



# Collaborative Dynamics between Government and Society in the Three-Sector Economy toward Equitable and Sustainable Development

Yosina Oktoviani Pitna<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universitas Sarjanawiyata Tamansiswa, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

---

## Abstract

---

### Article history:

Received: January 7, 2023  
Revised: February 19, 2023  
Accepted: April 10, 2023  
Published: June 30, 2023

---

### Keywords:

Fiscal Policy,  
Government Role,  
Monetary Policy,  
Sustainable Development,  
Three-Sector Economy.

---

### Identifier:

Zera Open  
Page: 1-18  
<https://zeraopen.com/journal/ijpfp>

The three-sector economy consisting of households, firms, and the government constitutes a vital foundation for sustaining national economic stability and promoting long-term growth. The synergy between the government as a regulator and society as an active economic participant plays a central role in achieving balanced and sustainable development. Through well-coordinated fiscal and monetary policies, the government seeks to maintain macroeconomic equilibrium, while society contributes dynamically as consumers, producers, and social controllers in the overall economic process. This literature review explores the interaction among the three sectors and their implications for sustainable economic progress. The analysis reveals that adaptive collaboration between governmental policies and civic participation significantly enhances inclusive and efficient economic growth. Furthermore, coherent coordination between fiscal and monetary strategies reinforces public trust, strengthens institutional credibility, and fosters socioeconomic resilience. Hence, maintaining an equitable balance between governmental functions and community engagement emerges as an essential condition for achieving sustainable and equitable economic welfare.

---



## **1. Introduction**

The three-sector economy is one of the main foundations in modern economic system analysis because it represents the functional link between households, firms, and the government. The reciprocal relationship among these three sectors forms a complex mechanism in determining the direction of national development and the level of public welfare. In this system, the government holds a strategic role as a policy regulator, controller of fiscal and monetary instruments, and a development facilitator through the provision of infrastructure and conducive public policies. Meanwhile, the public plays a dual role as both producers and consumers in the economic circulation, as well as a social watchdog over public policy and its implementation in the field. According to Sanjaya (2021), the balanced interaction among these three economic sectors is the main prerequisite for creating sustainable macroeconomic stability. In this context, fiscal and monetary policies have a close relationship in regulating economic dynamics and social welfare.

Fiscal policy managed effectively through the regulation of the tax system, public expenditure management, and optimization of budget distribution can strengthen national production capacity while encouraging long-term economic growth (Clark et al., 2018). On the other hand, monetary policy run by the financial authorities plays a role in maintaining exchange rate stability, controlling inflation, and regulating the money supply to remain balanced with national economic needs. Harmonious coordination between fiscal and monetary policies is a key factor in balancing the goals of growth with macroeconomic stability. As explained by Bengtsson et al. (2018), the effectiveness of economic policy heavily depends on the

government's and private sector's ability to collaborate, especially in facing global dynamics such as financial crises, market uncertainty, and the challenges of climate change. Public participation also makes a significant contribution to the effectiveness of government policy.

Active public involvement is not only limited to consumption activities but also includes support for development programs through tax compliance, economic innovation, and involvement in productive activities. Putra and Solehudin (2022) emphasizes that institutional balance between the government and the public is an essential element for the success of an economic system that is just, efficient, and adaptive to change. In this context, the public's role is not just as a recipient of policy benefits, but also as a strategic partner of the government in ensuring the implementation of inclusive and sustainable development. Thus, the three-sector economy cannot be viewed solely as a mechanical economic structure, but rather as a socio-economic system that demands coordination, synergy, and collaboration between sectors. An imbalance in one sector can cause a domino effect that disrupts the stability of the economic system as a whole.

For instance, a weak government role in fiscal regulation can trigger market inefficiency, while low public participation can hinder productivity and state revenue. Similarly, if the firm sector faces stagnation, its impact will be felt by all other economic sectors. Therefore, the study of the three-sector economy has important relevance in understanding the interaction between the government, the public, and the business world within the framework of national development. This research aims to examine in depth the strategic role of the government and the public in

maintaining economic stability and realizing sustainable development. Through a literature study approach to previous research, this analysis is expected to provide a comprehensive understanding of the importance of synergy among economic actors in achieving macroeconomic equilibrium and equitable social welfare.

## **2. Literature Review**

### **2.1. The Concept of the Three-Sector Economy**

The concept of the three-sector economy represents the functional and dynamic relationship between households, firms, and the government within a national economic system (Barom, 2019). These three sectors form a network of interactions that mutually influence each other through the flow of goods, services, factors of production, and income. In this context, the inter-sectoral linkage is not merely transactional, but also reflects a structural equilibrium that determines the direction of a country's economic growth. According to Sanjaya (2021), households serve as the main providers of factors of production, such as labor, capital, and natural resources, which are inputs for economic activities. Firms act as entities that manage and combine these factors to produce goods and services with economic value. Meanwhile, the government acts as both a regulator and an equilibrator through the implementation of fiscal, monetary, and public regulations to ensure market efficiency and the equitable distribution of public welfare.

The synergy formed among these three sectors is a primary prerequisite for creating an economic system that is stable, just, and sustainable (Liu et al., 2018). An imbalance in one sector can lead to a chain reaction effect on the other sectors,

thereby disrupting macroeconomic stability. Therefore, the success of economic development is determined not only by the performance of the private sector or households but also by the effectiveness of the government's role in coordinating economic policies holistically. Thus, the three-sector economy reflects a systemic structure that demands harmonious collaboration among economic actors to achieve inclusive and competitive growth.

## **2.2. Fiscal and Monetary Policies in Development**

Fiscal and monetary policies are two main instruments that play a strategic role in maintaining national economic stability. These two policies not only function as short-term economic fluctuation control tools but also as a foundation in shaping an economic structure that is sustainable and resilient to global changes. Fiscal policy focuses on managing state revenues and expenditures to achieve optimal economic development goals. According to Clark et al. (2018), the efficient implementation of fiscal policy is capable of increasing national productivity through targeted allocation of financing, particularly in the infrastructure, education, and public services sectors. Investment in these sectors encourages increased production capacity, expands employment opportunities, and strengthens domestic economic competitiveness. Thus, fiscal policy not only plays a role in stimulating economic growth but also in strengthening the socio-economic resilience of the public.

Meanwhile, monetary policy functions to maintain macroeconomic equilibrium through inflation control, exchange rate stabilization, and regulation of the money supply in the community. Putra and Solehudin (2022) asserts that the effectiveness of monetary policy heavily depends on the financial authority's ability

to adjust interest rate instruments and liquidity reserves according to market conditions. Synergistic collaboration between fiscal and monetary policies is a crucial key to creating economic stability, strengthening investor confidence, and supporting inclusive and sustainable economic development at the national level.

### **2.3. Government and Public Synergy in Sustainable Development**

Bengtsson et al. (2018) assert that the concept of social economy plays an important role in creating a balance between economic efficiency and social justice. In this framework, the government functions as the main regulator ensuring that economic policies are implemented consistently with the principles of sustainability, equity, and social responsibility. On the other hand, the public acts as the driving actor that implements green and social economic values through active participation in productive and environmentally conscious activities. Arslan et al. (2021) adds that cross-sector collaboration, particularly between non-governmental entities such as cooperatives, non-governmental organizations, and the social business sector, is capable of strengthening economic resilience against external shocks. This collaboration not only increases efficiency in resource distribution but also expands access to inclusive and equitable economic opportunities.

Furthermore, the active involvement of the public in the process of formulating and implementing public policies is an important factor in increasing the legitimacy and effectiveness of these policies. Evans and Cheng (2021) highlight that social participation strengthens government accountability because it opens up space for a more transparent dialogue between the state and its citizens. In addition, the participatory approach also encourages the emergence of social innovation that

functions as a catalyst for the growth of the creative economy and long-term sustainability (Handayati et al., 2020). Thus, the integration between government policies and public social initiatives becomes the main basis for economic development that is resilient, just, and oriented towards shared welfare.

### **3. Method**

This research applies the literature review approach as the main method for analyzing the linkage between the government, the public, and the private sector within the framework of the three-sector economy. This approach was chosen because it is capable of providing an in-depth understanding of the theories, concepts, and policies that have been developed in previous research, especially those related to the strategic role of the three sectors in realizing sustainable and inclusive economic development. The literature study was carried out through a systematic search process across various scientific publications, including academic articles, reputable journals, and research reports published within the last five years. The main data sources were obtained through the Google Scholar or Elsevier platform using the keywords: three-sector economy, fiscal policy, monetary policy, government role, and sustainable development.

The selection stage was carefully executed to choose the literature most relevant to the research focus, which is the coordination of economic policies and public participation in the macroeconomic system. The literature analysis process was conducted in four main stages. First, secondary data collection, which includes documents and publications explaining theories and models of the relationship

among the three economic sectors. Second, content analysis was performed to assess the relevance and thematic integration among sources. Third, synthesis of findings was carried out to identify the pattern of relationships between fiscal policy, monetary policy, and the public's role in maintaining economic stability. Fourth, a critical interpretation of the analysis results was conducted, considering the context of sustainable economic policy and its implications for national development.

This approach allows researchers to build a comprehensive conceptual framework without geographical limitations, and to trace the theoretical contributions from various cross-country studies. Furthermore, this method helps identify research gaps and policy practices that still require empirical strengthening. Bengtsson et al. (2018) assert that a literature study is effective in revealing the complexity of interaction patterns among economic sectors. Meanwhile Clark et al. (2018) use a similar approach to examine the relationship between fiscal policy, economic growth, and institutional quality. Thus, the literature study method in this research serves as a strong theoretical foundation to integrate the results of previous research into a coherent and systematic conceptual framework.

#### **4. Results**

The results of the literature review show that the three-sector economic system which includes households, firms, and the government has mutually synergistic roles in forming the foundation for stability and encouraging sustainable economic growth. The three sectors interact through mutually influencing flows of goods, services, income, and policies. Households function as providers of labor and

consumers, firms play a role as managers of production and investment activities, while the government acts as a regulator and controller of economic policy. A country's economic performance heavily depends on the equilibrium among these three sectors, especially on the effectiveness of fiscal and monetary policies implemented by the government and the active participation of the public in economic activities.

According to Sanjaya (2021), inter-sectoral equilibrium is a fundamental element in maintaining the stability of the economic system. The government not only acts as a policymaker but also as a regulator of resource distribution and an equilibrator between public interests and the private sector. In this framework, fiscal policy becomes the main instrument used by the government to influence economic activity through tax regulation, public expenditure, and social transfers. When the economy experiences a slowdown, the fiscal instrument can be utilized to encourage growth, while in conditions of an overheating economy, fiscal policy functions to restrain inflationary pressure. Fiscal policy that is managed effectively provides a multiplier effect on the increase in public welfare. Government investment in infrastructure, education, and health contributes to increasing labor productivity while expanding employment opportunities.

This increase encourages a rise in public income, which in turn strengthens household consumption and expands national economic activity. Wijaya and Dewi (2022) emphasize that efficient, transparent, and accountable tax management can strengthen the quality of public institutions and increase public trust in the government. This public trust plays a vital role in ensuring the sustainability of

economic policy, as the level of public participation is heavily influenced by the legitimacy and accountability of government institutions. On the other hand, monetary policy also plays a central role in maintaining macroeconomic stability. Through the regulation of the money supply, determination of interest rates, and stabilization of the exchange rate, the monetary authority can control inflation while maintaining the stability of the financial system.

In both classical and modern economic literature, the coordination between fiscal and monetary policies is considered crucial to prevent policy contradictions that can reduce the effectiveness of macroeconomic management. For example, if the government implements an expansionary fiscal policy while the central bank adopts a contractive monetary policy, the resulting impact will neutralize each other and cause inefficiency in the economy. Rosser et al. (2021) highlight that the success of policy coordination between the public and private sectors is highly determined by institutional capacity and the quality of government governance. A government that is adaptive to global economic changes is able to adjust fiscal and monetary policies synergistically by involving the public in the decision-making process. This approach strengthens the principle of inclusive governance, which is inclusive governance where the public is not only a policy object but also an active subject in determining the direction of national economic development.

The literature findings also indicate that economic sustainability is not only determined by appropriate macro policies but also by public involvement in maintaining socio-economic stability. Arslan et al. (2021) explains that collaboration between the public, private, and civil society sectors creates a three-sector

partnership that expands the reach of public policy while increasing the efficiency of resource allocation. This model positions the public as a link between microeconomic needs such as individual and community welfare and macroeconomic goals of national growth and stability.

Increased public participation is also proven to strengthen the effectiveness of fiscal and monetary policies because it creates a higher level of trust in the economic system. When the public has a good understanding of the use of public funds, especially taxes, they tend to be more compliant with fiscal obligations. This condition has a positive impact on increasing state revenue, which then enlarges the government's capacity to finance development programs. In the long term, this encourages the formation of a healthy economic cycle, where the increase in government income is reallocated for public interest such as infrastructure, education, and health, which ultimately strengthens national economic competitiveness. The link between economic policy and the public's social role is also visible in the dimension of equity and economic justice. The government has the responsibility to ensure that economic growth is not only oriented towards capital increase but also includes the equitable distribution of development results across all segments of society.

In this case, subsidy policies, social assistance, and support for Micro, Small, And Medium-Sized Enterprises (MSME) become important instruments to improve social welfare and reduce economic disparity. Evans and Cheng (2021) assert that cross-sector collaboration helps the government overcome fiscal limitations through strategic partnerships with civil society organizations and the business world, thereby

creating a more inclusive and sustainable participatory development model. Besides the social dimension, the literature also emphasizes the importance of integrating environmental aspects into economic policy as a key factor for long-term sustainability. The green economy approach adopted by various countries proves that a balance between economic growth and environmental preservation can be achieved through policies that are adaptive, collaborative, and incentive-based.

The government can utilize fiscal instruments such as carbon taxes, renewable energy subsidies, or green investment incentives to direct economic behavior toward a more environmentally conscious direction. On the other hand, the public has an important role in supporting these policies through changes in consumption patterns, energy efficiency, and a more environmentally friendly lifestyle. Other findings affirm that sustainable economic development can only be achieved through strengthening institutional capacity, increasing transparency, and good economic governance. Putra and Solehudin (2022) states that the integration between fiscal, monetary, and social policies must be managed within a coherent institutional framework so as not to cause economic distortions. When the government, the public, and the private sector can collaborate with principles of transparency and accountability, national productivity can increase without sacrificing social stability or environmental preservation.

The results of this literature analysis affirm that the synergy between the government, the public, and the private sector in the three-sector economic system is the main pillar for the formation of an economic system that is resilient, inclusive, and adaptive to global changes. The government functions as the policy director and

controller of macroeconomic stability, while the public plays a role as the main driver of microeconomic activities that support the national economic structure. These two roles are inseparable, as the effectiveness of public policy is highly dependent on public support, while the public's success in production is influenced by conducive government policies. Therefore, policy integration that is holistic, participatory, and sustainability-oriented becomes the main prerequisite for achieving efficient, equitable, and sustainable economic growth.

## 5. Discussion

The analysis results indicate that the linkage between the government and the public in the three-sector economy is a fundamental factor in realizing national economic equilibrium and sustainability. The government acts as the main regulator responsible for maintaining fiscal and monetary stability, while the public functions as the economic actor ensuring that market supply and demand dynamics run in a balanced manner. Both roles are complementary, as effective economic policy will not yield optimal results without active public support, and public participation will be difficult to develop without conducive public policies that are responsive to socio-economic needs. Sanjaya (2021) emphasize that the three-sector economic system is understood not only as a production structure but also as a social system that demands balance in the distribution of functions and responsibilities among economic actors.

The government is required to adopt fiscal policies that are adaptive to macroeconomic conditions, for example through the implementation of progressive

taxation and targeted subsidies to prevent the emergence of social inequality. Meanwhile, the public needs to increase productive participation in the real sector so that the economic circulation remains active and sustainable. The harmonious interaction between public policy and public economic behavior is key to creating long-term stability. Coordination between fiscal policy and monetary policy is also a major determinant of the success of the three-sector economic system.

Wijaya and Dewi (2022) show that the effectiveness of fiscal policy will significantly increase if implemented in alignment with stable monetary policy, as both instruments simultaneously affect the public's purchasing power, consumption, and investment levels. Imbalance in the coordination between these two policies potentially causes economic distortions such as inflation, budget deficits, or growth slowdowns. Therefore, the harmonization of fiscal and monetary policies must be supported by strong institutional capacity and integrated coordination mechanisms. In addition, public involvement in the process of public policy formulation and implementation has significant implications for the legitimacy and success of government programs. Evans and Cheng (2021) state that public participation in formulating public policy not only increases accountability and transparency but also fosters a sense of ownership over development outcomes.

In this context, the public is no longer positioned as a policy object but as an equal partner in the national economic development process. Such a participatory approach strengthens social cohesion and increases the effectiveness of government policy. Furthermore, the sustainability dimension is an important element identified from the literature review results. Rosser et al. (2021) affirm that sustainable

development is not only oriented towards economic growth but also demands a balance between environmental aspects and social justice. The government needs to integrate the sustainability agenda into fiscal and monetary policies through instruments such as green incentives, efficient management of natural resources, and support for the green economy (Ali et al., 2021). Public participation in sustainable consumption practices and the development of environmentally friendly businesses will strengthen the positive effects of these policies. Thus, it can be concluded that the equilibrium between the roles of the government and the public in the three-sector economy is not only a prerequisite for economic growth but also the main foundation for sustainable social and environmental development. Transparent, inclusive, and mutually supportive collaboration between the two parties will form a solid basis for creating long-term national economic sustainability.

## **6. Conclusion**

The three-sector economy, consisting of households, firms, and the government, represents the fundamental structure in the national economic system that functions to maintain long-term stability and growth. Based on the results of the literature analysis, it can be concluded that the equilibrium among the three sectors is highly dependent on the coordination of fiscal and monetary policies as well as the active participation of the public in economic activities. The government acts as a policy regulator, market equilibrator, and provider of public facilities, while the public acts as consumers, producers, and social watchdogs over economic policy.

Collaboration between the government and the public is proven to be the main factor in creating inclusive and sustainable economic growth. Effective fiscal policy, accompanied by stable monetary policy, strengthens public trust in the economic system and fosters a healthy investment climate. Furthermore, public participation in supporting public policies accelerates the distribution of development benefits and increases economic efficiency. The results of this study affirm that sustainable economic development cannot be achieved solely through policy intervention, but also through active public participation. Thus, adaptive synergy between the government and the public in the three-sector economy becomes the main prerequisite for stability, social welfare, and sustainable economic growth in the future

## **References**

Ali, E. B., Anufriev, V. P., & Amfo, B. (2021). Green economy implementation in Ghana as a road map for a sustainable development drive: A review. *Scientific African*, 12, e00756.

Arslan, A., Golgeci, I., Khan, Z., Al-Tabbaa, O., & Hurmelinna-Laukkonen, P. (2021). Adaptive learning in cross-sector collaboration during global emergency: conceptual insights in the context of COVID-19 pandemic. *Multinational Business Review*, 29(1), 21-42.

Barom, M. N. (2019). Framing the social responsibility role of Islamic financial institutions within a three-sector economic model. *Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews*, 7(1), 180-188.

Bengtsson, M., Alfredsson, E., Cohen, M., Lorek, S., & Schroeder, P. (2018). Transforming systems of consumption and production for achieving the sustainable development goals: Moving beyond efficiency. *Sustainability science*, 13(6), 1533-1547.

Clark, R., Reed, J., & Sunderland, T. (2018). Bridging funding gaps for climate and sustainable development: Pitfalls, progress and potential of private finance. *Land use policy*, 71, 335-346.

Evans, B., & Cheng, S. M. (2021). Canadian government policy innovation labs: An experimental turn in policy work?. *Canadian public administration*, 64(4), 587-610.

Handayati, P., Soetjipto, B. E., Putri, D. M., Ermayda, R. Z., Rochayatun, S., Nastiti, R. D., ... & Alhaleh, S. E. A. (2020). Industrial Role Optimization in Regional Development through Corporate Social Responsibility. *Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Studi Pembangunan*, 12(2), 176.

Liu, J., Hull, V., Godfray, H. C. J., Tilman, D., Gleick, P., Hoff, H., ... & Li, S. (2018). Nexus approaches to global sustainable development. *Nature sustainability*, 1(9), 466-476.

Putra, H. M., & Solehudin, E. (2022). Fundamentals of economic and monetary policy in Islam. *Al-Falah: Journal of Islamic Economics*, 7(1), 89-104.

Rosser, A., King, P., & Widoyoko, D. (2021, September). The political economy of the learning crisis in Indonesia. In *RISE Annual Conference, University of Oxford* (pp. 22-24).

Sanjaya, T. B. (2021). Economy-wide impacts of zero-rated VAT on exports of business services in Indonesia: A CGE analysis. *Kajian Ekonomi dan Keuangan*, 5(2), 118-145.

Wijaya, S., & Dewi, A. K. (2022). Determinants of foreign direct investment and its implications on tax revenue in Indonesia. *JPPI Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Indonesia*, 8(3), 719-733.