Financial Risk and Management:
An International Journal
Volume 2, Number 2, 2023

The Evolution of Fintech-Driven Risk Management Strategies in Developing Economies

Maulyta Wira Asti[1]

[1] Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang, Indonesia

Abstract

Article history:
Received: August 30, 2023
Revised: September 17, 2023
Accepted: October 28, 2023
Published: December 30, 2023

Keywords:
Developing Economies,
Fintech,
Financial Inclusion,
Financial Stability,
Risk Management.

Identifier:
Zera Open
Page: 111-122
https://zeraopen.com/journal/frmij

This article examines how fintech driven innovation is reshaping risk management in developing economies, where digital finance, mobile money and platform-based lending are expanding rapidly against a backdrop of constrained regulatory and supervisory capacity. The main question is how these technologies affect credit, liquidity, operational and cyber risks, and under what conditions they support or undermine financial stability. The study uses a systematic literature review of peer reviewed research to consolidate dispersed evidence on fintech, financial inclusion and risk management. The results show a dual pattern: fintech tends to broaden access and diversify income sources, yet can amplify vulnerabilities when governance, consumer protection and supervisory frameworks lag behind innovation. The article discusses these dynamics through thematic analysis of institutional, technological and regulatory dimensions. The main findings highlight that fintech driven risk management is most effective where risk-based regulation, robust internal governance and upgraded data and analytics capabilities evolve in parallel with digital financial services.

1. Introduction

Fintech innovations have rapidly transformed how households and firms in developing economies access, use and manage financial services. Mobile payments, digital lending platforms and algorithmic credit scoring expand financial inclusion and can lower transaction costs, yet they also introduce new sources of operational, cyber and consumer protection risk that may undermine financial stability if not properly governed. Evidence on digital finance suggests that it can both support and strain financial systems in emerging markets, depending on how risks are monitored and mitigated (Ozili, 2018). At the same time, policymakers increasingly view fintech as a lever for inclusive growth and sustainable development, which raises the stakes for understanding how risk management practices must evolve to keep pace with technological change (Hinson et al., 2019). These tensions make fintech driven risk management a critical topic that demands careful and systematic examination.

Existing research between 2018 and 2022 has begun to assess how fintech interacts with financial stability and inclusion, but it often focuses on aggregate indicators rather than the concrete governance and risk control mechanisms deployed in developing economies. Cross country analyses show that fintech development can influence financial stability through channels such as competition, profitability and market discipline, with emerging markets facing both opportunities and vulnerabilities (Nguyen, 2022; Stankevičienė & Kabulova, 2022). Studies also document that fintech supported financial inclusion can help reduce inequality, although benefits are heterogeneous across income groups and institutional settings (Demir et al., 2022). Bank level evidence from Gulf Cooperation Council countries

indicates that the expansion of fintech firms may enhance bank stability when accompanied by appropriate governance structures, suggesting that technology enabled innovation and prudential soundness can be complementary under the right conditions (AlHares et al., 2022).

However, the literature remains fragmented regarding how fintech is actually embedded into risk management strategies in developing economies, including the tools, metrics and governance arrangements that financial institutions and regulators adopt to manage credit, liquidity, operational and cyber risks. Many studies highlight potential risks and macro level trade offs but provide limited synthesis of the concrete mechanisms through which fintech reshapes risk identification, assessment and mitigation. This article addresses that gap by conducting a systematic literature review of peer reviewed studies published from 2018 to 2022 on fintech driven risk management in developing and emerging economies. Using a transparent search and screening strategy across major academic databases, the review maps how institutions, regulators and market infrastructures deploy fintech to manage financial risks, identifies common patterns and divergences, and highlights unresolved tensions in balancing innovation with stability. The purpose is to articulate an integrated framework of evolving risk management strategies, clarify how current evidence supports or challenges policy expectations, and outline research and policy priorities that can guide safer and more inclusive fintech development in developing economies.

2. Literature Review

Fintech-driven risk management in developing economies has been framed largely through the twin lenses of financial inclusion and financial stability. Ozili (2018) shows that digital finance can improve access to financial services while simultaneously creating new channels for instability when rapid credit growth, weak consumer protection and regulatory gaps are present. Hinson et al. (2019) argue that fintech can help transform agribusiness and rural finance in line with sustainable development goals, but only where institutional capacity, infrastructure and governance mechanisms are strong enough to manage the associated risks. Demir et al. (2022) provide cross-country evidence that fintech-supported financial inclusion can reduce income inequality, thereby altering macrofinancial risk profiles, yet they stress that these benefits are uneven and heavily conditioned by country-specific regulatory and institutional quality.

A second stream of work examines how fintech affects the stability of financial institutions in emerging markets. Nguyen (2022) find that fintech development in an emerging banking system can initially undermine financial stability, although strong market discipline mitigates this effect. Stankevičienė and Kabulova (2022) similarly show that financial technology may either strengthen or weaken the stability of financial institutions, depending on how it is integrated into business models and internal control systems. Evidence from Gulf Cooperation Council countries suggests that the expansion of fintech firms is compatible with higher bank stability when banks adapt their strategies accordingly and embed fintech into risk governance structures (AlHares et al., 2022). Complementing this,

Kamal et al. (2022) synthesize global evidence and conclude that fintech affects bank stability through multiple channels, with outcomes that depend on competition, regulation and the sophistication of risk management practices. Macro-level studies also emphasize that fintech, regtech and digital innovation reshape financial development in ways that require updated supervisory approaches and risk oversight (Muganyi et al., 2022).

Micro-level and technological perspectives add further depth by focusing on how risk is identified, modelled and communicated within fintech ecosystems. Stewart and Jürjens (2018) show that consumer trust in fintech services is closely linked to perceptions of data security and privacy, implying that cyber and information risks must be central to institutional risk management frameworks. Bussmann et al. (2021) propose explainable artificial intelligence models for credit risk assessment on peer-to-peer lending platforms, illustrating how advanced analytics can support transparent and auditable risk measurement rather than opaque "black box" scoring. Together, these studies indicate that fintech-driven risk management in developing economies evolves through the interplay of inclusion goals, institutional stability, technology-specific risks and governance capacity. They also highlight a gap in longitudinal, institution-level evidence on how specific fintech tools and risk management practices reshape loss distributions, volatility and capital needs over time, which motivates the systematic review undertaken in this article.

3. Methods

The article uses a systematic literature review to map how fintech driven risk management strategies have evolved in developing and emerging economies. The review concentrates on peer reviewed journal articles published between 2018 and 2022 to capture the period when fintech applications in payments, lending and digital financial infrastructure expanded most rapidly. Searches were conducted in major academic databases such as Scopus, Google Scholar and ScienceDirect, complemented by targeted searches on publisher platforms relevant to finance, economics, information systems and development studies. Keyword combinations included terms related to fintech, digital finance, financial technology, developing or emerging economies, risk management, financial stability, financial inclusion, cyber risk and regulation. Only articles written in English and explicitly examining fintech in the context of risk, stability or risk management in developing or emerging economies were included, while conference papers, book chapters, non-academic reports and studies focusing solely on advanced economies were excluded.

After compiling the initial pool of studies, titles and abstracts were screened to remove duplicates and clearly irrelevant items. Full texts of the remaining articles were then reviewed to confirm that they discussed both fintech and some aspect of risk or risk management, such as credit, liquidity, operational, cyber, consumer protection or systemic risk. For each included article, information was extracted on country or region, type of fintech activity, institutional setting, risk types considered, risk management tools, regulatory approaches and key findings. The evidence was synthesized using qualitative thematic analysis rather than statistical meta-analysis,

grouping studies under themes such as financial inclusion and risk, institutional stability, regulatory and supervisory responses, and technological risk management tools. This approach allows the review to identify common patterns, differences across contexts and gaps in current knowledge on how developing economies are reshaping risk management in response to fintech.

4. Results and Discussion

The review shows that fintech adoption in developing economies produces a dual pattern for risk management. On one hand, digital finance, mobile money and platform-based lending expand access and help diversify income sources for households and small firms, which can support financial resilience and growth (Ozili, 2018; Demir et al., 2022; Muganyi et al., 2022). On the other hand, rapid diffusion in environments with weaker regulatory capacity often amplifies credit, liquidity and operational risks that traditional risk frameworks were not designed to capture. Syed et al. (2021) find that the expansion of digital finance in selected South Asian countries is associated with reductions in the shadow economy but also higher indicators of financial instability, which illustrates how fintech innovation can simultaneously formalize economic activity and intensify systemic vulnerabilities. Across the sample, many studies converge that the net effect of fintech on stability is conditional on how risk governance, supervision and consumer protection evolve alongside innovation rather than on technology alone (Bussmann et al., 2021; Kamal et al., 2022). In other words, fintech acts as a stress test for the underlying institutional and regulatory quality of developing financial systems.

A second set of findings relates to cyber and operational risk, which emerge as central themes in fintech driven risk management strategies. Research on data security and consumer trust documents that users in both advanced and developing markets are highly sensitive to privacy and application security, and that these perceptions shape the effectiveness of fintech based risk tools (Stewart & Jürjens, 2018; Hinson et al., 2019). In the context of emerging banking systems, Al Duhaidahawi et al. (2020) show that for Iraqi banks, the effectiveness of fintech deployment depends critically on staff cybersecurity competence, information security experience and organizational technological culture, indicating that human and governance factors are integral to risk mitigation. At the transaction level, Saputra et al. (2022) provide evidence from digital banking in Indonesia that extreme value models can be used to estimate maximum potential losses from downtime and timeout events, offering an operational risk quantification approach tailored to high volume digital channels. These contributions suggest that effective fintech related risk management is not only about acquiring advanced technologies, but also about embedding them in robust organizational processes and analytics capabilities that can capture tail risk and rare events.

The review also highlights an increasing emphasis on integrated, risk based regulatory and supervisory responses to fintech. Vučinić and Luburić (2022) argue that central banks and regulators must adopt risk based thinking toward fintech, treating cyber threats, data concentration and platform dependencies as core to macroprudential policy rather than as peripheral technology issues. This view resonates with evidence that digital financial inclusion and platform credit can

improve access but may heighten non-performing loans and liquidity risk if not matched by robust prudential standards (Demir et al., 2022; Nguyen, 2022). In many developing economies, regulators experiment with sandboxes, tiered licensing and proportional rules that aim to balance innovation with stability, while financial institutions themselves adjust risk appetites, strengthen internal controls and integrate fintech exposures into enterprise risk management and stress testing frameworks (AlHares et al., 2022; Kamal et al., 2022). Overall, the evidence indicates that fintech has opened new avenues for volatility management and financial deepening, but that its risk management benefits are contingent on complementary investments in supervisory capacity, data infrastructure and internal governance. Systematic quantitative evaluations of how specific strategies affect volatility, default events and capital buffers over time remain limited, pointing to an important agenda for future research.

5. Conclusion

The review concludes that fintech has reshaped risk management in developing economies in ways that are both promising and fragile. Digital finance, mobile money and platform based lending have expanded access to financial services, diversified income sources and created new tools for monitoring and managing risk. At the same time, the evidence shows that these innovations often outpace the development of regulatory frameworks, supervisory capacity and internal risk governance. As a result, fintech can reduce traditional barriers to finance

while introducing new channels for credit, liquidity, operational and cyber risk that are not fully captured by legacy risk models.

The findings also indicate that effective fintech driven risk management depends on the interaction between technology, institutions and governance. Where regulators adopt risk-based approaches, strengthen consumer protection and build data and supervisory infrastructure, fintech tends to support financial stability and more resilient intermediation. Similarly, financial institutions that integrate fintech activities into enterprise risk management, invest in cybersecurity and analytics capabilities, and develop clear governance structures are better positioned to quantify and absorb new forms of risk. Conversely, where institutional quality is weak, the same technologies can amplify vulnerabilities, heighten volatility and complicate monetary and macroprudential policy transmission.

Despite the rapid growth of the literature, important gaps remain. Empirical studies are still concentrated in a small number of countries and often rely on short time horizons or aggregate indicators, limiting insights into how specific risk management practices affect loss distributions, capital buffers and volatility over time. Future research would benefit from institution level and longitudinal designs that track particular fintech use cases and their integration into risk frameworks, as well as comparative work across regulatory regimes. By synthesizing current evidence and highlighting these gaps, this review underscores that the evolution of fintech driven risk management in developing economies is not purely a technological story, but a governance and capacity building challenge that will shape the resilience of financial systems in the years ahead.

References

Al Duhaidahawi, H. M. K., Zhang, J., Abdulreda, M. S., Sebai, M., & Harjan, S. (2020). Financial technology (FinTech) and cybersecurity: evidence from Iraqi banks. *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science (2147-4478), 9*(6), 123-133.

AlHares, A., Dahkan, A., & Abu-Asi, T. (2022). The effect of financial technology on the sustainability of banks in the Gulf Cooperation Council countries. *Corporate Governance and Organizational Behavior Review, 6*(4), 359–373.

Bussmann, N., Giudici, P., Marinelli, D., & Papenbrock, J. (2021). Explainable machine learning in credit risk management. *Computational Economics, 57*(1), 203-216.

Demir, A., Pesqué-Cela, V., Altunbas, Y., & Murinde, V. (2022). Fintech, financial inclusion and income inequality: a quantile regression approach. *The European Journal of Finance, 28*(1), 86-107.

Hinson, R., Lensink, R., & Mueller, A. (2019). Transforming agribusiness in developing countries: SDGs and the role of FinTech. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 41*, 1-9.

Kamal, A., Ali, M. S., & shah Khan, M. M. (2022). Impact of fintech on the financial stability of banks: A systematic literature review. *Global Economics Review, 7*(4), 33-40.

Muganyi, T., Yan, L., Yin, Y., Sun, H., Gong, X., & Taghizadeh-Hesary, F. (2022). Fintech, regtech, and financial development: evidence from China. *Financial innovation, 8*(1), 1-20.

Nguyen, Q. K. (2022). The effect of FinTech development on financial stability in an emerging market: The role of market discipline. *Research in Globalization, 5*, 100105.

Ozili, P. K. (2018). Impact of digital finance on financial inclusion and stability. *Borsa istanbul review, 18*(4), 329-340.

Saputra, M. P. A., Sukono, & Chaerani, D. (2022). Estimation of maximum potential losses for digital banking transaction risks using the extreme value-at-risks method. *Risks, 10*(1), 10.

Stankevičienė, J., & Kabulova, J. (2022). Financial technology impact on stability of financial institutions. *Technological and Economic Development of Economy, 28*(4), 1089-1114.

Stewart, H., & Jürjens, J. (2018). Data security and consumer trust in FinTech innovation in Germany. *Information & Computer Security, 26*(1), 109-128.

Syed, A. A., Ahmed, F., Kamal, M. A., & Trinidad Segovia, J. E. (2021). Assessing the role of digital finance on shadow economy and financial instability: An empirical analysis of selected South Asian countries. *Mathematics, 9*(23), 3018.

Vučinić, M., & Luburić, R. (2022). Fintech, risk-based thinking and cyber risk. *Journal of Central Banking Theory and Practice, 11*(2), 27-53.