International Journal of
Public Finance and Fiscal Policy
Volume 2, Number 1, 2023

ZERA

The Role of Public Financial Management in Promoting Equitable Economic Growth and Reducing Poverty

Novianti[1]

[1] Institut Bisnis dan Informatika Kesatuan, Bogor, Indonesia

Abstract

Article history:
Received: February 10, 2023
Revised: March 13, 2023
Accepted: April 19, 2023
Published: June 30, 2023

Keywords:
Economic Equity,
Economic Growth,
Income Inequality,
Poverty,
Public Financial Management.

Identifier:
Zera Open
Page: 38-55
https://zeraopen.com/journal/ijpffp

Economic growth is often considered the primary solution to poverty; however, its effectiveness in reducing income inequality heavily depends on supporting equitable policies. This study aims to analyze the relationship between economic growth, poverty, income inequality, and public financial management in the context of inclusive economic development. Using a narrative review approach, the research synthesizes various empirical findings from recent literature highlighting the importance of public financial governance, education, health, and infrastructure in mitigating socio-economic disparities. The findings indicate that economic growth without fair distribution may exacerbate inequality, whereas effective fiscal management can reinforce the equitable distribution of development outcomes. Consequently, the synergy between fiscal policy, human capital investment, and sustainable development emerges as a key determinant of equitable growth. This study underscores that promoting inclusive policies and strengthening public financial governance are crucial to ensure that economic growth translates into broad-based social and economic benefits. The insights serve as a reference for policymakers seeking to balance growth with equity and social justice in developing economies.

1. Introduction

Economic growth has long been viewed as the primary benchmark of a nation's progress and an important instrument in reducing poverty levels. However, in the last two decades, an increasing number of studies have shown that a high rate of economic growth does not always correlate directly with an equitable increase in welfare for all segments of society (Amar & Pratama, 2020). This phenomenon is known as growth without equity, a condition where the elite group enjoys the largest proportion of benefits from economic expansion, while low-income communities remain marginalized in the development process. The relationship between economic growth, inequality, and poverty is complex and interdependent. According to Ali et al. (2022), the impact of growth on poverty reduction is highly influenced by income distribution and the capacity of the financial system to foster economic inclusion. Exclusive growth, in fact, has the potential to widen the social gap because economic benefits are concentrated in capital-intensive sectors that tend to absorb less labor from the poor.

Thus, the effectiveness of economic growth as a means of poverty alleviation largely depends on the extent to which development outcomes can be enjoyed inclusively by all social groups. In this context, public finance management plays a crucial role in ensuring the equitable distribution of development outcomes. The government acts as a redistributive agent through fiscal policies that include progressive taxation, social spending programs, and targeted subsidies. Kurniawan et al. (2018) emphasize that effective, transparent, and accountable public governance has a positive correlation with the success of poverty reduction and the sustainability of long-term economic growth. Therefore, the quality of fiscal management does not only have implications for macroeconomic stability but also determines the extent to which growth can be inclusive.

Within the framework of sustainable development, the synergy between economic policy and good fiscal governance is an inseparable factor. Weak public finance management can reduce the effectiveness of development policies due to budgetary leakages, corruption practices, and inefficient resource allocation. Kaidi and Mensi (2020) add that countries with strong democratic systems and high fiscal transparency tend to have a closer link between economic growth and social equity. This indicates that the quality of public institutions is a crucial determinant in transforming growth into more equitable welfare. Furthermore, economic equity is not only measured through growth figures but is also determined by the quality of institutions and the effectiveness of redistributive policies. When fiscal policy is directed towards strengthening the education, health, and public infrastructure sectors, the benefits of economic growth can be felt more broadly by the community.

In this context, institutional reform, increased public accountability, and macroeconomic policies favoring social equity are key to achieving inclusive and just growth. Thus, this research aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how economic growth can contribute significantly to poverty and inequality reduction when accompanied by effective public finance management. This approach affirms that economic equity is not a byproduct of growth, but a strategic goal that must be engineered through public policies that favor collective welfare. Through the integration of sustainable economic growth, transparent fiscal reform, and increased institutional capacity, it is expected that national development will not only result in increased economic output but also create social justice and inclusive welfare for all citizens.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Economic Growth and Income Inequality

Economic growth plays a significant role in improving community welfare, but its impact on income inequality is not always positive. A number of studies indicate that the relationship between growth and inequality is non-linear and in the initial stages, growth can exacerbate inequality, while in later stages, it reduces it. According to Sehrawat and Giri (2018), income inequality tends to rise in the early stages of industrialization because capital accumulation is concentrated in certain sectors, before eventually declining with increased job opportunities and income redistribution. However, empirical evidence from developing countries shows varied results. Amar and Pratama (2020) found that in many Southeast Asian economies, economic growth actually widened the gap when redistribution policies were not implemented effectively.

High growth in the financial and manufacturing sectors does not automatically reduce poverty if it is not followed by equal access to education and productive capital. Therefore, growth strategies need to be designed to be inclusive and socially equitable. Furthermore, according to Ali et al. (2022), the role of financial institutions in expanding access to finance becomes an important factor in connecting growth with income equity. When the financial system only benefits high-income groups, inequality tends to increase despite rapid economic growth. Thus, financial inclusion that supports the economic participation of low-income communities is an important catalyst for pro-poor growth.

2.2. Public Finance Management and Economic Equity

Fiscal performance and public governance play a fundamental role in determining the extent to which the benefits of economic growth can be distributed fairly across all segments of society. Efficient public finance management allows the government to optimally allocate resources to achieve more equitable social welfare. Kurniawan et al. (2018) affirm that the application of good governance principles, transparency, and public accountability contributes significantly to poverty reduction through improved quality of public services and open and responsible budget management. Conversely, weak fiscal management often deepens social inequality due to untargeted spending policies and pervasive budgetary leakages. Kaidi and Mensi (2020) highlight that the effectiveness of redistributive policies is highly determined by the characteristics of the political system and the level of fiscal transparency of a country.

In a democratic governance context, fiscal policies generally favor low-income groups due to public accountability mechanisms and community participation in the national finance oversight process. Furthermore, increasing fiscal capacity through progressive tax reform and optimizing the efficiency of public expenditure has been proven capable of significantly reducing social gaps. Saleem et al. (2021) research emphasizes the importance of fiscally-oriented reform towards distributive justice so that economic growth not only benefits the elite but also expands social inclusion. Thus, transparent, accountable, and effective fiscal governance becomes the main instrument in realizing inclusive and equitable economic development.

2.3. Integration between Development, Poverty, and Public Policy

The link between economic development and poverty levels is largely determined by the direction and quality of the public policies implemented. Development that emphasizes infrastructure improvement and economic productivity can indeed drive Gross Domestic Product (GDP) growth. Nevertheless, its impact on poverty reduction is often limited if it is not accompanied by comprehensive and inclusive social policies. Wan et al. (2021) asserts that infrastructure investment that does not consider the needs of low-income communities will only result in short-term economic growth without sustainable income equity.

Furthermore, Horn and Grugel (2018) argues that the concept of truly inclusive development must integrate macro-economic policies with various social interventions, such as improved access to education, healthcare services, and social protection. These interventions not only enhance the quality and productivity of the workforce but also strengthen social and political stability in the long term. Conceptually, the inclusive development model places social justice as a key element in the formulation of national economic policy. The implication is that fiscal management is not solely focused on increasing GDP growth but also on balanced welfare distribution. In this context, the government's role becomes highly strategic in ensuring the synergy between economic growth and social equity through fiscal policies that are justice-oriented and favor vulnerable community groups.

3. Method

This research method employs a narrative study approach focusing on the exploration of the relationship between economic growth, poverty, inequality, and public finance management within the context of inclusive economic development. The narrative approach was chosen because it is capable of tracing the dynamics of the conceptual and empirical relationships between variables by utilizing the results of relevant previous studies. This method does not only highlight quantitative data but also explores the social, political, and economic contexts that underlie the variation in research results across different developing countries. The data used in this study comes from academic publications indexed in Google Scholar or Elsevier, especially journal articles published in last five years. This time frame was chosen to ensure the data's recency and relevance to current global economic conditions.

The literature sources include empirical studies examining the relationship between economic growth and income inequality, studies on the effectiveness of fiscal policy in economic equity, and analyses of public governance in the context of poverty reduction. The data collection process was carried out through systematic searching with keywords such as economic growth, income inequality, public finance management, poverty reduction, and inclusive development. Each source obtained was then evaluated based on the publisher's credibility, the methodology used, and relevance to the research topic. This approach ensures that the analysis is based on valid and accountable scientific literature. Subsequently, the collected data were analyzed using the thematic synthesis method. This analysis involved grouping various research findings into main themes such as the relationship between growth and inequality, the role of fiscal policy in equity, and the contribution of public finance management to socio-economic stability. This process allowed researchers to identify patterns, similarities, and differences in existing research results.

In the interpretation stage, this study connects the empirical findings with the theory of development economics and public finance. The narrative approach is used to explain how socio-political and institutional contexts can moderate the influence of growth on inequality and poverty. Thus, this method does not only describe empirical results but also interprets the causal linkages and broader policy implications. The ultimate goal of this method is to produce a comprehensive conceptual synthesis on how economic growth can function as an instrument of equity if managed through an effective and transparent public finance system. The narrative approach allows for a deeper understanding of the complexity of this relationship and opens space for the formulation of more just and inclusive economic policies in the future.

4. Results

The results of this study indicate that economic growth has great potential to reduce poverty levels, but its effectiveness is highly determined by how the results of that growth are distributed among community groups. Based on the analysis of various relevant studies, the relationship between economic growth, income inequality, and poverty is complex and non-linear. In the context of developing countries, the process of economic growth is often accompanied by increased inequality, especially when equity policies have not been implemented optimally or when the benefits of economic growth are concentrated only in certain sectors that are capital-intensive and oriented towards large capital. Amar and Pratama (2020) assert that although economic growth plays a role in reducing the absolute poverty rate, the process also has the potential to widen the relative income gap.

This condition arises because economic expansion mostly benefits high-income communities who have access to capital and productive assets, while low-income groups with limited skills gain relatively small benefits. Consequently, this inequality creates a vicious cycle where access to education, business capital, and economic opportunities becomes increasingly unequal, thus hindering the social mobility of the poor. Furthermore, research conducted by Ali et al. (2022) shows that the financial system has a significant mediating role in bridging the relationship between economic growth and poverty reduction. If financial institutions are inclusive and provide access to financing to low-income community groups, the results of economic growth can be felt more evenly. Conversely, if the financial system only focuses on financing large corporations and capital-intensive economic activities, growth tends to deepen social and economic gaps.

Thus, financial inclusion becomes an important element in ensuring that economic growth genuinely has a positive impact on poverty reduction. The study by Nguyen et al. (2021) strengthens these findings by highlighting the strategic role of public governance in optimizing the benefits of economic growth. The study shows that good governance, high public accountability, and transparent national finance management contribute significantly to income equity and poverty reduction. Through efficient fiscal policies especially public spending in strategic sectors like education, health, and social infrastructure the government is able to channel the benefits of growth to low-income groups. Conversely, weak public governance, including corruption practices and bureaucratic inefficiency, has been proven to worsen inequality by hindering the effectiveness of redistributive policies.

In addition, Kaidi and Mensi (2020) highlight significant differences in the political system's influence on the relationship between growth and inequality. In democratic systems, redistribution policies are generally more effective due to public oversight mechanisms, community involvement in the political process, and the application of progressive tax systems that favor low-income groups. Conversely, in authoritarian systems, the benefits of economic growth tend to be concentrated in the economic and political elite groups. This finding indicates that economic democratization and increased fiscal transparency play an important role in strengthening the equity effect of economic growth. From a macroeconomic perspective, Sehrawat and Giri (2018) research suggests that high income inequality not only poses social problems but can also hamper economic growth in the long run. When the income gap widens, the purchasing power of the middle and lower classes decreases, which in turn reduces aggregate demand.

On the other hand, social instability due to extreme gaps can also reduce interest in productive investment and slow down economic activity. Therefore, income equity is not only a matter of social justice but also an essential prerequisite for the sustainability of future economic growth. This view is reinforced by the findings of Saleem et al. (2021), which show that fiscal reforms favoring low-income groups contribute significantly to reducing inequality while strengthening long-term growth. Public spending directed towards productive sectors, such as education, rural infrastructure, and human resource capacity development, has a multiplier effect on increasing the income of the poor. Thus, the effectiveness of fiscal policy becomes the main determinant in deciding the direction and quality of the distribution of economic growth results.

Horn and Grugel (2018) research also asserts that inclusive economic growth can only be achieved if the government plays an active role in expanding access to economic resources and social opportunities. These efforts include providing productive subsidies to small businesses, strengthening social security programs, and improving access to education and healthcare services. Such a strategy does not only function as a means of economic redistribution but also as a long-term investment in building productive human capacity. Thus, the resulting economic growth does not merely increase national income but also sustainably expands the base of social welfare. From all the research results reviewed, a common pattern emerges: the success of economic growth in reducing inequality and poverty highly depends on the synergy between fiscal policy, public governance, and inclusive social policies. Countries with transparent, accountable, and participatory public finance management systems tend to show lower levels of income inequality.

Conversely, countries with weak fiscal governance face difficulties in channeling the benefits of growth evenly because they are hampered by bureaucratic inefficiency and weak public oversight systems (Sneha et al., 2021). Furthermore, these studies also underscore the importance of social infrastructure development as the foundation for long-term economic equity. Investment in the education and health sectors has been proven to have a positive impact on increasing labor productivity, expanding job opportunities, and intergenerational social mobility. By strengthening the quality of human resources, a country can create a more resilient economy that is adaptive to global changes. In this context, fiscal management oriented towards human development emerges as a crucial determinant in achieving equitable and sustainable economic growth. Effective public financial governance not only ensures the efficient allocation of resources but also promotes policies that directly enhance

Eeducation, health, and social welfare, thereby reducing socio-economic disparities. By prioritizing investments in human capital, governments can empower individuals to contribute more productively to the economy, which in turn stimulates long-term growth while mitigating the adverse effects of income inequality (Herdiyati & Ismail, 2022). Furthermore, the integration of sustainable fiscal policies ensures that growth benefits are distributed fairly across different segments of society, including marginalized and vulnerable populations. Empirical studies suggest that without such targeted fiscal management, economic expansion alone may disproportionately benefit wealthier groups, exacerbating inequality and social tensions. Conversely, policies that combine strategic investment in human development with sound fiscal oversight can strengthen social cohesion, improve living standards, and foster resilience against economic shocks. Thus, orienting fiscal management towards human development not only addresses immediate developmental needs but also lays the foundation for an inclusive economy where growth is both sustainable and broadly shared.

This approach underscores the pivotal role of governance in balancing efficiency, equity, and sustainability in economic policymaking. The findings of this study confirm that just and sustainable economic growth cannot be separated from effective public finance management and good governance. The government needs to ensure that fiscal policies are not only focused on increasing macroeconomic indicators like GDP but are also directed to strengthening the socio-economic capacity of the poor. Through policy design that favors equity and social inclusion, economic growth can become the main instrument for realizing social justice and strengthening national economic stability. With transparent, participatory, and accountable public governance, economic growth is not only a symbol of progress but also a means to expand welfare for all segments of society.

5. Discussion

The discussion of these research results confirms that the relationship between economic growth, income inequality, and public finance management is complex, dynamic, and highly dependent on the policy context. Economic growth is indeed an important prerequisite for reducing poverty, but without fair distribution, the benefits of growth will only be concentrated in certain groups. In this regard, good public finance management becomes the connecting factor between economic expansion and the equitable distribution of development outcomes. A number of studies indicate that differences in the effectiveness of economic growth in reducing poverty are often caused by weaknesses in public governance. Nguyen et al. (2021) emphasize that a country's success in reducing poverty depends on the government's ability to manage public resources transparently and efficiently.

Governments that can channel budgets to priority sectors such as education, health, and social infrastructure will be more effective in ensuring that the benefits of growth can be felt by all segments of society. Conversely, when public finance is poorly managed, economic growth actually strengthens inequality. Muhtadi and Warbuton (2020) explain that in non-democratic systems, wealth accumulation tends to favor the elite because fiscal policies are more oriented towards short-term political interests. This shows the importance of fiscal transparency and public accountability as the foundation for creating growth with social justice.

From a sustainable development perspective, effective public finance management also has long-term implications for economic and social stability. Ali et al. (2022) found that countries with inclusive public financial systems where taxes are used to fund social programs and basic infrastructure show a significant decrease in poverty rates and income inequality. In other words, economic growth combined with strong redistribution policies has a dual effect: strengthening the economic foundation while expanding community welfare. Besides the economic aspect, the socio-political dimension also plays a large role in determining the direction of equity. Horn and Grugel (2018) highlights that high inequality can erode public trust in the government and cause social tension. When the community feels left behind in the development process, there is a risk of decreased political participation and increased social dissatisfaction.

Therefore, economic equity policy is not just an economic instrument but also an important tool for maintaining political and social stability. Based on the overall analysis, this discussion indicates that achieving sustainable and fair economic growth requires synergy between fiscal policy, social inclusion, and public governance. Without good governance, economic growth will only result in the accumulation of wealth without the equitable distribution of welfare. However, with transparent, participatory, and efficient state finance management, growth can transform into the main driving force for reducing poverty and narrowing the social gap.

6. Conclusion

The conclusion of this study affirms that economic growth does play an important role in poverty reduction, but its success highly depends on the extent to which the results of that growth can be distributed equitably. Without equitable policies and good public finance management, economic growth is at risk of deepening income inequality. Therefore, equitable economic growth demands a strong synergy between fiscal policy, institutional reform, and social development. Effective public finance management becomes the primary factor determining the extent to which growth can be translated into welfare for all segments of society. Governments that apply the principles of transparency, accountability, and efficiency in the use of public budget are able to create a multiplier effect for economic equity. Fiscal policies favoring education, health, and basic infrastructure have been proven capable of strengthening social mobility and reducing structural disparities in the long run.

Furthermore, the research results confirm that social and economic inequality is not only an obstacle to welfare but also to political stability. Thus, equity is not just a moral goal but also a strategic necessity for maintaining the sustainability of development. In conclusion, sustainable and inclusive economic development cannot be achieved merely through increased growth figures, but through public finance management that is transparent, participatory, and oriented towards social welfare. The integration of economic and social policies forms the main foundation for equitable and sustainable growth for the entire community.

References

Ali, M., Tariq, M., & Khan, M. A. (2022). Economic growth, financial development, income inequality and poverty relationship: an empirical assessment for developing countries. *IRASD Journal of Economics*, *4*(1), 14-24.

Amar, S., & Pratama, I. (2020). Exploring the link between income inequality, poverty reduction and economic growth: An ASEAN perspective. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, *11*(2), 24-41.

Herdiyati, L., & Ismail, M. (2022). Government spending and investment for inclusive growth in Indonesia: A panel data analysis. *Southeast Asian Journal of Economics*, *10*(3), 27-73.

Horn, P., & Grugel, J. (2018). The SDGs in middle-income countries: Setting or serving domestic development agendas? Evidence from Ecuador. *World Development*, *109*, 73-84.

Kaidi, N., & Mensi, S. (2020). Financial development, income inequality, and poverty reduction: democratic versus autocratic countries. *Journal of the knowledge economy*, *11*(4), 1358-1381.

Kurniawan, I. G. S., Utama, M. S., Budhi, M. K. S., & Purbadharmaja, I. B. P. (2018). The Implications of Good Governance Performance, Development Budget Allocation, and Economic Growth Inclusion on People's Welfare in Bali Province. *IOSR Journal of Economics and Finance*, *9*(2), 46-62.

Muhtadi, B., & Warburton, E. (2020). Inequality and democratic support in Indonesia. *Pacific Affairs*, *93*(1), 31-58.

Nguyen, C. V., Giang, L. T., Tran, A. N., & Do, H. T. (2021). Do good governance and public administration improve economic growth and poverty reduction? The case of Vietnam. *International public management journal*, *24*(1), 131-161.

Saleem, H., Farooq, F., & Aurmaghan, M. (2021). How do Poverty and Income Inequality affect Economic Growth in Developing Countries?. *Review of Applied Management and Social Sciences*, *4*(2), 547-558.

Sehrawat, M., & Giri, A. K. (2018). The impact of financial development, economic growth, income inequality on poverty: evidence from India. *Empirical Economics*, *55*(4), 1585-1602.

Sneha, P., Sinha, N., Varghese, A., Durani, A., & Patel, A. (2021). Bureaucratic indecision and risk aversion in India. *Indian Public Policy Review*, *2*(6 (Nov-Dec)), 55-87.

Wan, G., Hu, X., & Liu, W. (2021). China's poverty reduction miracle and relative poverty: focusing on the roles of growth and inequality. *China Economic Review*, *68*, 101643.