Digital Ethics and Law: Bridging Regulatory Gaps and Enhancing Legal Education in Indonesia

Fendy Prasetyawan[1*]

[1] Universitas Kadiri, Kediri, Indonesia

Abstract

The rapid and continuous development of digital technology has profoundly transformed social, economic, and legal interactions, creating not only opportunities but also complex and multifaceted ethical and regulatory challenges. Ambiguity in digital ethics, significant regulatory gaps, and the growing need for jurisprudence collectively highlight the inadequacy of conventional legal frameworks in effectively addressing emerging dilemmas, including algorithmic bias, privacy concerns, data protection issues, and accountability for automated decisions. This study employs a comprehensive library research method to systematically explore the integration of ethical principles, jurisprudential guidance, and adaptive regulatory approaches within the evolving digital era. It also emphasizes the reconstruction of legal education to incorporate advanced digital literacy, technological competencies, and heightened ethical awareness, alongside collaborative strategies involving government authorities, private sector actors, and civil society stakeholders. Findings indicate that adaptive, principle-based regulations, when supported by jurisprudential reference and multidisciplinary legal education, can foster a sustainable, equitable, and effective digital legal ecosystem. Integrating ethical, legal, and technological perspectives remains essential for responsible utilization of digital innovations.

*Corresponding author:
fendy.pra@gmail.com (Fendy Prasetyawan)

1. Introduction

Digital transformation has become a global phenomenon that significantly influences various aspects of human life, from the business sector, government, and education, to social interaction on a broader scale. Digital transformation is not merely the process of adopting new technology or utilizing modern digital tools; it also encompasses a fundamental and profound change in mindset, operational patterns, organizational strategy, and the way value and benefits are provided to all involved stakeholders. Advanced technologies such as big data, artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain, and the internet of things (IoT) have opened up vast opportunities to improve operational efficiency, system transparency, service innovation, and productivity across various sectors. This development allows organizations and governments to optimize data-driven decision-making and provide services that are more responsive to the needs of the community.[1]

However, this rapid advancement in digital technology also gives rise to various significant challenges, especially in the realm of ethics and law. One of the main challenges that has come into the spotlight is the emergence of complex digital ethical ambiguity. The digital world often presents moral and social dilemmas that are not yet fully regulated or accommodated by conventional legal principles. Examples can be seen in crucial issues such as personal data protection, user privacy, bias within algorithms, and accountability for decisions produced by automated

---

[1]Niraj Poudel. "The Impact of Big Data-Driven Artificial Intelligence Systems on Public Service Delivery in Cloud-Oriented Government Infrastructures." *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Cloud Computing Systems* 8, no. 11 (2024): 13-25.

systems or intelligent machines. The lack of clear, comprehensive, and up-to-date regulations creates confusion in interpreting the rights, obligations, and behavioral boundaries for both users and digital industry players. This ambiguity is further exacerbated by differing interpretations of cultural norms, social values, and moral standards in each community, thus demanding a more adaptive, progressive, and sensitive legal approach to both local and global contexts.[2]

In addition to digital ethical ambiguity, regulatory gaps also represent an urgent and strategic issue. Legal regulations, in many cases, often lag far behind the pace of rapidly evolving technological innovation. For example, the emergence of cryptocurrency, fintech, social media platforms, and various other digital business models has developed far faster than the ability of laws and regulations to effectively govern them. This lag creates legal loopholes that can be negatively exploited by certain parties, while also giving rise to diverse social, economic, and legal risks. In this context, jurisprudence or court decisions play a very important role. The need for jurisprudence arises because existing laws are inadequate or not specific enough to regulate new digital phenomena. Court decisions can fill legal vacuums, create legal certainty, and serve as an important guide for the further development of law.

No less importantly, implementation gaps are also a critical concern. Many legal, technological, or digital regulatory policies that have been designed with a specific purpose are not optimally realized according to their initial targets. This creates a real difference between the desired hopes or goals and the actual results on

---

[2] Ali Al-Hakim. "Navigating Legal Pluralism: A Socio-Anthropological Analysis of Governance and Law in Multicultural Societies." *Journal of Judikaltura* 1, no. 2 (2023): 23-27.

the ground. This phenomenon can be clearly seen in the implementation of personal data protection, the regulation of AI systems in public administration, and the supervision of digital platforms, which to this day are still not fully effective and consistent.

In the Indonesian context, a harmonious integration between law, digital ethics, and legal education is a strategic necessity. The role of jurisprudence, the strengthening of adaptive and technology-based legal education, and a collaborative strategy among the government, private sector, and community, are important keys for digital law to develop responsively, justly, ethically, and inclusively. Therefore, this article aims to analyze the complexity of digital ethics, regulatory gaps, and the role of jurisprudence in facing digital transformation in Indonesia through a literature review approach, in order to provide a comprehensive overview of the challenges and strategies for legal adaptation in the continuously evolving digital era.

2. Literature Review

The literature related to digital transformation consistently shows that digital technology brings about fundamental changes in how organizations operate, interact with stakeholders, and shape communication patterns and social interaction more broadly. According to Trier et al. (2023),[3] digitalization not only creates high operational efficiency but also gives rise to various complex ethical dilemmas, such as issues of data privacy protection, transparency in information use, and algorithmic

---

[3] Matthias Trier, Dennis Kundisch, Daniel Beverungen, Oliver Müller, Guido Schryen, Milad Mirbabaie, and Simon Trang. "Digital responsibility: a multilevel framework for responsible digitalization." *Business & Information Systems Engineering* 65, no. 4 (2023): 463-474.

responsibility in automated decision-making. They emphasize that this phenomenon demands serious attention from regulators and policymakers so that digital ethics can be consistently maintained. Meanwhile, Mamanazarov,[4] emphasize the importance of developing adaptive and progressive laws to address the ethical norm vacuum in the digital world, as conventional laws are often not responsive enough to the dynamics of ever-evolving technology.

Regulatory gaps are a theme consistently discussed in the literature on digital law. Ahern,[5] highlights the fact that current regulations often lag far behind the pace of technological innovation, especially in strategic sectors like fintech, social media platforms, and blockchain technology, which are developing very rapidly. This is in line with the findings of Kasatkina,[6] who emphasize the importance of innovative mechanisms such as regulatory sandboxes and co-regulation to provide a testing ground for innovation while ensuring that laws remain relevant and can adapt to technological progress. Both studies show that a flexible regulatory approach is key to reducing social and economic risks due to legal lag.

In the context of jurisprudence, the literature shows that court decisions often become the main reference when existing laws are inadequate or not specific enough to regulate digital phenomena. Jurisprudence serves not only to create legal certainty

---

[4] Sardor Mamanazarov, Maksuda Khajiyeva, Dilfuza Abdullaeva, Govkherjan Yuldasheva, Roza Azkhodjaeva, Abdurashid Pirnazarov, and Nilufar Xamedova. "Innovating Human Capital Development: The Role of Education, Law, and Economics Through Digital Transformation." *Qubahan Academic Journal* 5, no. 3 (2025): 78-113.

[5] Deirdre Ahern. "Regulatory lag, regulatory friction and regulatory transition as FinTech disenablers: calibrating an EU response to the regulatory sandbox phenomenon." *European Business Organization Law Review* 22, no. 3 (2021): 395-432.

[6] Marina Kasatkina. "A Legal Perspective On Current Ico Regulatory Trends Worldwide." *International Comparative Jurisprudence* 11, no. 1 (2025): 136-150.

and maintain the consistency of decisions but also as an important guide in the development of responsive digital law. Decisions related to digital defamation issues, copyright infringement, and online content management show that judges are beginning to use a contextual approach, interpreting old articles to be relevant to the ever-changing digital world.

In addition, the literature on legal education emphasizes the need for a deep and comprehensive curriculum reconstruction. Law students must be equipped with adequate digital literacy, sufficient technological competence, and an understanding of digital ethics to be able to face the legal challenges that arise in the era of digital transformation. The development of technology-based learning modules, virtual simulations, and continuous training for lecturers are important strategic measures in building adaptive and sustainable digital legal competence. The existing literature shows a close link between digital transformation, regulatory gaps, digital ethical ambiguity, and the need for progressive jurisprudence. A collaborative approach among the government, private sector, and community, as well as the integration of adaptive legal education that focuses on technology and ethics, are important solutions for effectively, justly, and sustainably addressing the challenges of digital law.

3. Method

The method used in this research is a literature study or library research, which is a research approach based on literature. This approach systematically involves the collection, evaluation, and analysis of secondary literature relevant to the research

topic, including digital transformation, digital ethics, regulatory gaps, jurisprudence, and legal education. The literature sources used in this research include various types of academic and professional documents, such as internationally recognized academic books, indexed scientific journals, research articles published by higher education institutions, national and international legal documents, and official publications from credible international institutions in the field of law and technology.

The literature study allows researchers to gain a deeper understanding of theoretical developments, regulatory trends, and jurisprudential practices in the increasingly complex digital context. This method also strongly supports the identification of existing knowledge gaps in the literature, policy implementation challenges, and relevant collaborative strategies for effectively integrating law and technology. The literature analysis is conducted using a critical-descriptive approach, which emphasizes an in-depth review of existing sources, compares the views of various authors, and assesses the relevance and application of these concepts in the specific context of Indonesia.

In addition, this research applies literature synthesis as part of its methodology, which is the integration of various findings and perspectives from different literature sources to build a solid conceptual framework. The analysis focus is on five main aspects, namely digital transformation as a global phenomenon, the digital ethical ambiguity arising from technological developments, the regulatory gap between existing laws and technological innovation, the role of jurisprudence in filling legal vacuums, and collaborative strategies among the government, private

sector, and community. The results of this synthesis process are then used to formulate systematic arguments and policy recommendations that can be practically applied in the development of digital law in Indonesia. By using this library research method, the study not only focuses on mapping the existing literature but also emphasizes a critical interpretation of ongoing legal and digital ethical practices. In addition, this method helps in identifying opportunities for legal innovation that are more responsive to technological progress, so that the research results can serve as a basis for formulating digital legal policies that are adaptive, progressive, and just.

4. Results

4.1. Complexity of Digital Ethics and Regulatory Gaps

The development of digital technology has brought about very significant changes in various aspects of human life, from social interaction, economic dynamics, to the legal practices that have been in force. Digital transformation presents great opportunities to increase operational efficiency, governance transparency, and innovation in both the public and private sectors. However, this rapid technological progress also creates complex and multi-dimensional ethical challenges, which often cannot be fully addressed or answered by conventional legal norms that currently exist. One of the main issues that arises is digital ethical ambiguity, which is the lack of clarity or confusion in applying moral and ethical principles in the rapidly developing cyber space. This ambiguity arises because the pace of digital technology development often far exceeds the ability of regulations

to adapt, creating complex dilemmas related to individual privacy rights, the efficiency of data utilization, and increasingly complex algorithmic accountability.

A concrete example of this problem can be found in the use of big data and artificial intelligence (AI) systems in public and business decision-making.[7] Algorithm-based recommendation or prediction systems can reflect hidden biases that are not realized by their creators, significantly influence user behavior, or even cause unwanted discrimination. In a legal context, this raises critical questions about who is actually responsible if losses occur due to these automated decisions. This ambiguity clearly shows the importance of developing adaptive, progressive, and integrative digital ethics that are able to accommodate the complex interaction between humans and machines, while also taking into account the social, economic, and moral impacts of every technological innovation that is implemented.

In addition, regulatory gaps have become a very urgent issue to be addressed.[8] Existing regulations in Indonesia often lag far behind the pace of technological innovation, especially in strategic sectors such as fintech, cryptocurrency, social media platforms, and AI systems used in public administration. This lag creates a significant legal loophole that is vulnerable to being exploited by certain parties for personal or group interests, while also causing considerable social and economic risks. For example, the implementation of the new Personal Data Protection Law was only officially enacted in 2022, even though the practice of data collection,

---

[7] Eko Eddya Supriyanto and Jumadil Saputra. "Big data and artificial intelligence in policy making: A mini-review approach." *International Journal of Advances in Social Sciences and Humanities* 1, no. 2 (2022): 58-65.
[8] Chetan Prakash Ratnawat. "Revolutionizing Insurance Compliance: AI-Powered Automation for Regulatory Excellence." *Journal Of Engineering And Computer Sciences* 4, no. 6 (2025): 25-35.

processing, and utilization by various digital companies had been going on for years before. This shows a real gap between formal written policy and the reality of practice on the ground, so that public protection of personal data and digital rights is less than optimal.

In this context, jurisprudence or judicial decisions become a very important legal instrument to fill the existing legal vacuum.[9] Jurisprudence plays a role in creating legal certainty, maintaining the uniformity of decisions, and serving as a guide for the further development of digital law, especially when written regulations are inadequate or not specific enough to regulate digital cases. Cases of defamation on social media, digital copyright infringement, and the spread of false information are real examples of how jurisprudence can adapt old laws to be relevant to the new digital context. However, in Indonesia, judicial precedent does not have formal binding force, so jurisprudence functions more as a moral guide, a persuasive argument, and a reference for legal decision-makers.

The complexity of digital ethics that emerges along with regulatory gaps demands an integrated approach to adaptive law, the development of progressive digital ethics, and the role of jurisprudence as a guide for new legal norms. Effective solutions to face this challenge require comprehensive cross-sector collaboration, including the government, private sector, and community as key stakeholders. Thus, regulations are not only normative but also responsive, dynamic, and able to answer social needs as well as technological innovation that continues to develop.

---

[9] Irfan Iryadi, Teuku Syahrul Ansari, Jumadil Saputra, Teuku Afrizal, and Ahmad Syauqi Thirafi. "The role of jurisprudence as form of legal prescriptions: A case study of notaries in indonesia." *Wseas Transactions on Environment and Development* 17, no. 2 (2021): 75-80.

4.2. Reconstruction of Legal Education and Collaborative Strategy

In addition to the challenges of digital ethics and regulatory gaps, legal education emerges as a very crucial and strategic aspect in facing the increasingly complex era of digital transformation. The traditional legal curriculum, which has so far focused more on the mastery of legal theory, the study of legislation, and formal procedures, needs to be reconstructed comprehensively to be able to incorporate digital literacy, digital ethics, and technological competence that are relevant to modern legal practice. Law students must be equipped with the ability to understand and analyze the legal dynamics that arise from technological innovation, including e-court mechanisms, electronic document management, and alternative digital-based dispute resolution methods that are increasingly being used. This is important so that they can be adaptive to the demands of law in a fast-moving and multi-dimensional digital era.[10]

The reconstruction of legal education also emphasizes the development of practical skills through interactive technology-based learning modules. The use of online platforms, virtual simulations, hybrid modules, and various digital learning methods is an important strategy to improve the quality of legal education, especially for students who are in remote areas or have limited access to formal educational resources. In addition, continuous training for legal lecturers is also a key factor so that they can teach material in a way that is more interactive, contextual, and relevant

---

[10] Arsalan Zahid Piprani, Noor Ismawati Jaafar, Suhana Mohezar Ali, Muhammad Shujaat Mubarik, and Muhammad Shahbaz. "Multi-dimensional supply chain flexibility and supply chain resilience: The role of supply chain risks exposure." *Operations Management Research* 15, no. 1 (2022): 307-325.

to technological developments, while also being an effective mentor for students in understanding and facing various digital legal challenges.

The integration of material on digital ethics and personal data protection into the curriculum is also very important. This equips prospective legal practitioners with the ability to assess the social, moral, and legal impacts of the use of technology, and enables them to advocate for the rights of citizens comprehensively. Students who understand the principles of digital ethics tend to be more ready to face the moral dilemmas that arise in the digital world, for example, the conflict between individual privacy rights and public interest, or algorithmic bias that arises in artificial intelligence (AI) and big data systems. With a deep understanding, they can also provide more appropriate and responsible legal advice in professional practice.

In addition to legal education, a collaborative strategy among the government, private sector, and community is also a key element for building an effective and sustainable digital legal ecosystem.[11] The government has a role as the main regulator and supervisor, but also needs to open a broader space for dialogue with the technology industry, academics, and other stakeholders so that the policies produced are applicable and responsive to technological changes. The private sector, especially technology companies and digital startups, can contribute through mechanisms such as a regulatory sandbox, where new technological innovations can be tested under limited supervision before being widely applied. The participation of the community

---

[11] Akemi Takeoka Chatfield and Christopher G. Reddick. "Collaborative network governance framework for aligning open justice and e-justice ecosystems for greater public value." *Social Science Computer Review* 38, no. 3 (2020): 252-273.

as end-users is also important, both in the context of supervision, reporting violations, and digital literacy education.

This cross-sector collaboration can be strengthened by utilizing modern technology, for example through online reporting systems, big data analysis to monitor trends in digital legal violations, and educational applications that are easily accessible to the wider community. This inclusive, participatory, and transparent strategy will increase public trust in the digital legal system and strengthen the legitimacy of the policies produced. Thus, modern legal education that is adaptive and a comprehensive collaborative strategy are two main pillars for building a responsive, ethical, and sustainable digital legal ecosystem. Trained and competent law students, responsive regulators, responsible technology industry players, and an actively participating community are the main keys in realizing the integration between law, ethics, and technology in Indonesia, so that the challenges of the digital era can be faced effectively and justly.

5. Discussion

The complexity of digital ethics, regulatory gaps, and the transformation of legal education show that the digital era demands a legal approach that is adaptive, collaborative, and based on moral principles. Digital ethical ambiguity is a major challenge because traditional moral principles are often inadequate to deal with the dynamics of human-machine interaction and automated decisions. For example, recommendation algorithms can produce bias that is detrimental to certain groups, while conventional law has not yet determined who is responsible for the loss. In

this context, the development of digital ethics based on universal values, yet flexible to the local context, becomes an important strategy to bridge the moral and legal gap.

Regulatory gaps highlight the need for a legal system that is more responsive to technological innovation.[12] Regulations that are too strict risk killing innovation, while loose regulations can open up opportunities for abuse. Therefore, adaptive approaches, such as regulatory sandboxes and co-regulation, can be an effective solution. A regulatory sandbox provides a space for technology developers to test new innovations under limited supervision, while co-regulation involves the private sector in creating standards and supervision. This approach also emphasizes the importance of cross-sector collaboration to create regulations that are applicable and sustainable.

The role of jurisprudence is also very strategic in filling the digital legal vacuum. Progressive judicial decisions can serve as a guide in similar cases and help form new norms before written regulations are drafted.[13] However, limited access to jurisprudence in Indonesia is a barrier, so it is important to have a transparent, structured, and easily accessible digital jurisprudence documentation system for the public. This will support the development of legal science and provide guidance for legal practitioners in dealing with digital issues. The reconstruction of legal education is a key pillar to prepare a new generation of legal practitioners to face digital

---

[12] Jeroen KG Hopster and Matthijs M. Maas. "The technology triad: disruptive AI, regulatory gaps and value change." *AI and Ethics* 4, no. 4 (2024): 1051-1069.

[13] Emmanuel Ariananto Waluyo Adi. "Penal mediation as the concept of restorative justice in the draft criminal procedure code." *Lex Scientia Law Review* 5, no. 1 (2021): 139-164.

challenges. The integration of digital literacy, digital ethics, and technological competence into the curriculum allows law students to understand the social and moral impacts of technological innovation. Modern and adaptive education will produce legal practitioners who not only understand theory but are also able to respond to technological disruption proactively.

Collaboration among the government, private sector, and community adds a practical dimension to the implementation of digital law. The government as a regulator must open dialogue with the technology industry and academics, while the private sector plays a role in innovation and corporate social responsibility. The participation of the community as end-users is also important, both in supervision and digital education. This collaborative strategy will increase the legitimacy of policies, build public trust, and ensure that the digital ecosystem runs safely, fairly, and sustainably.[14] In other words, the integration of digital ethics, jurisprudence, modern legal education, and cross-sector collaboration forms the foundation of digital law that is adaptive, responsive, and sustainable. This approach ensures that technology not only drives efficiency but also adheres to principles of morality and social justice, so that society gets the maximum benefit from digital transformation.

6. Conclusion

Digital transformation presents both great opportunities and complex challenges for the Indonesian legal system. The complexity of digital ethics, such as

---

[14] Cenk Aksoy. "Digital business ecosystems: An environment of collaboration, innovation, and value creation in the digital age." *Journal of business and trade* 4, no. 2 (2023): 156-180.

the ambiguity of moral principles in cyberspace, algorithmic bias, and responsibility for automated decisions, shows that traditional law is not enough to regulate digital dynamics. The integration of digital ethics into regulations and legal education is a necessity so that the public is protected and technological practices are based on moral values. Regulatory gaps are a strategic issue, because national laws often lag behind technological innovation. Adaptive approaches such as regulatory sandboxes and co-regulation, as well as cross-sector collaboration among the government, private sector, and community, can bridge the legal gap.

In addition, jurisprudence plays an important role in creating legal certainty and forming new norms before written regulations are drafted. A transparent and easily accessible digital jurisprudence documentation system for the public is key to supporting the development of legal science. The reconstruction of legal education is also essential to prepare a generation of legal practitioners who are adaptive. The integration of digital literacy, digital ethics, and technological competence will produce graduates who are able to face the challenges of the digital era proactively. Collaboration, education, and adaptive regulation become the foundation for building a responsive, fair, and sustainable digital legal ecosystem. Thus, the development of digital law cannot be done partially. An integrative approach that combines ethics, jurisprudence, education, and cross-sector collaboration is the main strategy to ensure that technology can be used optimally, safely, and based on principles of social justice.

References

Adi, Emmanuel Ariananto Waluyo. "Penal mediation as the concept of restorative justice in the draft criminal procedure code." *Lex Scientia Law Review* 5, no. 1 (2021): 139-164.

Ahern, Deirdre. "Regulatory lag, regulatory friction and regulatory transition as FinTech disenablers: calibrating an EU response to the regulatory sandbox phenomenon." *European Business Organization Law Review* 22, no. 3 (2021): 395-432.

Aksoy, Cenk. "Digital business ecosystems: An environment of collaboration, innovation, and value creation in the digital age." *Journal of business and trade* 4, no. 2 (2023): 156-180.

Al-Hakim, Ali. "Navigating Legal Pluralism: A Socio-Anthropological Analysis of Governance and Law in Multicultural Societies." *Journal of Judikaltura* 1, no. 2 (2023): 23-27.

Chatfield, Akemi Takeoka, and Christopher G. Reddick. "Collaborative network governance framework for aligning open justice and e-justice ecosystems for greater public value." *Social Science Computer Review* 38, no. 3 (2020): 252-273.

Hopster, Jeroen KG, and Matthijs M. Maas. "The technology triad: disruptive AI, regulatory gaps and value change." *AI and Ethics* 4, no. 4 (2024): 1051-1069.

Iryadi, Irfan, Teuku Syahrul Ansari, Jumadil Saputra, Teuku Afrizal, and Ahmad Syauqi Thirafi. "The role of jurisprudence as form of legal prescriptions: A case study of notaries in indonesia." *Wseas Transactions on Environment and Development* 17, no. 2 (2021): 75-80.

Kasatkina, Marina. "A Legal Perspective On Current Ico Regulatory Trends Worldwide." *International Comparative Jurisprudence* 11, no. 1 (2025): 136-150.

Mamanazarov, Sardor, Maksuda Khajiyeva, Dilfuza Abdullaeva, Govkherjan Yuldasheva, Roza Azkhodjaeva, Abdurashid Pirnazarov, and Nilufar Xamedova. "Innovating Human Capital Development: The Role of Education, Law, and Economics Through Digital Transformation." *Qubahan Academic Journal* 5, no. 3 (2025): 78-113.

Piprani, Arsalan Zahid, Noor Ismawati Jaafar, Suhana Mohezar Ali, Muhammad Shujaat Mubarik, and Muhammad Shahbaz. "Multi-dimensional supply chain flexibility and supply chain resilience: The role of supply chain risks exposure." *Operations Management Research* 15, no. 1 (2022): 307-325.

Poudel, Niraj. "The Impact of Big Data-Driven Artificial Intelligence Systems on Public Service Delivery in Cloud-Oriented Government Infrastructures." *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Cloud Computing Systems* 8, no. 11 (2024): 13-25.

Ratnawat, Chetan Prakash. "Revolutionizing Insurance Compliance: AI-Powered Automation for Regulatory Excellence." *Journal Of Engineering And Computer Sciences* 4, no. 6 (2025): 25-35.

Supriyanto, Eko Eddya, and Jumadil Saputra. "Big data and artificial intelligence in policy making: A mini-review approach." *International Journal of Advances in Social Sciences and Humanities* 1, no. 2 (2022): 58-65.

Trier, Matthias, Dennis Kundisch, Daniel Beverungen, Oliver Müller, Guido Schryen, Milad Mirbabaie, and Simon Trang. "Digital responsibility: a

multilevel framework for responsible digitalization." *Business & Information Systems Engineering* 65, no. 4 (2023): 463-474.