International Journal of
Public Finance and Fiscal Policy
Volume 4, Number 1, 2025

Balancing Economic Growth and Fiscal Policy for Equitable Welfare and Sustainable National Stability

Azmi Deshinta Maharani[1]

[1] Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Abstract

Article history:
Received: January 19, 2025
Revised: February 23, 2025
Accepted: April 11, 2025
Published: June 30, 2025

Keywords:
Economic Growth,
Fiscal Policy,
Income Inequality,
National Stability,
Social Welfare.

Identifier:
Zera Open
Page: 75-91
https://zeraopen.com/journal/ijpffp

Economic growth plays a crucial role in enhancing societal welfare through job creation, income generation, and improved public service delivery. However, economic expansion does not always guarantee equitable welfare distribution, as its benefits often remain concentrated among specific social groups. This study analyzes the relationship between economic growth, social welfare, income inequality, and fiscal policy through a historical approach. Based on recent literature, stable and inclusive economic growth can strengthen national stability when supported by equitable fiscal policies oriented toward income redistribution. Fiscal policy serves not only as an instrument for macroeconomic management but also as a mechanism to ensure social justice and reduce inequality. The findings emphasize that the interaction between growth and distribution is essential in achieving sustainable national welfare. When economic progress is aligned with fiscal inclusivity and institutional transparency, it fosters long-term stability and broad-based prosperity. Therefore, this study concludes that balancing economic expansion and equitable redistribution is the key foundation for inclusive and sustainable development.

1. Introduction

Economic growth is a fundamental indicator in assessing the level of progress of a country. Through an increase in national output, people's income, and labor productivity, economic growth has great potential to strengthen overall social welfare. However, contemporary economic literature indicates that a high rate of economic growth does not automatically guarantee equitable welfare. This condition is often influenced by income distribution inequality among community groups. According to Gunasinghe et al. (2021), an increase in national income without being accompanied by effective redistribution policies can actually widen the social gap and erode national cohesion. Social welfare is determined not only by the size of per capita income but also by the ability of the community to obtain equal access to education, health services, and economic opportunities.

Malla and Pathranarakul (2022) emphasize that the institutional capacity of the government in managing fiscal policy plays a vital role in ensuring that economic growth is inclusive and equitable. In this context, the government holds a strategic role through the functions of allocation, distribution, and economic stabilization. The government is obligated to ensure that the results of economic growth can be enjoyed by all segments of society, not just concentrated in the economic elite. From a macroeconomic perspective, national sustainability and stability greatly depend on the government's ability to maintain a balance between economic growth, inflation rate, and unemployment. When economic growth occurs without being accompanied by welfare equity, social inequality has the potential to cause political and economic instability. Aremo and Abiodun (2020) found that in developing countries, fiscal policy serves as the main instrument for stabilizing the economy while reducing social inequality. However, the effectiveness of this instrument depends on the level of fiscal transparency, public accountability, and good governance.

Furthermore, Ernawati et al. (2021) asserted that inclusive fiscal policy is capable of bridging the relationship between economic growth and increased social welfare. This approach does not only focus on economic expansion but also emphasizes the importance of income redistribution through the application of progressive taxes, targeted subsidies, and increased social investment. In the context of sustainable development, inclusive fiscal policy is the main foundation for creating long-term economic stability while strengthening social resilience. Conceptually, the relationship between economic growth, social welfare, and national stability is dynamic and mutually influential. Economic growth oriented toward social inclusiveness and justice will result in more equitable welfare and strengthen socio-political stability. Conversely, growth that is not accompanied by equity can lead to gaps, worsen inequality, and weaken public trust in state institutions.

Therefore, fiscal policy must be designed adaptively and evidence-based, taking into account the specific socio-economic conditions of each country. This research focuses on the linkage between economic growth, social welfare, and national stability, highlighting the role of fiscal policy as the main instrument in achieving balance among the three. Through a historical approach, this study outlines the dynamic relationship between economic growth and welfare equity, as well as highlighting the challenges faced by public policy in managing income inequality. Thus, a comprehensive understanding of the interaction between economic growth, fiscal policy, and social welfare is crucial for formulating sustainable and inclusive development strategies in the future

2. Literature Review

2.1. Economic Growth and Social Welfare

Economic growth is often used as the main indicator in assessing a country's level of progress. However, in recent decades, various studies have shown that an increase in Gross Domestic Product (GDP) is not always directly proportional to an increase in social welfare. Economic growth that is solely oriented toward output expansion often fails to reflect the overall quality of life of the community. Amar and Pratama (2020) affirm that inclusive economic growth is an important prerequisite for improving the standard of living of all segments of society, not just certain groups who enjoy the main benefits of economic development.

The concept of inclusive growth includes increasing employment opportunities, reducing poverty levels, and equitable access to public services such as education, health, and social security. Thus, economic success should be measured not only by the magnitude of GDP growth but also by the extent to which its benefits can be felt equitably by all citizens. Furthermore, Gunasinghe et al. (2021) found that the increase in income inequality that occurs simultaneously with economic growth can limit its positive impact on social welfare. In the global context, countries that successfully integrate growth policies with effective income redistribution mechanisms tend to show higher levels of welfare. Therefore, formulating economic policies that balance efficiency and equity is a key element in realizing sustainable and socially just economic development.

2.2. Fiscal Policy and Income Inequality

Fiscal policy holds a strategic position in maintaining the balance between the macroeconomic and social dimensions of a country. The main instruments of this policy such as taxes and public expenditure do not merely function as a source of government funding, but also as a mechanism for wealth redistribution to reduce economic inequality. Malla and Pathranarakul (2022) assert that institutional capacity and the effectiveness of fiscal administration determine the extent to which these policies can reduce the level of income inequality. When fiscal policy is directed at social programs such as education subsidies, health services, and social assistance, its impact on improving community welfare becomes even more significant.

According to Ibrahim (2024), progressive fiscal policy has the potential to suppress income inequality without hindering the rate of economic growth. Through the application of progressive taxes and social transfer mechanisms, the distribution of wealth can be balanced while maintaining national economic efficiency and productivity. Furthermore, Aremo and Abiodun (2020) showed that in many developing countries, government expenditure directed at productive public sectors, such as infrastructure, education, and health, plays an important role in maintaining economic stability while strengthening social welfare. Thus, fiscal policy functions not only as an economic instrument to regulate macro stability but also as a social instrument that ensures the results of economic growth can be felt equitably by all segments of society.

2.3. Growth, Equity, and National Stability

Equitable social welfare is an important foundation for creating national stability. High income inequality can increase the risk of social conflict, erode social solidarity, and weaken the government's legitimacy. Flores Sotelo et al. (2024) confirm that the failure of fiscal policy to distribute welfare justly has the potential to worsen political instability and undermine public trust in state institutions. Therefore, sustainable economic growth must be accompanied by redistributive policies that ensure all segments of society receive proportional benefits from development. Ernawati et al. (2021) added that the success of socio-economic development greatly depends on the consistency of public policy in reducing social gaps and expanding access to welfare.

In this context, national stability is not just the result of economic growth alone, but also the fruit of the synergy between social equity, effective fiscal policy, and transparent and accountable governance. Furthermore, Anyanwu et al. (2021) showed that countries with healthy fiscal systems and effective income redistribution mechanisms tend to have lower socio-political risks. This occurs because the community feels protected and trusts the government's ability to maintain economic justice. Thus, the literature asserts that the linkage between economic growth, social welfare, and national stability is systemic and interdependent. A failure in one aspect can shake the economic system as a whole. Therefore, modern development strategies need to place welfare equity as a main priority, rather than merely pursuing economic expansion.

3. Method

This research uses a historical approach to trace the relationship between economic growth, social welfare, and fiscal policy in the context of national development. This approach allows for an in-depth analysis of the development of economic concepts and practices from time to time and their impact on the distribution of welfare and national stability. This method is relevant because economic factors are dynamic and constantly changing according to the social, political, and government policy conditions during a certain period. The first step in this historical method is heuristics, which is the collection of relevant data and sources from academic literature, policy reports, and the latest scientific publications over the last five years. The data studied includes research results on the relationship between economic growth and community welfare equity, such as the studies by Gunasinghe et al. (2021) and Malla and Pathranarakul (2022).

Secondary sources in the form of reports from international financial institutions are also used to provide an empirical context for global fiscal policy trends. The second stage is source criticism, which is carried out to assess the validity and relevance of the data. External criticism includes verifying the origin of the document and the credibility of the author, while internal criticism focuses on the consistency of the content, objectivity, and suitability of the economic theory used. With this step, the research ensures that only valid and relevant data are processed in the analysis. The third stage is interpretation, where the researcher interprets historical facts to identify patterns and relationships between economic policies and community welfare levels.

For example, how changes in fiscal policy at a certain time affect income inequality or national stability. This approach does not only emphasize the chronology of events but also the cause-and-effect behind economic and social change. The final stage is historiography, which is the preparation of a systematic and analytical scientific narrative based on the interpretation results. The research results are presented in the form of arguments that connect empirical data with the theory of economic growth and equity. Thus, the historical approach in this research functions not only as a reconstruction of the past but also as a basis for understanding the direction of future economic policies that are more inclusive and sustainable.

4. Results

The results of this study confirm the close linkage between economic growth, social welfare, and fiscal policy in the context of national development. Based on historical analysis of various literature and empirical data, it was found that economic growth plays an important role in encouraging increased community welfare. However, the sustainability and equitable benefits of this growth are strongly determined by the effectiveness of the fiscal policy implemented by the government. In general, economic growth has a positive impact on increasing national income and expanding employment opportunities. Increased production activity encourages an increase in household income, which further strengthens community purchasing power. According to Anwar (2023), countries that record high economic growth usually experience an increase in community welfare in the short term, but also face an increase in income inequality because the benefits of growth are not distributed evenly. Thus, economic growth is indeed a prerequisite for welfare, but the equity and distribution of development results remain a determining factor for the quality of national welfare as a whole.

One important finding of this study is that income inequality remains a major challenge for many developing countries. Although the rate of economic growth shows a significant increase, most of the profits are actually concentrated in high-income groups. This phenomenon is known as growth without equity, which is a condition when economic growth is not followed by equitable welfare. Siburian (2020) explain that this condition is generally caused by the weak institutional fiscal capacity in implementing income redistribution policies. Instruments such as progressive taxes and social subsidies are often not yet optimized due to limited fiscal resources and low levels of tax compliance in the community.

In the context of public policy, the results of this study also show that effective fiscal policy is the main instrument for maintaining a balance between economic growth and social equity. Progressive taxes, social subsidies, and public expenditure in the education and health sectors are proven to have a significant impact in reducing income inequality. Ibrahim (2024) found that increasing the allocation of social budget has a positive correlation with the increase in the community welfare index. This is due to the fact that these policies expand access to basic services and increase labor productivity, thereby creating a multiplier effect for sustainable economic growth. In addition to its redistributive function, targeted fiscal policy also plays an important role in maintaining macroeconomic stability through inflation control, budget deficit management, and economic cycle adjustments. Economic history shows that countries with good fiscal discipline tend to be more resilient in the face of global economic turmoil. Aremo and Abiodun (2020) assert that the use of fiscal instruments such as productive public expenditure and an efficient taxation system can play a role in stabilizing the economy while reducing inter-regional inequality.

Within this framework, fiscal policy functions as a stabilization tool that bridges the goals between economic growth and social justice. Furthermore, the results of this study show that national stability is greatly influenced by the extent to which economic growth can create social equity. When income inequality increases, the risk of social conflict, public dissatisfaction, and political instability also increases. Flores Sotelo et al. (2024) highlight that the political legitimacy of a government greatly depends on its ability to manage welfare distribution justly. When the community feels that they are not receiving proportional benefits from development, trust in the government will decrease, which can ultimately threaten national stability. Therefore, sustainable economic growth must be integrated with the principles of social justice and transparent and accountable governance.

From a historical perspective, the analysis results show that the paradigm of fiscal policy has shifted along with the increasing global awareness of the importance of inclusive development. In the past, fiscal policy was more focused on achieving economic growth through investment expansion and infrastructure development. However, in the last two decades, the fiscal policy approach has begun to lean toward a balance between economic efficiency and social equity. This concept is known as inclusive fiscal policy, which is a fiscal policy that does not only pursue economic growth but also places social justice and community welfare as the main goals.

For example, a number of countries have started to implement universal basic income or universal social security policies as a strategy to suppress structural inequality. This approach is proven to have a positive impact on increasing the welfare of low-income community groups while strengthening macroeconomic stability. In the long run, redistributive policies like this can increase national productivity and reduce the fiscal burden caused by chronic poverty.

In addition to policy factors, the results of this study also show that the effectiveness of fiscal policy greatly depends on institutional capacity and the quality of governance. A government that is transparent, accountable, and has strong fiscal oversight mechanisms tends to be more successful in channeling public budgets to increase community welfare. Ernawati et al. (2021) asserted that without adequate institutional capacity, redistribution policies will lose their effectiveness. Weak fiscal institutions are vulnerable to budget leaks, corruption, and inefficiency, which ultimately reduce the positive impact of growth on increased social welfare.

In addition to the economic and institutional dimensions, this analysis also highlights the importance of integrating social and environmental factors in supporting the sustainability of economic growth. Development that is only oriented toward industrial growth and short-term investment often ignores its impact on social welfare and environmental sustainability (Caglar et al., 2024). The sustainable development model prioritizes the balance between economic efficiency, social justice, and ecological sustainability. The integration of these three aspects creates a growth cycle that is more stable and highly resilient to both economic and social crises.

From a historical point of view, various periods of economic growth show that when fiscal policy is effectively implemented to strengthen equity, the results not only expand community welfare but also strengthen socio-political stability. Conversely, when economic policy is too focused on increasing GDP figures without considering equity, social gaps tend to widen, which ultimately inhibits long-term growth (Saipudin, 2024). Thus, this research asserts that the main goal of economic growth is not just to increase macroeconomic indicators, but to improve the quality of life of the community evenly and sustainably. In the context of globalization, the results of this study also show that the flow of foreign investment and international trade has a dual impact on social welfare. On the one hand, investment and trade liberalization are capable of creating new jobs, increasing national income, and expanding domestic markets.

But on the other hand, without adequate redistribution policies and regulations, the profits from the globalization process tend to be concentrated in certain groups, especially multinational companies and foreign investors. Therefore, the integration between domestic fiscal policy and equitable trade policy becomes important so that the benefits of globalization can be felt more inclusively by all segments of society. The results of this study confirm that economic growth and welfare equity are not two contradictory goals, but rather complement each other within the framework of sustainable development. Governments that can integrate both through inclusive fiscal policy will be more successful in achieving stable and equitable social welfare. When economic growth goes hand in hand with equity, community purchasing power increases, inequality decreases, and national stability can be maintained. Thus, fiscal policy oriented toward social welfare is the main foundation for creating fair, sustainable, and inclusive development in the future.

5. Discussion

The results of this study emphasize the importance of synergy between economic growth, fiscal policy, and welfare equity as the main foundation for realizing sustainable development. High economic growth does not automatically lead to increased community welfare if it is not accompanied by policies that regulate income distribution justly and efficiently. In the context of developing countries, economic inequality is often a structural challenge that inhibits the achievement of collective welfare because the benefits of growth tend to be concentrated in the upper economic group. Therefore, fiscal policy plays a strategic role in maintaining the balance between economic expansion and social justice. Siburian (2020) emphasize that fiscal institutional capacity is a determining factor in the effectiveness of income redistribution policies.

When a progressive taxation system is implemented consistently and accountably, tax revenues can be utilized to strengthen social programs and public investment, such as education, health, and basic infrastructure. Good fiscal management will encourage a sustainable increase in community welfare. Conversely, if fiscal policy is not managed transparently and oriented toward equity, the impact can be reversed, widening the social gap, and reducing the effectiveness of development policies. Inclusive fiscal policy also functions as an instrument for economic and social stabilization, especially in facing global uncertainty such as financial crises or inflationary pressures. Through public expenditure instruments, targeted subsidies, and adaptive tax policies, the government can maintain community purchasing power and prevent economic contraction (Siburian, 2020).

Ibrahim (2024) assert that the increase in directed social expenditure not only plays a role in suppressing income inequality but also strengthens national economic resilience through increased productivity and labor competitiveness. Thus, economic stability does not only depend on macro growth figures, but also on the government's ability to maintain an inclusive social balance. Furthermore, the results of this study reinforce the view that national stability is rooted in the level of welfare equity achieved by the community. When economic growth is not accompanied by social justice, the potential for social conflict and political tension tends to increase. Flores Sotelo et al. (2024) explain that high inequality can erode public trust in the government, reduce political legitimacy, and ultimately threaten national stability.

Therefore, a development strategy oriented toward shared welfare is the main prerequisite for creating long-term social and political stability. In addition, Anwar (2023) confirm that effective economic policy must place humans as the center of development (people-centered growth). This approach emphasizes the importance of economic growth directed at expanding access to education, health, and economic opportunities for all citizens. When the community receives tangible benefits from growth, productivity increases, poverty decreases, and social cohesion strengthens. Thus, the integration between economic growth, welfare equity, and good fiscal governance is the main foundation for realizing inclusive and sustainable national welfare.

6. Conclusion

This research concludes that economic growth, social welfare, and fiscal policy have a mutually supporting relationship and determine the direction of national development. Economic growth is indeed an important foundation for increasing community income and productivity, but equitable welfare can only be achieved through fair and inclusive fiscal policy. Economic growth without equity risks widening the social gap, which can ultimately threaten national stability. Progressive fiscal policy, such as the application of equitable taxes and increased social spending in the education, health, and social protection sectors, is proven effective in strengthening community welfare and reducing income inequality.

In addition, institutional capacity and government transparency are key to the success of these redistribution policies. A government that is able to maintain a balance between growth and equity not only creates economic prosperity but also strengthens social and political stability. Therefore, future economic development strategies need to place humans as the center of growth (people-centered development). This approach ensures that every economic policy does not only pursue growth figures, but also equitable social welfare. With the synergy between economic growth, effective fiscal policy, and welfare equity, sustainable development and national stability can be achieved comprehensively.

References

Amar, S., & Pratama, I. (2020). Exploring the link between income inequality, poverty reduction and economic growth: An ASEAN perspective. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, *11*(2), 24-41.

Anwar, S. (2023). The Effect of Fiscal Policy on Economic Inequality and Sustainable Development in ASEAN. *Atestasi: Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi*, *6*(1), 139-156.

Anyanwu, U. M., Anyanwu, A. A., & Cieślik, A. (2021). Does abundant natural resources amplify the negative impact of income inequality on economic growth?. *Resources Policy*, *74*, 102229.

Aremo, A. G., & Abiodun, S. T. (2020). Causal nexus among fiscal policy, economic growth and income inequality in Sub-Saharan African Countries (1995-2016). *African Journal of Economic Review*, *8*(1), 1-25.

Caglar, A. E., Gönenç, S., & Destek, M. A. (2024). The influence of nuclear energy research and development investments on environmental sustainability: evidence from the United States and France. *International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology*, *31*(7), 861-872.

Ernawati, E., Tajuddin, T., & Nur, S. (2021). Does government expenditure affect regional inclusive growth? An experience of implementing village fund policy in Indonesia. *Economies*, *9*(4), 164.

Flores-Sotelo, W. S., Pongo-Águila, O., Rivas-Peña, C. A., & Chávez-Diaz, J. M. (2024). An analysis of fiscal management and macroeconomic stability: An econometric study of public policies in Latin American countries. *Journal of*

Saipudin, S. (2024). The Relationship between Income Inequality and Economic Growth. The Journal of Academic Science, 1(4), 439-450. Infrastructure, Policy and Development, *8*(8), 6547.

Gunasinghe, C., Selvanathan, E. A., Naranpanawa, A., & Forster, J. (2021). Rising income inequality in OECD countries: does fiscal policy sacrifice economic growth in achieving equity?. *The European Journal of Development Research*, *33*(6), 1840-1876.

Ibrahim, M. H. (2024). Monetary policy, financial development and income inequality in developing countries. *The Singapore Economic Review*, *69*(02), 859-889.

Malla, M. H., & Pathranarakul, P. (2022). Fiscal policy and income inequality: the critical role of institutional capacity. *Economies*, *10*(5), 115.

Siburian, M. E. (2020). Fiscal decentralization and regional income inequality: evidence from Indonesia. *Applied Economics Letters*, *27*(17), 1383-1386.