International Journal of
Government Accounting Management
Volume 1, Number 1, 2022

Strengthening Transparency and Accountability through Public Sector Accounting

Kholik Yatiman[1]

[1] Universitas Jendral Soedirman, Purwokerto, Indonesia

Abstract

Article history:
Received: January 14, 2022
Revised: February 10, 2022
Accepted: April 24, 2022
Published: June 30, 2022

Keywords:
Accountability,
Governance,
Government Accounting,
Public Finance,
Transparency.

Identifier:
Zera Open
Page: 78-96
https://zeraopen.com/journal/ijgam

Transparency and accountability constitute the foundational pillars for achieving effective, credible, and integrity-oriented public financial governance. Within this framework, government accounting serves a vital function by generating relevant, reliable, and accessible financial information that allows the public to evaluate how resources are managed and utilized. Nevertheless, the persistence of corruption, budget misappropriation, and weak internal control mechanisms continues to obstruct the realization of these objectives. This study seeks to provide a comprehensive examination of both empirical and theoretical literature on the interrelationship between transparency, accountability, and the effectiveness of public sector accounting systems. Employing a Systematic Literature Review approach, this research systematically analyzes peer-reviewed academic publications issued in last five years. The review identifies three major determinants that significantly enhance accountability and transparency in public sector accounting, the consistent application of standardized accounting frameworks, the integration of digital technologies in financial reporting and auditing processes, and the presence of strong political commitment to ethical governance. Furthermore, the findings reveal that technological innovation not only improves financial efficiency but also strengthens public oversight mechanisms.

1. Introduction

Public demands for transparency and accountability in managing state finances are increasing in line with growing public awareness of the importance of good governance. Transparency refers to the openness of public information so that the community can access and understand how public funds are managed, while accountability reflects the government's obligation to account for financial decisions and actions taken. In the context of government accounting, these two concepts form the basis for establishing a financial system that is honest, efficient, and free from the misuse of public resources. Public sector accounting has a strategic function in realizing financial accountability because it provides the necessary information for performance evaluation and decision-making. A transparent government accounting system ensures that every use of public funds is correctly recorded and can be independently audited. According to Sabani (2021), transparency and accountability are effective internal control mechanisms to prevent corrupt practices and increase public trust in government institutions.

In this context, accounting serves not only as a reporting tool but also as a means of monitoring and preventing financial irregularities. Nevertheless, accountability practices in the public sector often face various obstacles. Unclear budget targets, weak accounting information systems, and a lack of commitment from public institutional leaders are major inhibiting factors to achieving transparency. Hailah and Nirwana (2019) explains that many government agencies have not fully implemented accrual accounting principles, so financial reports do not yet reflect the true financial condition. This complicates the performance evaluation process and reduces public confidence in the integrity of public institutions. On the other hand, politics also plays a crucial role in determining the level of public accountability. Budget decisions, fiscal policies, and oversight mechanisms are often influenced by political interests. Okafor et al. (2020) assert that public sector accountability cannot be separated from the political context, where public leaders have a moral and legal responsibility to explain the use of state resources to the community.

When the political process is open and participatory, the opportunities for corruption and abuse of authority can be minimized. Furthermore, information technology innovation plays a large role in strengthening transparency and accountability. The use of electronic accounting systems such as e-budgeting, e-audit, and open data platforms allows for the real-time tracking of public transactions. According to Brusca et al. (2018), the implementation of public accounting digitalization has been proven to increase reporting efficiency, accelerate audit processes, and reduce the level of budget irregularities. Thus, digital reform is an important catalyst in strengthening modern accountability systems. However, high transparency does not necessarily guarantee strong accountability if it is not followed by effective supervision and a consistent culture of integrity.

Continuous evaluation mechanisms for the implementation of government accounting principles and capacity building of human resources in the public sector are needed. Accounting reform must be directed toward building a system that is not only compliant with regulations but also capable of answering the ethical and moral demands of the community. Thus, the urgency of this research is to systematically review how transparency and accountability can be strengthened through government accounting systems. This research will also identify the inhibiting factors of implementation and the best practices applied in various countries based on literature studies from the last five years. Through the Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach, it is hoped that the results of this research can provide theoretical and practical contributions to the development of sustainable and integrated public accounting policies.

2. Literature Review

2.1. The Concepts of Transparency and Accountability in Government Accounting

Transparency and accountability are two key elements in creating good and integrated governance. Transparency means openness in the provision of public information, especially related to state finances, so that the public can assess the effectiveness and efficiency of public resource utilization. Accountability, on the other hand, requires every government entity to account for its performance morally, legally, and administratively. These two concepts are closely related and interdependent. According to Sabani (2021), the implementation of transparency and accountability in public accounting functions to reduce information asymmetry between the government and the public. When financial reports are accessible and understandable to the public, social control over state officials can run more effectively.

Furthermore, Hailah and Nirwana (2019) emphasizes that transparency supported by an accrual-based accounting system encourages the creation of performance accountability because every transaction is recorded more comprehensively and measurably. Organizational culture and moral integrity factors also influence the success of implementing public accountability. Okafor et al. (2020) argue that public sector accountability can only be achieved if public officials have an ethical awareness and commitment to serving the community. Without the support of an integrity culture, a transparent accounting system will also be difficult to implement consistently. Therefore, strengthening moral values and professionalism is an integral part of public sector accounting reform.

2.2. Implementation Challenges for Accountability and Transparency in the Public Sector

Although the principles of transparency and accountability have been the main focus in public financial management reform, their realization in the field still faces complex constraints. Unclear performance indicators, weak internal control systems, and limited human resource capacity are the most frequently found structural barriers in government accounting practices. Brusca et al. (2018) shows that in the process of procurement of goods and services, the potential for corruption remains high due to suboptimal internal controls and low public participation in the public oversight function. Furthermore, the complexity of regulations and administrative procedures also poses a significant challenge in the application of public accounting systems.

Yuniarta and Purnamawati (2020) suggest that multilayered and less efficient bureaucracy can reduce the effectiveness of accountability because it slows down the reporting process and weakens financial oversight mechanisms. On the other hand, Barbera et al. (2020) highlight that minimal technical understanding of international accounting standards, such as IPSAS (International Public Sector Accounting Standards), leads to inconsistency in the preparation of financial statements among public institutions. Moreover, political factors also play a large role in determining the effectiveness of public sector transparency and accountability. Pratiwi et al. (2020) assert that political dynamics and intervention often influence budget allocation, blurring the boundary between public interest and specific group interests. Thus, to achieve authentic transparency and accountability, an independent oversight mechanism is needed that can operate without political pressure or specific power interests.

2.3. Reform and Innovation in Modern Government Accounting

Public sector accounting reform is an important agenda in efforts to strengthen modern governance. One prominent aspect of the reform is the implementation of digitalization and technology-based accounting information systems. The use of e-budgeting, e-audit, and open government data systems has been proven to increase the efficiency and transparency of public financial reporting. Lips (2019) explains that digital transformation not only accelerates financial administrative processes but also expands public access to public information. Furthermore, Herawati and Hernando (2020) state that strengthening technology-based internal control systems plays an important role in fraud prevention.

Through the implementation of digital financial systems, every transaction can be tracked and audited automatically, thus reducing the scope for manipulation. On the other hand, Brusca et al. (2018) add that the adoption of IPSAS-based accounting encourages consistency and comparability of financial statements across countries, ultimately strengthening government credibility in the eyes of the public.

However, public accounting reform will not be effective without the support of institutional capacity and political commitment. System changes require continuous training, adaptive regulations, and the political will to enforce transparency without compromise. As stated by Hailah and Nirwana (2019), the implementation of accountable public accounting is not just a technical change but a transformation of values in governance. Therefore, innovation must be balanced with strengthening an organizational culture oriented toward ethics, integrity, and public service. The literature review shows that the success of government accounting in increasing transparency and accountability is highly dependent on the synergy between regulation, technology, and bureaucratic ethics. Institutional reform, improvement of civil servant competence, and public participation are key prerequisites for realizing a credible and just public accounting system.

3. Methods

This research employs a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach to thoroughly examine various scientific studies discussing the issue of transparency and accountability in public sector accounting. The SLR approach was chosen because it provides a systematic analytical framework for identifying, evaluating, and synthesizing the results of previous research, thereby generating a comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon studied. With this method, the process of collecting and assessing literature is carried out objectively to ensure the validity and consistency of the research findings. The first stage in conducting the SLR is literature identification. Article searches were conducted through online academic databases such as Google Scholar or Elsevier.

Using the keywords "public sector accounting", "transparency", "accountability", "governance", and "financial management". Inclusion criteria included articles in English and Indonesian published within the last five years, having undergone the peer review process, and having direct relevance to the research topic. Conversely, works that were opinion-based, non-scientific, or unrelated to public accounting practices were excluded from the review list. The second stage is selection and source quality evaluation. Every article found was assessed based on its level of relevance, data validity, and methodological clarity. The selection process was carried out in stages: first, through screening of titles and abstracts, then through a full review of the manuscript content. Articles that passed the selection were categorized into three main themes: (1) transparency and public financial reporting, (2) performance accountability and bureaucratic ethics, and (3) innovation in public sector accounting systems.

The third stage is thematic analysis and synthesis. The qualitative data obtained were organized to identify patterns, inter-variable relationships, and existing research gaps. The analysis was conducted by tracing the relationship between public accounting practices, the implementation of transparency, and the effectiveness of financial accountability mechanisms. The final synthesis results are presented in a narrative form describing theoretical and empirical developments over the last five years. This SLR approach allows for cross-context integration and generates evidence-based policy recommendations. Thus, this method guarantees that the research conclusions are built upon a strong, credible, and representative empirical foundation of the global public sector accounting condition.

4. Results

The findings from this systematic literature review confirm that transparency and accountability in government accounting are the main pillars supporting the creation of effective, efficient, and highly integrated public financial governance. Based on the analysis of several scientific articles published in the last five years, increased transparency and accountability are proven to contribute significantly to strengthening fiscal efficiency, reducing corrupt practices, and increasing the level of public trust in government institutions. In general, various previous studies show a positive correlation between the quality of the public accounting system and the degree of accountability of government institutions.

According to Sabani (2021), public sector accounting based on the principle of transparency allows the community to assess the extent to which the government carries out its fiscal responsibilities honestly and openly. The presentation of financial statements that are complete, relevant, and easily accessible to the public plays an important role in suppressing the potential misuse of state budgets. Effectively implemented transparency also creates a mechanism for social control, where the community can actively participate in evaluating and controlling public financial policies. Further literature findings indicate that the implementation of accrual-based accounting standards is an important factor in strengthening government financial accountability.

The accrual accounting system can present more comprehensive financial information because it records all assets, liabilities, and revenues comprehensively. Thus, financial reports do not only describe cash flow but also provide a more accurate picture of the government's financial position. Irvan et al. (2017) asserts that the shift from a cash system to accrual in many developing countries reflects a strong commitment to public financial governance reform. In addition to increasing transparency, the application of accrual also strengthens audit mechanisms because every financial transaction can be traced in more detail. Thematic analysis also indicates that the dimension of accountability does not only focus on the technical aspects of financial reporting but also includes moral and ethical aspects of public officials. Máté et al. (2019) emphasize that public sector accountability must be understood as a form of moral responsibility, where the integrity and ethical awareness of public officials play a role in maintaining public trust.

When officials have strong ethical values, they tend to be more cautious in managing state finances and strive to avoid irregularities. Conversely, a weak bureaucratic ethical culture is one of the main factors that reduces the effectiveness of the public accountability system. Apart from moral factors, the use of information technology also contributes significantly to strengthening transparency. The implementation of digital systems such as e-budgeting, e-audit, and open data has been proven to increase the efficiency of financial reporting while expanding public access to public data. Lips (2019) explains that the digitalization of the public sector accounting system not only increases the speed and accuracy of reporting but also minimizes the opportunities for fraud because every transaction is recorded and documented automatically.

Furthermore, open government data initiatives provide space for the community to participate in oversight, encourage broader transparency, and increase government accountability in financial decision-making. Digital transformation in public accounting also has a positive impact on the quality of internal and external audits. Through the application of real-time data-based systems, auditors can conduct continuous monitoring and detect financial inconsistencies early. Herawati and Hernando (2020) show that the digitalization of public accounting strengthens internal control and is an effective instrument in preventing fraudulent practices in government environments. Technology is thus not just an administrative tool but a strategic component in building bureaucratic integrity and efficiency.

Nevertheless, the results of the study also show that the implementation of a transparent accounting system has not yet been optimized across all government institutions. Major obstacles that frequently arise include limited human resources, resistance to change, and weak political commitment in supporting public financial reform. Several studies report that many civil servants do not fully understand the principles of accrual accounting or international reporting standards, so the implementation of reforms is often administrative and has not touched the substance of increasing accountability. Political factors also have a significant influence on the success of implementing transparency and accountability. Pratiwi et al. (2020) reveal that political intervention often causes public financial and audit institutions to lose their independence in carrying out their oversight functions. In some cases, financial reports are even manipulated to maintain the government's image, thereby reducing the principle of transparency.

This condition confirms that the success of accountability is not only determined by the accounting system but also by the existing power structure and political governance (Shah, 2017). Apart from the government, the public also has an important role in strengthening public transparency. When citizens gain broad access to financial information and understand reporting mechanisms, they can demand greater accountability from the government. Active public participation plays a role in creating a government that is more open, responsive, and oriented toward the public interest. Several studies show that the success of accounting reform in various countries is strongly influenced by the participation of civil society, the media, and independent institutions that function as external supervisors.

In the institutional context, the integration between financial institutions, audit bodies, and the legal system is a crucial aspect in ensuring the effectiveness of public accountability. A well-designed accounting system will not function optimally if it is not balanced with strict law enforcement against financial violations. Barbera et al. (2020) assert that the effectiveness of the public accounting system is highly dependent on cross-institutional coordination and regulatory clarity. Overlapping authority between institutions has the potential to cause inefficiency and open gaps for irregularities. The results of the literature review over the last five years also show increasing attention to the concept of governance-based accounting, an approach that integrates good governance principles into the public accounting system. This paradigm emphasizes the importance of transparency and accountability across all stages of the budget cycle, from planning, implementation, to reporting. The governance-based approach is proven to be able to increase performance accountability, as it links financial information with the public service outcomes produced by the government.

In addition to financial indicators, the research findings also highlight the importance of measuring accountability through non-financial indicators such as public satisfaction, service effectiveness, and policy quality. In various studies, a good public accounting system contributes to improving service performance and budget utilization efficiency. Thus, government accounting functions not only as an administrative reporting tool but also as a strategic instrument that supports the improvement of governance quality (Gamayuni, 2018). The results of this study confirm that a transparent and accountable public accounting system has a central role in building clean and trusted governance. Efforts to increase transparency are not sufficient through technical reform alone but also demand a change in paradigm and bureaucratic culture. The integration between regulation, technology, and ethical values is a major prerequisite for the success of sustainable public accounting reform.

Furthermore, the SLR results show that although many countries have adopted international standards such as IPSAS, the level of implementation effectiveness still varies. Factors such as institutional capacity, organizational culture, and political stability greatly influence the consistency of applying the principles of transparency and accountability. Therefore, accountability enhancement strategies need to be designed contextually to suit the social, economic, and political conditions of each country. In the main finding of this research is that a government accounting system based on transparency and accountability is not merely an administrative need but a fundamental element of democracy and sustainable development. A government that can provide financial information openly and accountably will gain stronger public legitimacy, enhance fiscal stability, and strengthen public trust in state institutions.

5. Discussion

The results of the literature review confirm that transparency and accountability in government accounting have a close relationship with the quality of public sector governance. These two principles are fundamental components in realizing a clean, efficient government oriented toward the public interest. However, the effectiveness of their implementation is highly dependent on structural, political, and organizational culture factors within the bureaucracy. Conceptually, the principles of transparency and accountability align with the New Public Management (NPM) approach, which focuses on efficiency, performance, and openness in the public sector (Barbera et al., 2020).

Through the implementation of an integrated public accounting system, government institutions can strengthen financial accountability while building public trust in state institutions. High transparency is proven to be an important instrument in suppressing the risk of corruption and increasing the effectiveness of fiscal management. Nevertheless, various obstacles are still encountered in its implementation, especially those related to weak inter-institutional coordination and limited human resource capacity. Arkedis et al. (2021) assert that transparency without being accompanied by strong external oversight mechanisms will only result in formal administrative compliance.

Therefore, institutional integration between audit institutions, the ministry of finance, and legislative bodies is important to ensure the effectiveness of a comprehensive public oversight system. Furthermore, the study results show that public accountability is not only oriented toward financial aspects but also includes ethical and social dimensions. Máté et al. (2019) state that public accountability is rooted in the moral responsibility of state officials to serve the broad public interest, not just meeting legal or administrative demands. Thus, strengthening accountability needs to be accompanied by building a culture of integrity within the bureaucracy. Public ethics training, increasing moral awareness, and implementing a fair system of reward and punishment are key strategies in fostering accountable behavior among government officials. Digital transformation is also a strategic variable in strengthening public financial transparency and accountability. Technological innovation enables the automation of financial reporting processes, data-based audits, and public access to fiscal information in real-time.

Herawati and Hernando (2020) highlight that the digitalization of public accounting systems not only increases operational efficiency but also narrows the opportunities for irregularities and fraud. However, the success of implementing this technology depends on the readiness of digital infrastructure and the technical capabilities of human resources in public institutions to avoid inter-institutional gaps. The discussion shows that the achievement of sustainable transparency and accountability can only be realized through the synergy between regulation, ethics, and technology. Public sector accounting reform must be understood as a multidimensional process that focuses not solely on procedural changes but also on the transformation of bureaucratic values and behavior. By strengthening institutional capacity, increasing public participation, and confirming consistent political commitment, the principles of transparency and accountability can be applied more effectively and sustainably in modern governance.

6. Conclusion

This research asserts that transparency and accountability are the main foundations in realizing effective, efficient, and integrated public financial governance. Through the SLR approach to various studies over the last five years, it was found that the implementation of a transparent public accounting system greatly contributes to corruption prevention, increased fiscal efficiency, and the strengthening of public trust in the government. The results of the study indicate that the success of implementing transparency and accountability is highly dependent on three main factors, including: consistent implementation of accrual accounting standards, integrated information technology support, and political commitment and ethics of public officials.

Furthermore, organizational culture and public participation are proven to have a significant role in strengthening the state's financial oversight system.

Although many countries have adopted international standards such as IPSAS, the effectiveness of their implementation still faces obstacles in the form of limited human resources and resistance to change. Therefore, public accounting reform must be carried out holistically by combining technical, ethical, and institutional approaches. By integrating these three dimensions, transparency and accountability become not only administrative instruments but also strategic means to realize clean, professional, and public-interest-oriented governance.

References

Arkedis, J., Creighton, J., Dixit, A., Fung, A., Kosack, S., Levy, D., & Tolmie, C. (2021). Can transparency and accountability programs improve health? Experimental evidence from Indonesia and Tanzania. *World Development*, *142*, 105369.

Barbera, C., Guarini, E., & Steccolini, I. (2020). How do governments cope with austerity? The roles of accounting in shaping governmental financial resilience. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, *33*(3), 529-558.

Brusca, I., Manes Rossi, F., & Aversano, N. (2018). Accountability and transparency to fight against corruption: an international comparative analysis. *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and Practice*, *20*(5), 486-504.

Gamayuni, R. R. (2018). The effect of internal audit function effectiveness and implementation of accrual based government accounting standard on financial reporting quality. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, *7*(1), 46-58.

Haliah, & Nirwana. (2019). The development of good governance model for performance improvement. *International Journal of Excellence in Government*, *1*(1), 21-36.

Herawaty, N., & Hernando, R. (2020). Analysis of internal control of good corporate governance and fraud prevention (Study at the Regional Government of Jambi City). *Sriwijaya International Journal of Dynamic Economics and Business*, 103-118.

Irvan, N., Mus, A. R., Su'un, M., & Sufri, M. (2017). Effect of human resource competencies, information technology and internal control systems on good governance and local government financial management performance. *Institute of Research Advances-International Journal of Management & Social Sciences ISSN*, 2455-2267.

Lips, M. (2019). *Digital government: Managing public sector reform in the digital era*. Abingdon:Routledge.

Máté, D., Sadaf, R., Oláh, J., Popp, J., & Szűcs, E. (2019). The effects of accountability, governance capital, and legal origin on reported frauds. *Technological and Economic Development of Economy*, *25*(6), 1213-1231.

Okafor, O. N., Adebisi, F. A., Opara, M., & Okafor, C. B. (2020). Deployment of whistleblowing as an accountability mechanism to curb corruption and fraud in a developing democracy. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, *33*(6), 1335-1366.

Pratiwi, K. I., Rosdini, D., & Fitriyah, F. (2020). Influence of transformational leadership style, internal control system, and government internal auditor

(APIP) capability level on fraud prevention. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, *10*(11), 705-726.

Sabani, A. (2021). Investigating the influence of transparency on the adoption of e-Government in Indonesia. *Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management*, *12*(2), 236-255.

Shah, A. (2017). Fostering fiscally responsive and accountable governance: Lessons from decentralization. In *Evaluation and development* (pp. 81-107). Routledge.

Yuniarta, G. A., & Purnamawati, I. G. A. (2020). Key elements of local government transparency in new public governance. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, *18*(4), 96-106.