *8*(3), 11.