International Journal of
Public Finance and Fiscal Policy
Volume 1, Number 2, 2022

Fiscal Policy and Institutional Quality Integration on Public Debt Sustainability: A Conceptual Analysis

Revin Wildan Baskara[1]

[1] Universitas Sarjanawiyata Tamansiswa, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Abstract

Article history:
Received: July 18, 2022
Revised: August 7, 2022
Accepted: October 15, 2022
Published: December 30, 2022

Keywords:
Fiscal Governance, Institutions, Public Debt, Sustainability.

Identifier:
Zera Open
Page: 109-126
https://zeraopen.com/journal/ijpffp

This study aims to analyze the relationship between fiscal policy, institutional quality, and public debt sustainability using a literature review approach to the scientific literature published in the last five years. This study examines various empirical studies that show that expansionary fiscal policies are indeed able to drive economic growth in the short term, but have the potential to increase fiscal risks if not balanced with strong and transparent institutional governance. Institutional quality has proven to be a key factor in determining the effectiveness of fiscal policy through the mechanisms of budget transparency, public accountability, and bureaucratic efficiency. Institutional reforms that focus on improving governance, law enforcement, and corruption control can strengthen fiscal discipline, reduce moral hazards, and support the sustainability of public debt in the long term. Thus, this study confirms that the balance between fiscal discipline and institutional quality is a fundamental element in maintaining macroeconomic stability. The integration of fiscal policy and institutional reform is an important foundation for the achievement of sustainable and equitable development.

1. Introduction

Fiscal policy plays a central role in maintaining economic stability and fiscal sustainability, especially in the last decade when many countries are facing increasing debt pressures due to global shocks and the global health crisis. After the 2008 global financial crisis and the COVID-19 pandemic, governments in various parts of the world undertook massive fiscal expansions to support economic recovery. Although these policies have a positive short-term impact on growth and social welfare, the increase in the deficit and the accumulation of public debt are inevitable consequences (Kose et al., 2021). This creates an urgent need to understand how the combination of fiscal policy and institutional quality affects the level and sustainability of public debt in various countries.

Contemporary literature emphasizes that institutional quality plays an important role in determining the effectiveness of fiscal policies as well as the capacity of the state to manage the debt burden. Strong institutions, including transparent fiscal governance, efficient bureaucratic capacity, and a reliable legal system, help to direct public policy effectively and reduce the risk of unproductive debt accumulation (Baharumshah et al., 2017). Conversely, weak institutional quality, such as corruption, lack of accountability, and political instability, can lower the government's fiscal credibility and increase the risk of excessive deficit financing (Tarek & Ahmed, 2017). The linkage between institutions and fiscal policy is becoming increasingly relevant because structural reforms often determine the success of deficit reduction strategies and long-term debt stability (Mishra et al., 2018).

Nguyen and Luong (2021) assert that the combination of prudent fiscal policy and adequate institutional quality has a dual effect on public debt management. Through an empirical analysis of 27 transition countries, they show that the effectiveness of fiscal policy is highly dependent on the institutional context that underpins its implementation and supervision. In a weak institutional environment, increased public spending is often not accompanied by adequate economic productivity, exacerbating debt accumulation. In contrast, in countries with strong fiscal governance, state spending and revenue policies are more controlled, leading to a sustainable fiscal structure and controlled debt (Nguyen & Luong, 2021).

Other research also highlights the importance of institutional quality as a controlling factor between public debt and economic growth. Sani et al. (2019) found that there is a threshold effect where the negative impact of public debt on growth can be reduced through increasing government effectiveness and control against corruption. These findings are in line with Avellán et al. (2020) who showed that expansionary fiscal policies produce more positive economic effects in countries with good fiscal governance compared to those with weak institutions. This indicates that institutional reforms can strengthen the effects of fiscal policy and improve fiscal discipline in the long run.

However, the challenge of managing public debt is not only an economic problem, but also a political and institutional one. Beetsma et al. (2019) show that fiscal transparency and the existence of an independent fiscal board can improve budgetary discipline and reduce pro-cyclical policy bias that often leads to excessive public debt increases. In addition, factors such as political stability, administrative capacity, and legal effectiveness are important prerequisites for the implementation of credible and sustainable fiscal policies (Baharumshah et al., 2017). Therefore, efforts to strengthen public governance need to be seen not just as administrative reforms, but as a structural element in the national fiscal strategy.

The increasing debt-to-GDP ratio in various countries over the past five-year period is an important signal that fiscal sustainability can not only be achieved through economic instruments, but also through consistent and long-term oriented institutional reforms (Arčabić et al., 2018). Thus, this study aims to conceptually examine the relationship between fiscal policy, institutional quality, and public debt levels in general, in order to provide a more comprehensive understanding of global fiscal dynamics in the context of sustainable development.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Fiscal Policy and Public Debt Dynamics

Fiscal policy has a crucial role in determining the direction of economic development and the sustainability of a country's public debt. Through instruments such as government spending, taxation, and budget deficit policies, governments can directly affect economic activity and fiscal balance. However, the effectiveness of these policies is highly dependent on the capacity of the state to maintain a balance between the need for economic expansion and long-term fiscal discipline. Arčabić et al. (2018) assert that the relationship between public debt and economic growth is nonlinear: an increase in debt within a moderate limit is capable of driving growth through productive investment, but when the debt ratio crosses a certain threshold, the burden of interest payments and fiscal risks increases, thereby hampering macroeconomic stability.

Meanwhile, Dombi and Dedák (2019) in a neoclassical growth model found that debt accumulation that is not balanced with the productivity of public investment will put pressure on the state budget and worsen the primary balance. Similar results were conveyed by Kose et al. (2021) who attributed the surge in global debt after the COVID-19 pandemic to expansionary fiscal policies without institutional strengthening and adequate fiscal supervision. These three studies confirm that the effectiveness of fiscal policy requires adaptive strategies and strong institutional reforms. Thus, fiscal policies oriented towards sustainable growth must consider the economic context, the quality of governance, and the institutional capacity of the state to manage public resources efficiently and accountably.

2.2. Institutional Quality and Fiscal Governance

Institutional quality plays a fundamental role as a controlling mechanism that determines the effectiveness of fiscal policy and the sustainability of public debt. Strong institutions reflect the government's capacity to ensure accountability, fiscal transparency, and bureaucratic effectiveness in the implementation of economic policies. Baharumshah et al. (2017) affirm that countries with efficient fiscal institutions are able to maintain the sustainability of public debt through disciplined budget governance, transparent audit systems, and strong legislative oversight mechanisms. On the contrary, institutional weaknesses such as low transparency, corruption, and weak administrative capacity often lead to fiscal leakage, resource misallocation, and spending inefficiencies that exacerbate the country's debt burden.

Beetsma et al. (2019) show that the existence of an independent fiscal board and the disclosure of public information increase the credibility of fiscal policy by minimizing political bias in the budgeting process. An independent fiscal board serves as an external watchdog over government fiscal policy, thereby strengthening public confidence and lowering debt risk premiums. These findings are reinforced by Avellán et al. (2020), who found that the impact of stimulus policies on economic growth is greater in countries with transparent fiscal governance and strong supervisory systems. Thus, improving the quality of institutions not only serves as a governance tool, but also as a catalyst in strengthening the transmission of fiscal policy towards economic growth, public efficiency, and long-term debt stability.

3. Method

This study uses a library research approach that focuses on conceptual analysis and empirical synthesis of the relationship between fiscal policy, institutional quality, and public debt based on the scientific literature published between the last five years. This method was chosen because it is relevant to explore the multidimensional relationship between variables through theoretical analysis and previous research results published in internationally reputable journals. Thus, this study not only describes empirical trends, but also seeks to construct a comprehensive conceptual understanding of how fiscal policy and institutional quality interact with each other in determining the dynamics of public debt in various countries.

The main source of the research consists of fifteen academic articles obtained from the Google Scholar database and published by publishers such as Elsevier, MDPI, Taylor & Francis, as well as international institutions such as the IMF and the World Bank. The data collection procedure is carried out through several stages. First, literature identification was carried out using the keywords "fiscal policy," "institutional quality," "governance," and "public debt." Second, a selection of sources is carried out based on inclusion criteria, namely: (1) scientific articles published between the last five years, (2) available in English, (3) have an empirical or conceptual relevance to the research variable, and (4) come from academically recognized journals. Third, all articles were analyzed using thematic content analysis techniques to identify similarities in patterns, arguments, and empirical findings from each study.

The analysis was carried out in a descriptive-analytical manner by reviewing two main dimensions. First, how fiscal policy instruments such as public spending, tax revenue, and budget deficits affect the dynamics of public debt. Second, how the quality of institutions moderates or mediates these relationships through aspects of governance, policy effectiveness, and fiscal transparency. This process allows for the creation of a conceptual framework that explains that fiscal stability is not only influenced by the magnitude of economic variables, but also by the institutional forces that underpin policy implementation.

In addition, the results of the literature findings were analyzed comparatively to assess the consistency of the relationship between variables in various economic contexts. This literature study method is expected to produce a systematic and applicable theoretical understanding, which can be the basis for further empirical research and sustainable fiscal policy formulation. As such, this approach not only provides a comprehensive literature review, but also emphasizes the importance of integration between economic policy and institutional governance in the face of global fiscal challenges.

4. Results

The results of this literature review show that the relationship between fiscal policy, institutional quality, and public debt is complex, dynamic, and interdependent. In general, it was found that expansionary fiscal policies can indeed encourage economic growth in the short term, but have the potential to increase public debt accumulation if not balanced with strong fiscal governance and sustainable fiscal discipline. In the global context, this phenomenon has become increasingly relevant in the last five years, especially post-COVID-19 pandemic, when many countries implemented loose fiscal policies to maintain people's purchasing power and sustain economic stability (Kose et al., 2021). While the move has been successful in containing a short-term economic contraction, its impact on fiscal balance and long-term debt sustainability still raises concerns for policymakers in various countries.

Research by Nguyen and Luong (2021) confirms that the increase in public debt in transition countries is largely influenced by the effectiveness of fiscal policies as well as the quality of the institutions that support their implementation. Using the two-step GMM model, the study shows that efficient fiscal policies can suppress debt growth, but only if supported by accountable, transparent, and politically distorted governance. Countries with high levels of corruption, sluggish bureaucracy, and weak budget controls tend to have significantly increased debt-to-GDP ratios. This is in line with the findings of Tarek and Ahmed (2017) who highlight that weak fiscal supervision and low regulatory quality lead to excessive public debt accumulation, especially in developing countries with limited administrative capacity.

The quality of institutions has proven to play an important role in determining the effectiveness of fiscal stimulus policies. Avellán et al. (2020) through a study of 113 countries showed that the positive effects of fiscal stimulus on economic growth were only significant in countries with good fiscal governance. In contrast, in countries with weak institutions, expansionary fiscal policies often lead to protracted budget deficits without increased productivity. This shows that institutional capacity through budget transparency, bureaucratic efficiency, and public accountability are the main reinforcing factors in the success of fiscal policies.

The relationship between institutional quality and fiscal sustainability is also discussed by Baharumshah et al. (2017), who found that government efficiency, bureaucratic quality, and fiscal institution effectiveness have a significant influence on the state's ability to keep public debt under control. In this context, the existence of independent fiscal institutions, a strong public audit system, and legislative oversight serve as a control mechanism to prevent moral hazards and misuse of fiscal policies. Beetsma et al. (2019) reinforce this view by emphasizing that independent fiscal boards can increase budget transparency, reduce political bias, and foster public confidence in government fiscal policies. Countries that implement independent oversight mechanisms have been shown to have more stable fiscal deficits and more controlled debt levels than countries without formal fiscal oversight institutions.

In terms of macroeconomic theory, Dombi and Dedák (2019) underline that an increase in public debt that is not accompanied by an increase in public investment productivity will slow down long-term economic growth. Within the framework of neoclassical growth theory, inefficient government spending has the potential to create a fiscal burden without providing a meaningful boost to potential output. This shows that the effectiveness of fiscal policy depends not only on the size of the stimulus, but also on the productivity of public resource allocation. Arčabić et al. (2018) expanded on these findings by identifying a nonlinear threshold relationship between the public debt ratio and economic growth. They found that the negative impact of debt on growth only arises when the debt ratio crosses a certain threshold (around 60–70% of GDP), depending on the institutional capacity of each country. Strong institutions expand the "fiscal space" by maintaining policy credibility and strengthening investor confidence in macroeconomic stability.

Furthermore, Sani et al. (2019) found evidence that improving the quality of institutions, especially in aspects of corruption control, government effectiveness, and law enforcement, is able to mitigate the negative impact of public debt on economic growth in Sub-Saharan African countries. When fiscal governance is strengthened, public spending becomes more productive and its contribution to economic growth increases. Conversely, institutional weakness magnifies the risk of misallocation of public funds and increases vulnerability to structural fiscal deficits. Bastida et al. (2017) also concluded that public debt is only sustainable if it is supported by institutional reforms that improve fiscal transparency and debt management capacity.

The quality of the institution is thus not only a supporting variable, but is a determining factor in maintaining fiscal discipline. Ahlborn and Schweickert (2018) added that economic systems and political structures also influence the relationship between public debt and economic growth. Countries with strong democratic systems and open economies tend to implement fiscal policies that are more disciplined and more responsive to global market conditions. On the other hand, countries with closed political systems and weak institutions tend to experience prolonged fiscal imbalances.

In addition to institutional factors, fiscal policy itself remains the main instrument in maintaining economic stability. Mishra et al. (2018) shows that in developing countries, the fiscal response to the increase in public debt is highly dependent on the effectiveness of fiscal institutions. When fiscal institutions are weak, the government often delays budget consolidation until the debt ratio reaches a critical level. But in countries with strong fiscal governance, budget adjustments are made faster and more targeted to maintain long-term fiscal credibility. Shahbaz et al. (2018) emphasized that the development of the financial sector accompanied by improving the quality of institutions can strengthen a country's fiscal position by reducing dependence on high-risk external financing.

Globally, the IMF Fiscal Affairs Department report (2020) confirms that countries with strong fiscal institutions are better able to balance short-term economic support and long-term fiscal sustainability. Countries with independent fiscal oversight and high budget transparency are faster to make post-crisis adjustments than countries with weak institutions. In contrast, countries with poor fiscal governance face difficulties in fiscal consolidation, which causes debt-to-GDP ratios to remain high even as economic conditions begin to recover.

When viewed as a whole, the results of research over the past five years show that the relationship between fiscal policy, institutional quality, and public debt is simultaneous, interaffecting, and contextual to the institutional conditions of each country. Expansionary fiscal policies without strong institutional oversight will create fiscal imbalances, while institutional reforms without a proper fiscal strategy are also not enough to guarantee debt sustainability. Therefore, effective fiscal policies must be integrated with institutional reform efforts so that public policies can be carried out efficiently, transparently, and oriented towards long-term results.

The main conclusion of this literature results is that fiscal discipline and institutional quality are the two main pillars of fiscal sustainability. The two cannot be separated because institutional quality plays a role as a controlling mechanism for fiscal policy, while fiscal policy is the main tool in achieving macroeconomic goals. In an era of globalization and post-pandemic economic uncertainty, the integration of the two is becoming increasingly important to maintain market confidence, stabilize debt structures, and create a healthy fiscal space for sustainable development.

5. Discussion

The results of the literature synthesis show that fiscal sustainability cannot be separated from the role of the institutional dimension that underpins the effectiveness of public policies and state financial management. The relationship between fiscal policy and institutional quality is two-way and mutually reinforcing. On the one hand, a credible fiscal policy requires a strong institutional foundation to ensure transparency, accountability, and consistency in budget implementation. On the other hand, good governance serves to strengthen the impact of fiscal policy on economic growth and public debt stability. In this context, institutional quality can be likened to a governance anchor that determines the extent to which fiscal policy is able to maintain budgetary discipline and suppress the accumulation of unproductive debt (Baharumshah et al., 2017).

Institutional weaknesses are often at the root of the problem in the failure of public debt management, especially in developing countries with limited administrative capacity. Nguyen and Luong (2021) show that countries with high levels of corruption, inefficient bureaucracy, and weak fiscal accountability tend to experience sustained budget deficits without producing a positive impact on economic output. In contrast, countries with strong fiscal institutions are able to implement expansive policies in a measurable manner because they are supported by an effective internal oversight system and high public transparency. This condition reinforces the view that institutional reform through increased fiscal transparency, bureaucratic efficiency, and the establishment of independent fiscal institutions are important prerequisites for long-term fiscal sustainability (Beetsma et al., 2019).

In terms of policy, the literature emphasizes the need for a fiscal approach that is adaptive to the dynamics of the economic cycle and the institutional capacity of a country. Countries with good fiscal governance have a wider fiscal space to use debt instruments productively without causing excessive fiscal risks. Sani et al. (2019) emphasized that the negative effects of public debt on growth can be minimized if the quality of institutions is above a certain threshold. This means that the effectiveness of fiscal policy is highly dependent on the level of institutional maturity that supports its implementation. This is reinforced by Arčabić et al. (2018) who found that the relationship between public debt and economic growth is nonlinear: debt managed within moderate limits under a credible institutional system can actually boost public investment, economic productivity, and long-term macro stability.

In practical terms, sustainable fiscal policy must be based on the principles of fiscal responsibility and good governance. The government needs to strengthen fiscal credibility through performance-based budget planning, an integrated internal oversight system, and transparent audit mechanisms. Every public policy instrument should be directed not only to maintain economic growth, but also to strengthen the efficiency of public resources and narrow the welfare gap. As emphasized by Mishra et al. (2018), strengthening credible fiscal institutions can change government behavior from a reactive pattern to a proactive one in maintaining fiscal stability and public debt.

Thus, the results of the overall literature review confirm that sustainable fiscal policy cannot stand alone without strong institutional support. The integration between institutional governance and fiscal strategy is key to addressing global challenges such as debt crises, economic uncertainty, and long-term development needs. Institutional reforms, increased fiscal transparency, and strengthening the capacity of public administration are not only political agendas, but structural needs to maintain policy credibility, increase market confidence, and ensure sustainable macroeconomic stability in the future.

6. Conclusion

The results of this literature review confirm that the relationship between fiscal policy, institutional quality, and public debt affects each other and determines the direction of a country's fiscal sustainability. Expansionary fiscal policy can be an important instrument in maintaining economic stability, but without the support of strong institutional governance, it risks creating an unproductive debt burden. Conversely, good institutional quality characterized by fiscal transparency, bureaucratic effectiveness, and corruption control can improve fiscal policy efficiency, strengthen budgetary discipline, and lower the risk of long-term deficit financing. Studies reviewed over the past five years show that integration between fiscal and institutional reform is a key strategy to maintain a balance between economic growth and the sustainability of public debt.

Countries with solid fiscal institutions tend to have more controlled deficits as well as more fiscal space to respond to external economic shocks. Thus, strengthening governance and increasing institutional capacity are absolute prerequisites for the creation of long-term fiscal stability. Conceptually, the results of this study confirm that fiscal sustainability is not only a matter of economic policy, but also an institutional and public governance issue. Therefore, future economic policy directions need to place institutional reforms as an integral part of an inclusive, transparent, and accountable sustainable fiscal strategy.

References

Ahlborn, M., & Schweickert, R. (2018). Public debt and economic growth–economic systems matter. *International Economics and Economic Policy*, *15*(2), 373-403.

Arčabić, V., Tica, J., Lee, J., & Sonora, R. J. (2018). Public debt and economic growth conundrum: nonlinearity and inter-temporal relationship. *Studies in Nonlinear Dynamics & Econometrics*, *22*(1).

Avellán, L., Galindo, A., & Leon-Diaz, J. (2020). The role of institutional quality on the effects of fiscal stimulus. New York: Inter-American Development Bank.

Baharumshah, A. Z., Soon, S. V., & Lau, E. (2017). Fiscal sustainability in an emerging market economy: When does public debt turn bad?. *Journal of Policy Modeling*, *39*(1), 99-113.

Bastida, F., Guillamón, M. D., & Benito, B. (2017). Fiscal transparency and the cost of sovereign debt. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, *83*(1), 106-128.

Beetsma, R., Debrun, X., Fang, X., Kim, Y., Lledó, V., Mbaye, S., & Zhang, X. (2019). Independent fiscal councils: Recent trends and performance. *European Journal of Political Economy*, *57*, 53-69.

Dombi, Á., & Dedák, I. (2019). Public debt and economic growth: what do neoclassical growth models teach us?. *Applied Economics*, *51*(29), 3104-3121.

Mishra, P., N'Diaye, P., & Nguyen, L. (2018). Effects of Fed announcements on emerging markets: What determines financial market reactions?. *IMF Economic Review*, *66*(4), 732-762.

IMF Fiscal Affairs Department. (2020). Fiscal Monitor: Policies for the Recovery. International Monetary Fund. Retrieved February 20, 2025, From https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/FM/Issues/2020/10/14

Kose, M. A., Nagle, P. S. O., Ohnsorge, F., & Sugawara, N. (2021). What has been the impact of COVID-19 on debt? Turning a wave into a tsunami. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 9873*.

Nguyen, T. A. N., & Luong, T. T. H. (2021). Fiscal policy, institutional quality, and public debt: Evidence from transition countries. *Sustainability*, *13*(19), 10706.

Sani, A., Said, R., Ismail, N. W., & Mazlan, N. S. (2019). Public debt, institutional quality and economic growth in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Institutions and Economies*, 39-64.

Shahbaz, M., Bhattacharya, M., & Mahalik, M. K. (2018). Financial development, industrialization, the role of institutions and government: a comparative analysis between India and China. *Applied Economics*, *50*(17), 1952-1977.

Tarek, B. A., & Ahmed, Z. (2017). Institutional quality and public debt accumulation: An empirical analysis. *International Economic Journal*, *31*(3), 415-435.