Journal of Financial Supervision and Audit
Volume 2, Number 2, 2023

Economic Development and Environmental Sustainability: Sectoral Impacts and Mitigation Strategies

Puji Harto[1]

[1] Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang, Indonesia

Article history:
Received: July 3, 2023
Revised: August 29, 2023
Accepted: October 10, 2023
Published: December 30, 2023

Keywords:
Agriculture,
Economic development,
Environmental Kuznets Curve,
Industrial sector,
Transportation.

Identifier:
Zera Open
Page: 91-107
https://zeraopen.com/journal/jfsa

Abstract

Economic development frequently imposes pressure on environmental quality, particularly through activities in the industrial, transportation, and agricultural sectors. This study examines the link between economic growth and environmental sustainability, focusing on sector-specific impacts and strategies for mitigation to promote sustainable development. Employing a Systematic Literature Review (SLR), the research collects and synthesizes evidence from academic literature to identify key trends and findings regarding the environmental consequences of economic activities. Results indicate that the industrial sector generates solid, liquid, and gaseous waste, polluting air and water resources, while the transportation sector contributes to greenhouse gas emissions due to reliance on fossil fuel vehicles. The agricultural sector, although vital to the economy, leads to soil and water degradation through pesticide and chemical fertilizer use, as well as land conversion. The Environmental Kuznets Curve suggests environmental quality initially worsens during early development stages but can improve with awareness and policy interventions. The study highlights the importance of integrating environmental and economic policies, promoting green technologies, and enhancing public awareness to mitigate environmental pressures and achieve sustainable growth, while also identifying gaps for future research.

1. Introduction

Economic development is a critical process aimed at enhancing community welfare and strengthening a nation's overall foundation. However, this process often exerts significant pressure on environmental sustainability. Environmental degradation has emerged as a pressing concern across multiple regions (Siddiqua et al., 2022). Manifestations include deforestation, air and water pollution, and the deterioration of soil quality, reflecting the stress development places on natural carrying capacity. Increasing public awareness regarding environmental importance has stimulated initiatives at local, national, and global levels to comprehend and address the negative consequences of unsustainable development (Hechavarria et al., 2019). Attention to environmental issues within the development framework became more prominent in the early 1970s, marked by global discussions on integrating economic growth and environmental preservation. A pivotal milestone was the Brundtland Commission report by the World Commission on Environment and Development, which introduced the concept of sustainable development, defined as meeting present needs without compromising the ability of future generations to fulfill theirs. This report emphasized the inseparability of development and environmental preservation (Ndubisi et al., 2021).

As global challenges grew in complexity, development policies have increasingly required incorporating environmental dimensions. Conventional development strategies, often centered on extensive resource exploitation, have led to significant environmental strain. Excessive extraction beyond the environment's regenerative capacity has resulted in water and air pollution, drought, reduced

agricultural productivity, and decreased food availability (Ding et al., 2021). Environmental problems are strongly influenced by ongoing economic activities in key sectors, particularly industry, agriculture, and transportation (Yu et al., 2019). These sectors contribute significantly to economic growth while exerting both direct and indirect environmental effects. The outcomes may include positive spillovers but also negative feedback effects that worsen environmental quality if not properly managed (Tien et al., 2020). For instance, Water Quality Index data indicate a decline from 50.33% to 49.17% in 2017, whereas the overall Environmental Quality Index has gradually improved, reaching 60.70 in 2017, categorized as fairly good. Such indicators are crucial for guiding environmental management policies, particularly those supporting sustainable development. The index also serves as a benchmark for evaluating whether development aligns with regulations, such as Law Number 32 of 2009 on Environmental Protection and Management (Li et al., 2022).

Economic growth also produces negative externalities, encompassing social and environmental impacts not accounted for in direct economic costs. For example, increased utilization of hazardous and toxic substances in industrial and commercial activities poses serious risks to environmental safety. To address these concerns, policies such as Government Regulation Number 74 of 2001 on the Management of Hazardous and Toxic Materials have been implemented (Zhang et al., 2021). Theoretical approaches, including the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) hypothesis, help explain the relationship between development and environmental quality. The EKC suggests that environmental degradation intensifies during the initial phases of economic growth, but after reaching a specific income threshold,

societal demand for better environmental quality emerges, leading to reduced negative impacts. This hypothesis underscores the importance of social oversight and regulatory frameworks in balancing economic expansion with environmental preservation (Shi et al., 2020).

Based on this context, this research addresses several problems: how economic development interacts with environmental quality; the extent to which primary sectors industry, agriculture, and transportation affect changes in the Environmental Quality Index; and whether there is evidence of an Environmental Kuznets Curve in local development conditions (Han et al., 2021). The objectives of this study are to analyze the influence of economic growth on environmental quality, assess the contributions of key economic sectors in shaping environmental outcomes (Tao et al., 2022), and examine the relevance of the EKC hypothesis for guiding environmentally sustainable development policies. By understanding these dynamics, policymakers can design strategies that integrate economic objectives with environmental protection, promoting balanced and sustainable growth that safeguards natural resources for future generations.

2. Methods

This study utilizes a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) methodology to examine the connection between economic development and environmental quality. The SLR approach was selected to achieve a thorough and structured understanding of the concepts, empirical findings, and emerging patterns in the academic literature concerning the environmental consequences of economic activities, particularly

within the industrial, agricultural, and transportation sectors. By employing this method, the research systematically gathers data from diverse scholarly sources, ensuring transparency, objectivity, and reliability in the review process. The study specifically investigates how economic development, measured through indicators such as Gross Domestic Product (GDP) growth, capital investment, and industrialization, influences environmental quality, including air pollution, water resources, and biodiversity preservation.

The industrial sector, encompassing manufacturing and processing activities, is frequently linked to carbon emissions and hazardous waste generation. In contrast, agricultural practices can contribute to environmental degradation through deforestation, excessive use of pesticides, and soil depletion. Additionally, the transportation sector plays a significant role in greenhouse gas emissions due to increasing urbanization and mobility demands, making it a critical area of environmental concern. Through the SLR, this research identifies significant trends, including heightened awareness of sustainable development practices and the implementation of green technologies aimed at mitigating environmental impacts. The literature emphasizes the trade-offs inherent in pursuing economic growth while maintaining environmental conservation, noting that poorly balanced policies may intensify ecosystem deterioration.

The study also examines strategies such as circular economy principles, stringent environmental regulations, and eco-friendly industrial practices to harmonize economic advancement with environmental sustainability. The findings of this SLR are intended to inform policymakers, researchers, and industry

practitioners in designing strategies that foster economic development without undermining environmental quality. By synthesizing insights from multiple studies, the research also identifies knowledge gaps that may guide future investigations. Moreover, the SLR methodology allows for a critical evaluation of the long-term effects of economic activities on environmental sustainability, supporting evidence-based decision-making and the development of prudent policies that reconcile growth objectives with ecological preservation.

3. Results

3.1. Balancing Economic Development and Environmental Sustainability

Economic development serves as a key driver for regional advancement, yet it frequently imposes substantial pressures on environmental quality. This study applies a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) methodology to explore the intricate relationship between economic activities and environmental conditions, focusing particularly on the industrial, agricultural, and transportation sectors. Findings indicate that while economic development enhances regional economic indicators such as Gross Domestic Product (GDP) growth, investment, and employment, it simultaneously generates significant environmental pressures. These pressures manifest as negative externalities, including air pollution, industrial waste, greenhouse gas emissions, soil degradation, and water contamination, which collectively undermine environmental quality (Xue et al., 2022). In the initial phases of development, industrial and transportation activities were the primary sources of environmental harm. Industrial operations, including manufacturing and processing,

produce hazardous waste and substantial carbon emissions, while transportation, essential for urbanization and mobility, contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that accelerate climate change (Khalili et al., 2019).

Data from multiple studies reveal that water quality, a key measure of environmental health, has deteriorated due to overexploitation of natural resources. Activities such as mining, pesticide application in agriculture, and disposal of untreated industrial effluents exacerbate this degradation. The Environmental Quality Index (Indeks Kualitas Lingkungan Hidup/IKLH) demonstrates gradual improvement in several regions, yet values remain in the "fairly good" range, indicating the need for more proactive interventions to achieve substantial environmental gains (Prasanna et al., 2019). The Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) framework provides insight into these dynamics, suggesting that environmental quality initially declines during early stages of economic growth as economic priorities overshadow ecological considerations (Khan et al., 2020). Over time, however, when per capita income rises and public environmental awareness increases, societal demands for better environmental protection prompt policies such as emission standards, green technology adoption, and improved waste management. This transition, however, is not automatic; it requires strong governance and collective action to mitigate the adverse impacts of development (Chen & Ma, 2021).

Unchecked economic growth without sustainability considerations can cause lasting environmental damage. Degradation negatively affects ecosystems and threatens human health, as seen in the increased incidence of respiratory illnesses

from air pollution or reduced access to clean water due to contamination (Vasylieva et al., 2019). Biodiversity loss resulting from deforestation or agricultural expansion disrupts ecosystem stability, which can in turn impede economic productivity. Integrating economic policies with environmental protection measures is therefore essential to balance growth with natural resource preservation (Yang et al., 2021). Strategies such as the circular economy encourage resource efficiency, reuse, and recycling to reduce environmental burdens. Adoption of green technologies, including renewable energy systems and advanced waste treatment methods, also helps minimize emissions and hazardous waste generation (Teece, 2019).

Regulatory mechanisms, such as carbon taxation and stringent emission standards, have proven effective in incentivizing sustainable practices among economic actors. Their success, however, depends on collaboration among government, private sector, and communities (Chege & Wang, 2020). This study also identifies research gaps, particularly the limited examination of long-term effects of environmental policies in developing countries, highlighting the need for further investigation to create inclusive and sustainable development models (Faber & Gaubert, 2019). By synthesizing findings through the SLR approach, this research underscores that sustainable economic development requires a comprehensive approach integrating economic growth, environmental preservation, and social well-being. Effective policy interventions, coupled with public awareness and technological innovation, can foster harmony between development and environmental sustainability, ensuring benefits for current and future generations (Hsu et al., 2021).

3.2. Sectoral Contributions and Mitigation Strategies for Environmental Pressure in Rapid Economic Development

Rapid economic development often generates substantial pressures on environmental quality, particularly through industrial, transportation, and agricultural activities. These three sectors play interconnected yet distinct roles in creating environmental impacts, including air and water pollution, land degradation, and biodiversity loss. Using a Systematic Literature Review (SLR), this study investigates the contribution of each sector to environmental pressures and explores mitigation strategies that can promote sustainable development (Mejjad et al., 2022). The industrial sector is a primary source of environmental pollution due to its production processes that generate solid, liquid, and gaseous wastes. Activities such as manufacturing, raw material processing, and energy production emit carbon dioxide, sulfur dioxide, and particulate matter that degrade air quality. In addition, liquid industrial waste, including toxic chemicals and heavy metals, is often discharged into rivers and lakes without proper treatment, contaminating water resources. Insufficient waste management technologies further exacerbate these impacts, especially in rapidly industrializing regions. Literature indicates that the industrial sector contributes the largest proportion of global greenhouse gas emissions, driving climate change and deteriorating urban air quality (Wang et al., 2020).

The transportation sector similarly imposes environmental pressures, primarily through vehicle emissions. Heavy reliance on fossil fuel-powered private

vehicles increases levels of pollutants such as carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides, and particulate matter in the atmosphere. Urban traffic congestion worsens air pollution, negatively affecting public health and increasing the incidence of respiratory illnesses. The lack of environmentally friendly transportation infrastructure, such as electric public transport and bicycle lanes, remains a major barrier. Additionally, expanding road and port infrastructure often reduces green spaces, decreasing carbon absorption and ecological balance (Khan et al., 2020). Although essential for food security and economic activity, the agricultural sector exerts complex environmental impacts. Intensive farming practices, including overuse of chemical fertilizers and pesticides, degrade soil fertility and contaminate water sources. Runoff pesticides harm aquatic ecosystems, impacting fish populations and drinking water quality. Deforestation for agricultural land conversion diminishes biodiversity and reduces forests' carbon storage capacity.

Moreover, transforming agricultural land into industrial or residential areas further pressures ecosystems, increases erosion, and heightens flooding risks. Unsustainable farming practices contribute to land degradation, potentially threatening agricultural productivity itself. Mitigating the environmental impacts of these sectors requires sector-specific sustainable development strategies. In the industrial sector, adopting environmentally friendly technologies, such as modern waste treatment systems and renewable energy use, can reduce emissions and waste. Implementing strict regulations, including emission standards and carbon taxes, incentivizes industrial actors to transition to sustainable practices (Nilsson et al., 2021). In the transportation sector, developing efficient and green public transport

systems, such as electric trains and hydrogen buses, can reduce dependence on fossil fuel vehicles. Incentives for electric vehicles, as well as pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure, can further decrease urban air pollution (Goel, 2022). For agriculture, sustainable practices such as organic farming, precision irrigation, and soil conservation reduce environmental pressures. Reforestation and land preservation programs maintain biodiversity and prevent harmful land-use changes. Public awareness campaigns can promote environmentally responsible behavior, including reducing harmful chemical use and supporting local, sustainable agricultural products (Pata, 2021).Each of these sectors contributes differently to environmental pressures, requiring targeted mitigation measures. Strengthening regulations, deploying innovative technologies, and enhancing public awareness are crucial to balancing economic development with environmental preservation. By adopting an integrated approach, negative environmental impacts can be minimized, supporting sustainable environmental quality for future generations (Baah et al., 2021).

4. Conclusion

This study employs a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach to examine the significant impact of economic development on environmental quality, focusing on the industrial, transportation, and agricultural sectors. Findings indicate that the industrial sector is a major source of air and water pollution as well as hazardous waste due to manufacturing and processing activities often lacking proper waste management. The transportation sector further contributes to environmental degradation through emissions from fossil fuel-dependent vehicles, particularly in

urban areas with limited eco-friendly infrastructure. The agricultural sector also affects the environment by utilizing chemical fertilizers, pesticides, deforestation, and land conversion, leading to soil degradation and water contamination. The Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) concept suggests that environmental quality initially deteriorates during early economic development stages but can improve after reaching a certain income level, driven by public awareness and effective policies. Sustainable development requires integrating economic growth with environmental protection through measures such as green technologies, strict regulations including carbon taxes, environmentally friendly transport infrastructure, and sustainable agricultural practices. Public education and awareness campaigns are also essential for reducing environmental pressures.

This study highlights the importance of a coordinated sectoral approach to harmonize economic advancement with environmental preservation. It emphasizes that without targeted policies and collective action, rapid development can exacerbate pollution and ecosystem damage. By identifying key pressures from different sectors and proposing mitigation strategies, this research provides a foundation for future studies and policy formulation aimed at achieving long-term environmental sustainability. Overall, the findings underscore the need for a comprehensive strategy that balances economic growth with the protection of natural resources for current and future generations.

References

Baah, C., Opoku-Agyeman, D., Acquah, I. S. K., Agyabeng-Mensah, Y., Afum, E., Faibil, D., & Abdoulaye, F. A. M. (2021). Examining the correlations between stakeholder pressures, green production practices, firm reputation, environmental and financial performance: Evidence from manufacturing SMEs. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, *27*, 100-114.

Chege, S. M., & Wang, D. (2020). Information technology innovation and its impact on job creation by SMEs in developing countries: an analysis of the literature review. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, *32*(3), 256-271.

Chen, Y., & Ma, Y. (2021). Does green investment improve energy firm performance?. *Energy Policy*, *153*, 112252.

Ding, C., Liu, C., Zheng, C., & Li, F. (2021). Digital economy, technological innovation and high-quality economic development: Based on spatial effect and mediation effect. *Sustainability*, *14*(1), 216.

Faber, B., & Gaubert, C. (2019). Tourism and economic development: Evidence from Mexico's coastline. *American Economic Review*, *109*(6), 2245-2293.

Goel, R. K., & Vishnoi, S. (2022). Urbanization and sustainable development for inclusiveness using ICTs. *Telecommunications Policy*, *46*(6), 102311.

Han, H., Guo, L., Zhang, J., Zhang, K., & Cui, N. (2021). Spatiotemporal analysis of the coordination of economic development, resource utilization, and environmental quality in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei urban agglomeration. *Ecological Indicators*, *127*, 107724.

Hechavarria, D., Bullough, A., Brush, C., & Edelman, L. (2019). High-growth women's entrepreneurship: Fueling social and economic development. *Journal of Small Business Management*, *57*(1), 5-13.

Hsu, C. C., Quang-Thanh, N., Chien, F., Li, L., & Mohsin, M. (2021). Evaluating green innovation and performance of financial development: mediating concerns of environmental regulation. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *28*(40), 57386-57397.

Khalili, S., Rantanen, E., Bogdanov, D., & Breyer, C. (2019). Global transportation demand development with impacts on the energy demand and greenhouse gas emissions in a climate-constrained world. *Energies*, *12*(20), 3870.

Khan, S. A. R., Yu, Z., Sharif, A., & Golpîra, H. (2020). Determinants of economic growth and environmental sustainability in South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation: evidence from panel ARDL. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *27*, 45675-45687.

Khan, S. A. R., Zhang, Y., Kumar, A., Zavadskas, E., & Streimikiene, D. (2020). Measuring the impact of renewable energy, public health expenditure, logistics, and environmental performance on sustainable economic growth. *Sustainable development*, *28*(4), 833-843.

Li, J., Chen, L., Chen, Y., & He, J. (2022). Digital economy, technological innovation, and green economic efficiency—Empirical evidence from 277 cities in China. *Managerial and Decision Economics*, *43*(3), 616-629.

Mejjad, N., Rossi, A., & Pavel, A. B. (2022). The coastal tourism industry in the Mediterranean: A critical review of the socio-economic and environmental pressures & impacts. *Tourism Management Perspectives*, *44*, 101007.

Ndubisi, N. O., Zhai, X. A., & Lai, K. H. (2021). Small and medium manufacturing enterprises and Asia's sustainable economic development. *International Journal of Production Economics*, *233*, 107971.

Nilsson, L. J., Bauer, F., Åhman, M., Andersson, F. N., Bataille, C., de la Rue du Can, S., ... & Vogl, V. (2021). An industrial policy framework for transforming energy and emissions intensive industries towards zero emissions. *Climate Policy*, *21*(8), 1053-1065.

Pata, U. K. (2021). Renewable and non-renewable energy consumption, economic complexity, CO 2 emissions, and ecological footprint in the USA: testing the EKC hypothesis with a structural break. *Environmental science and pollution research*, *28*, 846-861.

Prasanna, R. P. I. R., Jayasundara, J. M. S. B., Naradda Gamage, S. K., Ekanayake, E. M. S., Rajapakshe, P. S. K., & Abeyrathne, G. A. K. N. J. (2019). Sustainability of SMEs in the competition: A systemic review on technological challenges and SME performance. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, *5*(4), 100.

Shi, T., Yang, S., Zhang, W., & Zhou, Q. (2020). Coupling coordination degree measurement and spatiotemporal heterogeneity between economic development and ecological environment Empirical evidence from tropical and subtropical regions of China. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *244*, 118739.

Siddiqua, A., Hahladakis, J. N., & Al-Attiya, W. A. K. (2022). An overview of the environmental pollution and health effects associated with waste landfilling and open dumping. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *29*(39), 58514-58536.

Tao, Z., Zhang, Z., & Shangkun, L. (2022). Digital economy, entrepreneurship, and high-quality economic development: Empirical evidence from urban China. *Frontiers of Economics in China*, *17*(3), 393.

Teece, D. J. (2019). A capability theory of the firm: an economics and (strategic) management perspective. *New zealand economic papers*, *53*(1), 1-43.

Tien, N. H., Anh, D. B. H., & Ngoc, N. M. (2020). Corporate financial performance due to sustainable development in Vietnam. *Corporate social responsibility and environmental management*, *27*(2), 694-705.

Vasylieva, T., Lyulyov, O., Bilan, Y., & Streimikiene, D. (2019). Sustainable economic development and greenhouse gas emissions: The dynamic impact of renewable energy consumption, GDP, and corruption. *Energies*, *12*(17), 3289.

Wang, C., Cardon, P. W., Liu, J., & Madni, G. R. (2020). Social and economic factors responsible for environmental performance: A global analysis. *Plos one*, *15*(8), e0237597.

Xue, Y., Tang, C., Wu, H., Liu, J., & Hao, Y. (2022). The emerging driving force of energy consumption in China: does digital economy development matter?. *Energy Policy*, *165*, 112997.

Yang, Y., Su, X., & Yao, S. (2021). Nexus between green finance, fintech, and high-quality economic development: Empirical evidence from China. *Resources Policy*, *74*, 102445.

Yu, J., Zhou, K., & Yang, S. (2019). Land use efficiency and influencing factors of urban agglomerations in China. *Land Use Policy*, *88*, 104143.

Zhang, W., Zhao, S., Wan, X., & Yao, Y. (2021). Study on the effect of digital economy on high-quality economic development in China. *PloS one*, *16*(9), e0257365.