Journal of Financial Supervision and Audit
Volume 1, Number 2, 2022

Auditor Competence and Audit Quality in State-Owned Enterprises

Alifa Puspadini[1]

[1] Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang, Indonesia

Abstract

Article history:
Received: July 3, 2022
Revised: September 10, 2022
Accepted: October 27, 2022
Published: December 30, 2022

Keywords:
Audit Quality,
Auditor Competence,
Auditor Independence,
Rotation Policy,
State-Owned Enterprises (SOEs).

Identifier:
Zera Open
Page: 72-85
https://zeraopen.com/journal/jfsa

This research examines the influence of auditor competence on the quality of audits conducted within State-Owned Enterprises (SOEs). The study emphasizes how professional expertise, technical proficiency, accumulated experience, and auditor objectivity contribute to the credibility, transparency, and reliability of financial statements subjected to audit. Auditor competence is considered essential not only for ensuring compliance with auditing standards but also for fulfilling the information needs of stakeholders who depend on accurate financial reporting for decision-making. Furthermore, the study investigates the effects of auditor tenure and audit rotation policies on audit quality. While extended tenure can strengthen client-specific knowledge, it also carries the risk of diminishing auditor independence. On the other hand, rotation practices may safeguard independence, but overly frequent changes could reduce audit effectiveness. The findings suggest that maintaining an optimal balance between tenure and rotation, combined with continuous professional development, is vital to enhancing independence, objectivity, and overall audit quality in SOEs.

1. Introduction

The effectiveness of financial statement audits in State-Owned Enterprises (SOEs) is strongly associated with the competence of the auditors responsible for carrying out the audit process. Auditor competence represents a multidimensional construct that encompasses not only professional proficiency but also expertise, analytical capability, and a comprehensive understanding of auditing standards, regulatory frameworks, and the unique operational context of the audited entity (Zahmatkesh & Rezazadeh, 2017). This competence is indispensable because it directly influences the reliability, transparency, and overall integrity of the financial information being presented to stakeholders. Reliable audits serve as a mechanism of assurance, confirming that the financial statements adhere to established principles and can be trusted for effective decision-making.

Audit quality is shaped not only by technical expertise but also by the objectivity, independence, and accountability of auditors. A technically skilled auditor who lacks independence may still fail to produce credible audit outcomes. Conversely, auditors who consistently exercise objectivity and ethical responsibility are better positioned to identify risks, apply appropriate audit procedures, and deliver judgments that contribute to the credibility of financial reporting (Boiral et al., 2019). Competence thus emerges as a holistic attribute, integrating technical mastery with professional skepticism and ethical accountability, all of which are essential in the complex environment of SOEs. Moreover, high-quality audit committees play a crucial role in ensuring effective oversight and improving organizational performance. Competent auditors working within such structures are able to conduct audits without undue external pressure, thereby strengthening transparency and adherence to ethical standards.

Another key factor influencing audit quality is the accumulation of experience and expertise by auditors. Research highlights that auditors with extensive professional experience develop deeper knowledge, stronger analytical skills, and more refined judgment, ultimately resulting in higher-quality audits (Kadous & Zhou, 2019). For this reason, audit committees must place strong emphasis on selecting members and external auditors who not only possess financial and auditing expertise but also demonstrate a commitment to ongoing development. The selection process should be informed by both technical competence and the capacity to maintain independence. Continuous learning and development opportunities for auditors are equally critical in ensuring that they remain updated with changing regulations, international accounting standards, and emerging risks in the financial environment.

The importance of continuous professional development cannot be overstated. For auditors operating in SOEs, ongoing education should include formal training programs, practical case-based learning, and exposure to diverse audit engagements. These opportunities help auditors build the adaptability and resilience needed to address complex and evolving audit challenges. Moreover, staying informed about new standards and regulatory reforms ensures that auditors are capable of applying relevant and timely knowledge in practice. Professional growth also fosters the ability to anticipate and respond effectively to risks that may compromise financial reporting quality. Institutional support further enhances auditor competence and audit quality. Initiatives such as those developed by the Center for Audit Quality provide frameworks and tools for audit committees to assess external auditors more effectively and make informed recommendations regarding the retention of audit firms (Grove et al., 2021).

These initiatives emphasize systematic evaluations of auditor performance, reinforcing the need for competence, independence, and transparency in the audit process (Naidoo, 2020). By adopting such tools, SOEs can improve audit oversight and strengthen public confidence in their financial reporting practices. The primary objective of this study is to examine in depth the impact of auditor competence on audit quality within SOEs. The research explores how knowledge, technical expertise, accumulated experience, and professional judgment contribute to the credibility and reliability of financial statements. It also seeks to analyze factors that affect auditor independence, recognizing that independence is a cornerstone of high-quality audits. In addition, the study emphasizes the importance of continuous professional development as a means of enhancing audit quality in the challenging and dynamic environment of SOEs.

By doing so, the research highlights the ways in which competent auditors, supported by effective audit committees, can significantly improve the credibility, transparency, and integrity of financial reporting. In conclusion, auditor competence is a decisive factor in ensuring audit quality. It combines technical expertise, ethical responsibility, and professional judgment, all of which are critical to producing reliable audit outcomes in SOEs. Coupled with strong audit committee oversight and continuous professional development, competent auditors are central to enhancing the effectiveness of financial audits and sustaining stakeholder trust.

2. Literature Review

The requirements regarding auditor selection and rotation have long been debated as essential mechanisms for strengthening auditor independence. Independence is a fundamental principle that ensures audits are conducted free from undue influence, thereby safeguarding the reliability and credibility of financial statements. A critical factor in improving audit quality is the appointment of auditors with substantial professional experience. Such auditors possess a more comprehensive understanding of the auditing process and display advanced professional competence, both of which directly enhance audit quality.

Competence enables auditors to evaluate and address risks more accurately, implement suitable audit procedures, and make well-grounded decisions, ultimately producing more reliable and credible financial reporting. Beyond the auditors' individual expertise, the capability of audit committee members significantly shapes the success of the audit process. High-quality audit committees composed of members with strong financial and auditing backgrounds are linked to better coordinated and more effective internal audit performance. These competent members provide essential oversight, ensuring that auditors carry out their responsibilities free from external pressures and with an appropriate level of professional skepticism.

In addition, audit committees are tasked with assessing the quality and clarity of the auditor's communication with both the committee and management. This includes reviewing the auditor's independence, objectivity, and application of professional skepticism, all of which are vital in preserving the integrity of the audit process. By promoting open dialogue and robust oversight, audit committees can help ensure that audits are executed in line with the highest standards of professionalism and independence (Grove et al., 2021). Consequently, this strengthens the overall quality of financial reporting and builds greater stakeholder trust in company financial statements.

3. Method

This research adopts a descriptive approach with a carefully structured design to examine more comprehensively the influence of auditor competence on the quality of audits in State-Owned Enterprises (SOEs). The focus of the study lies in exploring how elements such as auditor expertise, independence, and professionalism directly shape the reliability, transparency, and credibility of financial reporting within these entities. Auditor competence is seen as a multidimensional factor, encompassing technical skills, accumulated professional knowledge, practical experience, and adherence to ethical standards, all of which contribute to the effectiveness of the audit process.

The data in this study are primarily derived from library research, involving an extensive review of both national and international journal articles, books, and official reports that address issues of auditor competence, audit quality, and auditor independence in the context of SOEs. This method allows the researcher to synthesize a wide range of perspectives and findings from prior academic and professional discussions. In addition, the study also reflects on insights and considerations related to the role of audit committee members, as they are central to monitoring audit performance and safeguarding the integrity of the audit process. Audit committees play a vital role in ensuring auditors maintain independence and professionalism while carrying out their duties.

The analysis process emphasizes the identification of key factors that influence audit quality within the unique environment of SOEs. Special attention is given to how competence, relevant experience, and compliance with ethical and professional standards significantly enhance audit outcomes. The study also highlights the importance of independence and oversight mechanisms, both of which prevent external pressures from undermining audit quality. Ultimately, the research aims to formulate constructive recommendations related to the continuous professional development of auditors. Training programs, knowledge enhancement initiatives, and supportive organizational policies are considered crucial in elevating audit performance, reinforcing financial accountability, and strengthening public trust in the financial statements of SOEs.

4. Results

The processes carried out by boards, particularly in relation to their membership composition, governance mechanisms, and quality-control procedures, need to be implemented transparently to guarantee that the work of auditors is subjected to proper scrutiny and accountability. A high degree of transparency at the governance level not only promotes fairness and trust but also provides assurance that auditing practices align with established professional codes and ethical standards. In this context, the professional competence, accountability, and objectivity of auditors represent core elements that directly and significantly influence the overall quality of audits (Zahmatkesh & Rezazadeh, 2017).

Competence, in particular, equips auditors with the technical expertise necessary to evaluate financial information effectively, apply relevant auditing procedures, and exercise professional judgment in a way that enhances the credibility and reliability of financial statements. Nonetheless, competence alone is not sufficient to safeguard audit quality. Without complementary mechanisms of accountability and the ability to make independent and objective decisions, the inherent value of audits can be seriously undermined. When the competence and independence of audits come under scrutiny, especially in times of corporate collapse or financial scandals, the role of auditors is questioned more intensely. In such circumstances, auditors often succeed in defending their technical expertise and professional competence.

However, as highlighted by Houghton & Jubb (2002), they frequently struggle to provide equally persuasive arguments regarding the objectivity of their decision-making processes or the independence with which audits are conducted. This gap highlights the critical importance of ensuring that auditors not only possess strong technical abilities but also uphold independence in both practice and appearance. Independence is a cornerstone of the auditing profession, as it bolsters public trust and provides stakeholders with confidence that audit reports are impartial and free from bias or external influence (Louw & Maroun, 2017).

One of the most widely debated issues in auditing research and practice relates to auditor tenure and the implementation of auditor rotation policies. Both short and long tenures present advantages and drawbacks. On the one hand, frequent auditor changes may negatively affect audit quality because the newly appointed auditor often lacks adequate knowledge of the client's business, internal control systems, and operational risks. This insufficient understanding can reduce the auditor's ability to identify risks and provide reliable assurance, while also driving up costs due to the need for additional resources and time to gain familiarity (Qawqzeh et al., 2018).

On the other hand, excessively long auditor tenure is also problematic. Long-term relationships between auditors and clients can diminish professional skepticism, encourage complacency, and potentially impair independence. Familiarity may result in biased judgment or reduced vigilance, thereby weakening audit quality over time. Indeed, empirical studies confirm that prolonged auditor–client relationships can increase the likelihood of biased outcomes and undermine the effectiveness of the audit function (Gao & Zhang, 2019).

The debate has therefore shifted to consider alternatives, such as the rotation of audit partners rather than the entire audit firm. This approach has been proposed as a middle ground, providing many of the benefits associated with independence while still allowing the retention of valuable client-specific knowledge. Research by Dodgson et al. (2020) indicates that audit partner rotation may successfully enhance independence while avoiding some of the disruptions and costs that come with mandatory audit firm rotation. However, the effectiveness of this policy is not uniform and often depends on contextual factors, such as the complexity of the client's business, the size of the audit firm, and the resources available to support the transition.

Empirical evidence regarding mandatory audit firm rotation remains mixed. For instance, while Horton et al. (2021) suggest that such a policy can strengthen independence, they also caution that the transitional phase often brings operational challenges. These challenges include a temporary decline in audit efficiency and potential disruptions to audit quality as new auditors familiarize themselves with the client's systems and industry environment. Moreover, audits conducted during shorter tenures may result in lower-quality financial reporting, since auditors might lack the time required to thoroughly understand the risks and intricacies of their clients' operations.

Thus, designing tenure and rotation policies requires regulators and policymakers to carefully weigh the trade-offs between independence and accumulated client knowledge. While rotation may enhance independence, excessive turnover risks eroding the deep understanding that is essential for effective risk assessment and accurate audits (Church et al., 2018). Conversely, maintaining long tenure strengthens auditor familiarity with the client but can endanger objectivity and weaken public trust. A balanced regulatory framework is therefore necessary, one that safeguards independence without eliminating the benefits of familiarity.

In conclusion, the complexities surrounding auditor competence, independence, tenure, and rotation policies make it clear that audit quality cannot be attributed to a single factor. Instead, it results from a dynamic interplay of professional competence, governance transparency, accountability mechanisms, and regulatory oversight. Competent auditors with strong technical expertise, guided by independence and objectivity, form the foundation of reliable auditing. At the same time, well-functioning governance structures and carefully designed regulatory policies provide the checks and balances necessary to preserve integrity. To sustain high-quality audits that can withstand public scrutiny and reinforce confidence among stakeholders, continuous professional development of auditors, transparent governance practices, and balanced policies on tenure and rotation are indispensable. Ultimately, it is this multifaceted approach integrating skills, independence, governance, and oversight that ensures the continued credibility and trustworthiness of financial reporting.

5. Conclusion

The conclusion of this research emphasizes that auditor competence represents one of the most decisive factors in shaping the overall quality of audits within State-Owned Enterprises (SOEs). Competent auditors, who possess sufficient professional expertise, technical knowledge, and relevant practical experience, are more capable of carrying out the audit process thoroughly and effectively. This competence contributes directly to the increased credibility, transparency, and integrity of financial statements, which are fundamental for supporting accountability and maintaining stakeholder confidence.

Beyond technical proficiency, the study highlights that the objectivity and independence of auditors are equally vital elements in determining audit quality. Independence allows auditors to carry out their responsibilities without undue influence or pressure from external parties, ensuring that the final audit results are free from bias and reflective of professional skepticism. The findings also reveal that auditor tenure and rotation policies exert considerable influence on audit quality. On the one hand, rotation can be beneficial because it reduces the risks of over-familiarity and over-reliance on a client, which may compromise auditor independence.

On the other hand, excessive or overly frequent rotation can generate challenges, including the loss of client-specific knowledge and the additional costs associated with onboarding new auditors, potentially reducing the effectiveness of the audit process. Therefore, the study concludes that it is essential to find an optimal balance between sufficient tenure to build strong knowledge of the client and appropriate rotation to preserve independence. Ultimately, this research underlines the importance of continuous professional development for auditors, alongside policies that reinforce independence, accountability, and objectivity to enhance audit practices in SOEs.

References

Boiral, O., Heras-Saizarbitoria, I., & Brotherton, M. C. (2019). Assessing and improving the quality of sustainability reports: The auditors' perspective. *Journal of business ethics*, *155*(3), 703-721.

Church, B. K., Jenkins, J. G., & Stanley, J. D. (2018). Auditor independence in the United States: Cornerstone of the profession or thorn in our side?. *Accounting Horizons*, *32*(3), 145-168.

Gao, P., & Zhang, G. (2019). Auditing standards, professional judgment, and audit quality. *The Accounting Review*, *94*(6), 201-225.

Grove, H., Clouse, M., & Xu, T. (2021). Climate change risk: Challenge for corporate governance. *Journal of Governance and Regulation/Volume*, *10*(2).

Horton, J., Livne, G., & Pettinicchio, A. (2021). Empirical evidence on audit quality under a dual mandatory auditor rotation rule. *European Accounting Review*, *30*(1), 1-29.

Houghton, K. A., & Jubb, C. (2002). An Australian response to recent developments in the market for audit services. *Australian Accounting Review*, *12*(27), 24-30.

Kadous, K., & Zhou, Y. (2019). How does intrinsic motivation improve auditor judgment in complex audit tasks?. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, *36*(1), 108-131.

Louw, A., & Maroun, W. (2017). Independent monitoring and review functions in a financial reporting context. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, *25*(2), 268-290.

Naidoo, I. (2020). Audit and evaluation: Working collaboratively to support accountability. *Evaluation*, *26*(2), 177-189.

Qawqzeh, H. K., Endut, W. A., Rashid, N., Johari, R. J., Hamid, N. A., & Rasit, Z. A. (2018). Auditor tenure, audit firm rotation and audit quality: A. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, *8*(12), 1314-1324.