Financial Risk and Management:
An International Journal
Volume 2, Number 2, 2023

Global Supply Chain Disruptions and Their Financial Risk Implications

Mega Putri Khairunnisa[1]

[1] Universitas Sarjanawiyata Tamansiswa, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Abstract

Article history:
Received: July 24, 2023
Revised: August 7, 2023
Accepted: September 29, 2023
Published: December 30, 2023

Keywords:
Capital Markets,
Financial Risk,
Global Supply Chains,
Supply Chain Disruptions,
Supply Chain Resilience.

Identifier:
Zera Open
Page: 73-85
https://zeraopen.com/journal/frmij

This article examines how global supply chain disruptions translate into financial risk for firms, focusing on the period when pandemic related shocks exposed structural vulnerabilities in global production networks. The main question is how disruptions in sourcing, production and logistics are reflected in firm performance, shareholder value and market-based measures of risk. Using a systematic literature review of peer reviewed studies published between 2019 and 2022, the study integrates fragmented evidence from supply chain management, finance and international business. The results show that disruptions are rapidly transmitted through multi-tier networks, are consistently priced as adverse events by capital markets and are associated with deteriorations in profitability and revenue growth. The discussion synthesizes these patterns through thematic analysis that distinguishes operational channels, financial outcomes and moderating resilience mechanisms. The main findings highlight that supply chain resilience functions as a strategic financial asset and that significant gaps remain regarding long term and emerging market impacts.

1. Introduction

Global supply chains have become increasingly complex and interdependent, forming the backbone of modern production systems and international trade. As firms distribute sourcing, manufacturing and logistics across multiple countries, the vulnerability of these networks to disruption has intensified. Recent events, particularly the COVID 19 pandemic, exposed how even localized shocks can quickly cascade across borders and sectors, disrupting material flows, elevating operational uncertainty and reshaping firms' financial performance (Chowdhury et al., 2021). The significance of this issue lies in its dual impact. Disruptions threaten operational continuity while also influencing firm valuation, investor expectations and market stability. In an era of heightened global uncertainty, understanding these links is essential for policymakers, managers and financial analysts seeking to anticipate and manage financial risks that originate in supply chain fragility (Mouzas & Bauer, 2022).

Scholars across operations management, international business and finance have increasingly examined how supply chain disruptions translate into measurable financial outcomes. Empirical studies document sharp stock market reactions to supply interruptions, showing that disruptions reduce firm returns, weaken investor confidence and elevate perceived risk (Tang et al., 2021; Choudhury et al., 2022). Evidence from China further indicates that industries with high exposure to vulnerable suppliers or logistics bottlenecks suffer more severe market penalties, suggesting that financial markets actively price supply chain vulnerability (Wang et al., 2022). Alongside these empirical findings, conceptual and modeling-based research highlights the systemic characteristics of global supply chain risks. Ivanov (2022) shows that disruptions can spread rapidly across interconnected tiers, undermining not only operational performance but also financial resilience when agility and buffering mechanisms are inadequate.

Despite this expanding body of work, existing studies remain fragmented, with limited synthesis across disciplines regarding the financial risk implications of global supply chain disruptions. Many contributions focus on operational reliability or logistics performance, while fewer integrate financial indicators such as valuation effects, liquidity pressures or capital structure adjustments. This fragmentation creates a problem of incomplete understanding about how different types of disruptions map into specific financial risk channels. Addressing this problem requires a comprehensive assessment that links operational shocks, governance arrangements in global value chains and observable financial outcomes.

This article responds to that need by conducting a systematic literature review of peer reviewed research published between 2019 and 2022. The purpose of the study is to clarify how global supply chain disruptions affect firm value, market reactions and broader financial risk profiles, while also identifying resilience and governance strategies that moderate these effects. Using systematic review methodology as an amplifying tool, the article synthesizes empirical and conceptual insights, comments on convergent and divergent findings and highlights theoretical and practical gaps. The expected contribution is to provide an integrated framework that strengthens the relevance of supply chain research for financial risk management and offers guidance for future work at the intersection of supply chain management and financial economics.

2. Literature Review

Research on global supply chain disruptions has grown rapidly as scholars seek to understand how shocks such as the COVID 19 pandemic expose structural vulnerabilities in globally dispersed production and logistics networks. Systematic reviews show that pandemic related disruptions affect multiple tiers of supply chains, generating shortages, delays and coordination failures that propagate across industries and regions (Chowdhury et al., 2021; Queiroz et al., 2022). These studies identify recurring themes, including risk propagation, disruption ripple effects and the limits of lean, efficiency focused configurations. Ivanov (2022) develops the idea of a viable supply chain, arguing that agility, resilience and sustainability must be integrated to preserve continuity under severe shocks. Together, this body of work frames global supply chains as complex systems in which disruptions can quickly evolve into systemic risks when redundancy and adaptive capabilities are insufficient.

Building on this foundation, a growing empirical literature investigates the financial consequences of supply chain instability. Event study analyses show that announcements related to COVID 19 and associated logistics bottlenecks are followed by negative cumulative abnormal returns for firms whose production is highly dependent on disrupted locations (Tang et al., 2021; Harjoto et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2022). Choudhury et al. (2022) finds that supply chain disruptions due to the pandemic reduce shareholder value on average, with supply side shocks such as factory shutdowns generating stronger negative market reactions than demand side shocks. At the firm level, broader studies of the pandemic document declines in profitability and cash flow, with evidence that financial markets and accounting indicators capture these deteriorations in performance (Hu & Zhang, 2021; Golubeva, 2021). This literature suggests that supply chain disruptions operate as a channel through which operational shocks translate into heightened financial risk, including valuation losses, liquidity pressure and increased uncertainty about firms' solvency and growth prospects.

In parallel, research has begun to examine how firms adapt their supply chain structures and governance to mitigate these financial consequences. Paul and Chowdhury (2021) model production recovery strategies for high demand essential items, showing how flexible capacity planning and coordinated recovery can reduce disruption costs. Resilience oriented perspectives emphasize diversification of suppliers, regional rebalancing of sourcing, digital monitoring of logistics and stronger collaborative relationships across global value chains as mechanisms that can buffer shocks and accelerate recovery. Mouzas and Bauer (2022) complement this view by conceptualizing business performance in global value chains as the interaction between operational efficiency, market effectiveness and financial resilience, arguing that risk management capabilities are central to safeguarding profitability and solvency in turbulent environments. Despite these advances, the literature remains fragmented across operations management, supply chain studies and financial economics. This fragmentation motivates a systematic literature review that synthesizes evidence on how global supply chain disruptions shape financial risk and how different resilience strategies alter this relationship.

3. Methods

The article uses a systematic literature review to synthesize current knowledge on how global supply chain disruptions affect financial risk. The review focuses on peer reviewed journal articles published between 2019 and 2022, reflecting the period when disruption and resilience issues, especially around COVID-19, became particularly salient. Searches were conducted in major academic databases such as Scopus, Google Scholar and ScienceDirect, using keyword combinations related to supply chain disruption, global supply chains, pandemics or COVID-19, financial performance, firm value, stock market reaction and financial risk. Only articles written in English and examining firm level or market level financial outcomes in the context of supply chain disruptions were considered, while conference papers, non-academic reports and studies outside the time window were excluded.

After collecting the initial pool of studies, titles and abstracts were screened to remove duplicates and clearly irrelevant articles, followed by full text reading to ensure that each study explicitly linked supply chain disruptions to financial indicators. For all included articles, information was extracted on study context, disruption type, research design, financial variables, main findings and any resilience or governance mechanisms discussed. The synthesis uses qualitative thematic analysis rather than statistical meta-analysis, grouping the evidence into themes such as market-based impacts, accounting based performance effects and the moderating role of resilience strategies. This approach allows the review to map patterns, identify gaps and provide an integrated understanding of how global supply chain disruptions translate into financial risks for firms and markets.

4. Results and Discussion

The systematic review first shows that global supply chain disruptions are rarely isolated events; they tend to propagate across multiple tiers of sourcing, production and distribution. Studies on epidemic outbreaks highlight how disruptions create ripple effects that appear simultaneously in lead times, inventory positions and service levels rather than as single point failures (Queiroz et al., 2022). Modeling work on viable supply chains confirms that high levels of global integration and just in time practices can amplify the speed and reach of these shocks, especially when redundancy and flexibility are limited (Ivanov, 2022). In manufacturing contexts, production recovery studies indicate that dual shocks in demand and input availability can quickly erode margins and service performance, particularly for high demand essential items during COVID 19, unless firms reconfigure capacity and sourcing structures in real time (Paul & Chowdhury, 2021). Together, these findings portray global supply chains as complex systems in which structural design choices strongly influence the intensity and persistence of disruption impacts.

A second set of results concerns the financial consequences of these operational shocks. Event study analyses consistently show that firms exposed to supply chain disruptions experience negative abnormal stock returns around key announcement dates. Evidence from Taiwanese and Chinese firms reveals that logistics intensive sectors and firms with high dependency on disrupted regions suffer stronger valuation losses, reflecting investor concerns over earnings volatility and cash flow uncertainty (Tang et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2022). Studies focusing explicitly on supply chain related news confirm that markets interpret disruption events as adverse information, with increased return volatility and downside risk during the COVID 19 period (Harjoto et al., 2021; Yong & Laing, 2021). At the accounting level, cross country evidence indicates that the pandemic is associated with deteriorations in profitability and revenue growth, with sector, country institutions and pre crisis financial conditions shaping the magnitude of these effects (Hu & Zhang, 2021; Golubeva, 2021). These results suggest that supply chain disruptions have become a distinct and observable channel through which macro and operational shocks are transmitted into firm level financial risk.

The third important pattern concerns the moderating role of supply chain resilience capabilities. Empirical work on manufacturing and export oriented industries indicates that firms with stronger resilience, operationalized through agility, re-engineering and collaborative ties with key partners, report better performance and a more durable competitive position, even in disruption prone environments (Abeysekara et al., 2019; Hadi & Herianingrum, 2020). At a more systematic level, global studies find that resilience capabilities mediate the relationship between exposure to supply chain risk and overall resilience, with mitigating strategies such as multiple sourcing, inventory buffers and flexible logistics contracts reducing vulnerability and supporting faster recovery (Um & Han, 2021). Conceptual work on global value chains further argues that business performance should be understood as the combined outcome of efficiency, market effectiveness and financial resilience, implying that investments in risk management and resilience are integral to safeguarding profitability and solvency in turbulent environments (Mouzas & Bauer, 2022). The studies in this review therefore converge on the view that resilience is not only an operational attribute but also a financial asset that can protect and sometimes enhance firm value during crises.

Overall, the evidence synthesized in this review indicates that global supply chain disruptions are increasingly recognized and priced as financial risks by both markets and firms. Disruptions affect shareholder value, profitability and the cost of capital through operational channels that traditional financial analysis may only partially capture. At the same time, the literature remains biased toward short term stock market reactions during COVID 19 and toward large listed firms, while longer term impacts on capital structure, credit risk and firms in emerging markets receive much less attention. These gaps point to the need for integrative research designs that combine supply chain metrics with financial indicators over longer horizons, and that consider heterogeneous institutional settings. By drawing these strands together, the present review underscores the importance of jointly analyzing supply chain design, resilience strategies and financial risk management when assessing the implications of global supply chain disruptions.

5. Conclusion

This review shows that global supply chain disruptions are no longer peripheral operational incidents, but a central source of financial risk that shapes firm value, performance and market stability. Prior research on disruptions and resilience often treated operational and financial outcomes as separate domains. By bringing these strands together, the evidence reviewed here reinforces the view that supply chain design choices, exposure to disruption prone nodes and the availability of recovery capacities all feed directly into earnings volatility, downside risk and the resilience of cash flows. The findings are broadly consistent with earlier work that emphasizes cascading effects and systemic characteristics in global production networks, yet they extend that discussion by demonstrating how markets and accounting indicators capture these vulnerabilities and reprice firms accordingly.

The review also suggests that resilience oriented capabilities are not only operational safeguards but strategic financial assets. Studies that document the benefits of agility, multiple sourcing, inventory buffers, digital visibility and collaborative governance arrangements show that these investments can dampen the valuation losses associated with major shocks and support faster recovery in profitability. This aligns with and deepens prior arguments that performance in global value chains must be understood as a combination of efficiency, market reach and risk absorption capacity. For managers and policymakers, the implication is that supply chain risk management should be integrated with financial planning, capital allocation and disclosure practices, so that resilience is treated as a deliberate investment rather than a residual cost.

At the same time, the existing evidence base remains concentrated on short term stock market reactions during the COVID 19 crisis and on large listed firms, especially in advanced economies. The logical next step for future research is to examine longer horizon impacts on capital structure, credit risk and firm survival, and to pay greater attention to emerging markets and smaller firms that may face different constraints and opportunities. By highlighting these gaps, this review underscores the need for more integrated empirical and theoretical work that connects supply chain metrics with financial indicators. Such work can help develop more accurate models of disruption risk, support better pricing of supply chain exposure in financial markets and inform policy debates on how to strengthen both operational and financial resilience in an era of recurrent global shocks.

References

Abeysekara, N., Wang, H., & Kuruppuarachchi, D. (2019). Effect of supply-chain resilience on firm performance and competitive advantage: A study of the Sri Lankan apparel industry. *Business Process Management Journal, 25*(7), 1673-1695.

Choudhury, N. A., Kim, S., & Ramkumar, M. (2022). Effects of supply chain disruptions due to COVID-19 on shareholder value. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 42*(13), 482-505.

Chowdhury, P., Paul, S. K., Kaisar, S., & Moktadir, M. A. (2021). COVID-19 pandemic related supply chain studies: A systematic review. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review, 148*, 102271.

Golubeva, O. (2021). Firms' performance during the COVID-19 outbreak: international evidence from 13 countries. Corporate Governance: *The International Journal of Business in Society, 21*(6), 1011-1027.

Hadi, N. H., & Herianingrum, S. (2020). The influence of supply-chain resilience on competitive advantage and firm performance. *International Journal of Supply Chain Management, 9*(4), 440-446.

Harjoto, M. A., Rossi, F., & Paglia, J. K. (2021). COVID-19: Stock market reactions to the shock and the stimulus. *Applied Economics Letters, 28*(10), 795-801.

Hu, S., & Zhang, Y. (2021). COVID-19 pandemic and firm performance: Cross-country evidence. *International review of economics & finance, 74*, 365-372.

Ivanov, D. (2022). Viable supply chain model: integrating agility, resilience and sustainability perspectives—lessons from and thinking beyond the COVID-19 pandemic. *Annals of operations research, 319*(1), 1411-1431.

Mouzas, S., & Bauer, F. (2022). Rethinking business performance in global value chains. *Journal of Business Research, 144*, 679-689.

Paul, S. K., & Chowdhury, P. (2021). A production recovery plan in manufacturing supply chains for a high-demand item during COVID-19. *International journal of physical distribution & logistics management, 51*(2), 104-125.

Queiroz, M. M., Ivanov, D., Dolgui, A., & Fosso Wamba, S. (2022). Impacts of epidemic outbreaks on supply chains: mapping a research agenda amid the COVID-19 pandemic through a structured literature review. *Annals of operations research, 319*(1), 1159-1196.

Tang, C. H., Chin, C. Y., & Lee, Y. H. (2021). Coronavirus disease outbreak and supply chain disruption: Evidence from Taiwanese firms in China. *Research in International Business and Finance, 56*, 101355.

Um, J., & Han, N. (2021). Understanding the relationships between global supply chain risk and supply chain resilience: the role of mitigating strategies. *Supply chain management: an international journal, 26*(2), 240-255.

Wang, Z., Dong, Y., & Liu, A. (2022). How does China's stock market react to supply chain disruptions from COVID-19?. *International Review of Financial Analysis, 82*, 102168.

Yong, H. H. A., & Laing, E. (2021). Stock market reaction to COVID-19: Evidence from US Firms' International exposure. *International Review of Financial Analysis, 76*, 101656.