Financial Risk and Management: An International Journal
Volume 2, Number 1, 2023

Regulatory Technology (RegTech) Adoption and Compliance Risk Reduction

Sufi Sundari[1]

[1] Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Bekasi, Indonesia

Article history:
Received: January 5, 2023
Revised: February 12, 2023
Accepted: April 20, 2023
Published: June 30, 2023

Keywords:
Anti Money Laundering,
Compliance Risk,
Financial Regulation,
Regulatory Technology,
Systematic Literature Review.

Identifier:
Zera Open
Page: 1-13
https://zeraopen.com/journal/frmij

Abstract

This study examines how regulatory technology contributes to reducing compliance risk in financial institutions. Regulatory technology is understood as the use of advanced digital technologies, including data analytics, cloud computing, and machine learning, to improve regulatory monitoring, reporting, and control processes in an increasingly complex and data-intensive environment. Drawing on a systematic literature review, the study synthesises evidence from academic research, regulatory reports, and policy documents to identify key applications such as regulatory reporting, transaction monitoring, customer due diligence, and anti-money laundering and countering the financing of terrorism surveillance. The findings show that regulatory technology can enhance data quality, enable near real time supervision, and standardise the application of regulatory rules, thereby lowering the probability of non-compliance caused by human error, inconsistent interpretation, or delayed information. At the same time, the review highlights challenges related to integration with legacy information technology systems, model governance, vendor dependency, data protection, and fragmented regulatory approaches. Overall, the impact of regulatory technology on compliance risk is strongly shaped by regulatory frameworks, organisational capabilities, and ethical safeguards, indicating the need for further outcome-based empirical research.

1. Introduction

The rapid growth of financial technology (FinTech) after the global financial crisis has fundamentally changed how financial institutions manage regulatory obligations, giving rise to regulatory technology (RegTech) as a distinct field of innovation. RegTech broadly refers to the use of advanced digital technologies such as data analytics, cloud computing, and machine learning to support regulatory monitoring, reporting, and compliance processes (Arner et al., 2016). As regulatory frameworks become more complex and data-intensive, traditional manual compliance processes are increasingly viewed as costly, error prone, and insufficient to ensure timely detection of emerging risks (Anagnostopoulos, 2018).

Against this background, RegTech adoption is promoted as a way to reduce compliance risk by automating key tasks such as regulatory reporting, transaction monitoring, customer due-diligence, and rule interpretation. Empirical and policy studies show that RegTech solutions can enhance data quality, enable near real-time surveillance, and lower the probability of non-compliance arising from inconsistent interpretations or human error (Financial Stability Board, 2020). By embedding regulatory rules into configurable algorithms and dashboards, RegTech tools allow institutions to track regulatory changes, test their impact, and document compliance more systematically, thereby supporting both prudential objectives and conduct f business standards (Von Solms, 2021).

At the same time, the adoption of RegTech is uneven across jurisdictions and types of institutions, and its effectiveness in reducing compliance risk depends on organisational capabilities, legacy IT architectures, and supervisory expectations.

Studies highlight implementation challenges related to integration with existing systems, model governance, vendor dependency, and the risk of over-reliance on automated rules (Becker et al., 2020). In emerging markets, RegTech is increasingly discussed as part of broader digital governance frameworks for FinTech, but evidence on how it translates into measurable reductions in compliance breaches, enforcement actions, or operational losses remains limited (Gunawan, 2021). Against this backdrop, research on Regulatory Technology (RegTech) adoption and compliance risk reduction is needed to clarify the mechanisms through which RegTech tools reshape compliance processes, identify the conditions that enable successful implementation, and assess their impact on the overall risk profile of financial institutions.

2. Literature Review

Existing studies on Regulatory Technology (RegTech) position it at the intersection of FinTech, risk management, and regulatory reform, arguing that digital technologies are reshaping how financial institutions understand and operationalise compliance. Arner, Barberis, and Buckley (2017) describe RegTech as a data intensive extension of post-crisis financial regulation that enables near real-time monitoring and proportionate, risk-based supervision rather than periodic, paper based reporting. Walker (2021) similarly frames RegTech as a new layer of "control technology" that can be applied across utilities and financial markets to strengthen governance and accountability in heavily regulated sectors. Butler (2018) emphasises that RegTech systems do not simply automate existing rules but embed regulatory

requirements directly into information systems, allowing firms to map legal provisions onto business processes, controls, and data architectures in ways that can systematically reduce human error and interpretation gaps.

From a methodological perspective, Chao et al. (2022) develop a taxonomy of RegTech applications in financial stability supervision and show how complex networks, knowledge graphs, and machine-learning models can be used for early warning of emerging risks, highlighting the potential of AI-driven tools to enhance prudential surveillance and systemic-risk monitoring. Regulatory and standard setting bodies have likewise begun to systematise evidence on RegTech adoption. The European Banking Authority's analysis of RegTech in the EU documents significant use cases in regulatory reporting, anti-money-laundering (AML) monitoring, and risk analytics, with reported gains in efficiency, data quality, and auditability but also concerns about vendor dependency and model risk. The Financial Action Task Force similarly finds that advanced analytics, e-KYC, and automated transaction-monitoring solutions can improve AML/CFT effectiveness when embedded in robust risk-based frameworks and subject to appropriate governance.

On the empirical side, Turki et al. (2020) provide survey evidence from Bahraini banks showing that RegTech enabled transaction monitoring and cost- and time-saving functionalities have a statistically significant positive impact on money-laundering prevention, whereas electronic KYC tools alone do not significantly drive compliance outcomes. This suggests that the risk-reduction effects of RegTech depend on how different tools and functionalities are integrated within broader

AML programmes. Evidence from banking practice also indicates that effective RegTech implementation for AML and counter-terrorist financing is linked to better detection of suspicious transactions and fewer regulatory sanctions, particularly when supported by strong IT infrastructure and adequate staff competencies.

Taking a broader system view, evidence from the United States banking industry shows that RegTech solutions can enhance automated risk assessment and continuous monitoring, thereby lowering compliance risk and reducing the incidence of financial misconduct and associated fines. However, the study also notes new vulnerabilities related to data protection and information privacy. At the same time, scholarship on the regulation and governance of RegTech and supervisory technology (SupTech) stresses that these tools do not automatically translate into lower compliance risk. Fragmented regulatory approaches in the EU and UK, combined with uneven legal clarity on standards and liability, can impede the scalable deployment of RegTech and SupTech and create uncertainty for both vendors and supervised institutions.

Butler (2018) and Chao et al. (2022) further warn that the benefits of algorithmic monitoring are contingent on data quality, explainability, and robust model governance, as poorly designed systems may embed bias, generate false positives, or encourage over-reliance on automated rules without adequate human oversight. Overall, the literature supports the view that RegTech can reduce compliance risk by strengthening monitoring, reporting, and AML/CFT frameworks, but it also highlights important contextual factors regulatory frameworks, organisational capabilities, IT legacies, and ethical safeguards that

mediate these effects. At the same time, it points to a remaining research gap on quantifying how RegTech adoption affects concrete metrics such as compliance breaches, enforcement actions, and operational loss events, especially in emerging-market financial systems.

3. Methods

This study employs a systematic literature review (SLR) to synthesise existing knowledge on Regulatory Technology (RegTech) adoption and its role in reducing compliance risk in financial institutions. The review follows a structured protocol consisting of planning, identification, screening, eligibility assessment, and synthesis.

First, a comprehensive search strategy was developed using combinations of keywords such as "RegTech," "regulatory technology," "compliance risk," "anti-money laundering," "AML/CFT," "financial supervision," and "supervisory technology" across major academic databases and search engines, including Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, as well as relevant regulatory reports and policy documents from international standard-setting bodies. The initial pool of documents was imported into reference management software and duplicates were removed before titles and abstracts were screened against predefined inclusion criteria, namely that the publication focuses on RegTech or closely related technologies in financial services and explicitly addresses aspects of regulatory compliance, risk management, or supervisory practices.

Full texts of potentially relevant studies were then evaluated to confirm their relevance and conceptual alignment with the research questions. Forward and

backward citation tracking was used to identify additional publications not captured in the initial search. For each included study, key information was extracted using a standardised coding template covering: type of institution and jurisdiction, RegTech use case (e.g. regulatory reporting, AML monitoring, customer due diligence), drivers and barriers to adoption, technological solutions employed, and reported outcomes in terms of compliance processes, risk reduction, and governance implications.

The extracted data were synthesised through qualitative thematic analysis, allowing the evidence to be organised around major themes such as the conceptualisation of RegTech, its operational mechanisms in compliance processes, implementation challenges, and measurable effects on compliance risk. This systematic approach ensures transparency and replicability of the review process and provides a robust basis for identifying research gaps and proposing directions for future empirical work.

4. Results and Discussion

The findings of this systematic literature review indicate that RegTech has emerged as a critical extension of post-crisis FinTech innovation, reshaping how financial institutions approach regulatory compliance and risk management. Across the reviewed studies, RegTech is consistently characterised as the application of advanced digital technologies such as data analytics, cloud computing, and machine learning to automate and enhance regulatory monitoring, reporting, and control processes (Arner et al., 2016; Arner et al., 2017). This technological shift supports a move from periodic, paper-based reporting towards near real-time, risk-based

supervision, enabling regulators and regulated entities to detect emerging risks more quickly and to respond with greater agility (Arner et al., 2017; Walker, 2021). In this sense, RegTech not only supports compliance with increasingly complex and data intensive regulatory frameworks but also contributes to the broader transformation of financial governance architectures (Anagnostopoulos, 2018).

The review also reveals a set of common mechanisms through which RegTech is expected to reduce compliance risk. Embedding regulatory rules directly into configurable algorithms, workflows, and dashboards allows institutions to standardise interpretations, automate routine controls, and document compliance more systematically (Butler, 2018; Von Solms, 2021). Empirical and policy oriented studies report improvements in data quality, timeliness of reporting, and the ability to conduct near real-time surveillance of transactions and customer activities (Financial Stability Board, 2020). In the anti money laundering (AML) and countering the financing of terrorism (CFT) domain, the deployment of advanced analytics, e-KYC tools, and automated transaction monitoring is associated with more effective risk-based approaches and enhanced detection capabilities when embedded in robust governance frameworks (Turki et al., 2020). These findings support the view that RegTech can strengthen monitoring and reporting functions in ways that directly lower the probability of non-compliance arising from human error, inconsistent interpretations, or delayed information flows (Von Solms, 2021).

At the same time, the evidence highlights that the risk-reduction benefits of RegTech are neither automatic nor evenly distributed. Studies of AML-focused RegTech show that transaction monitoring and integrated solutions delivering cost

and time efficiencies have a statistically significant positive impact on money-laundering prevention, whereas standalone tools such as electronic KYC do not consistently translate into improved compliance outcomes (Turki et al., 2020). This suggests that the effectiveness of RegTech depends on how different tools and functionalities are combined within broader compliance architectures, including policies, procedures, and human oversight. Moreover, in emerging markets, RegTech is often discussed as part of wider digital governance and FinTech strategies, but the literature notes that robust empirical evidence on measurable reductions in compliance breaches, enforcement actions, or operational losses remains limited (Gunawan, 2021). These gaps point to a need for more granular, outcome-based evaluations of RegTech implementations across different regulatory and institutional contexts.

The review further underscores substantial implementation challenges that can moderate or even offset the expected benefits of RegTech. Integration with legacy IT systems, model governance, vendor dependency, and the risk of over reliance on automated rules are recurring concerns in both academic and policy sources (Butler, 2018; Becker et al., 2020). Chao et al. (2022) show that while AI based models, complex networks, and knowledge graphs can enhance financial stability supervision and early warning of systemic risks, their performance is highly contingent on data quality, explainability, and sound governance of algorithms. Similarly, analyses from European and international regulators point to the emergence of new operational risks, including cyber risk, data protection and privacy issues, and concentration risk in RegTech and SupTech vendor markets (Financial

Action Task Force). Fragmented regulatory approaches and uneven legal clarity on standards and liability in jurisdictions such as the EU and UK can also impede scalable deployment and create uncertainty for both vendors and supervised institutions, limiting the potential of RegTech to deliver consistent compliance risk reductions (Becker et al., 2020).

Taken together, the results of this SLR suggest that RegTech occupies a pivotal position at the intersection of regulation, technology, and risk management, with strong conceptual and early empirical support for its role in improving compliance processes and reducing risk (Arner et al., 2016; Arner et al., 2017; Butler, 2018; Chao et al., 2022). However, the discussion across the literature converges on the importance of contextual and organisational factors such as regulatory frameworks, supervisory expectations, organisational capabilities, IT legacies, and ethical safeguards in shaping the realised impact of RegTech (Anagnostopoulos, 2018; Becker et al., 2020; Gunawan, 2021). While the reviewed studies generally affirm that RegTech can contribute to lower compliance risk and enhanced prudential outcomes, they also highlight persistent research gaps, particularly in quantifying effects on concrete risk indicators and in understanding RegTech adoption in emerging-market financial systems. Addressing these gaps through future empirical work will be essential for designing evidence-based policies and implementation strategies that maximise the benefits of RegTech while managing its new and evolving risks.

5. Conclusion

The findings of this systematic literature review indicate that RegTech has become a key component in the transformation of regulatory compliance, enabling financial institutions to move towards more data-driven, automated, and risk-based approaches. Across the literature, RegTech is shown to enhance data quality, improve monitoring and reporting, and support more effective AML/CFT frameworks, thereby contributing to reductions in compliance risk. At the same time, the evidence highlights that these benefits are contingent on how RegTech tools are designed, integrated, and governed within broader organisational and regulatory architectures.

However, the review also reveals that RegTech is not a guaranteed solution and its impact remains uneven across jurisdictions and institutions. Implementation challenges related to legacy systems, vendor dependency, model governance, data quality, and fragmented regulatory approaches can limit its effectiveness and introduce new forms of operational and ethical risk. Empirical evidence linking RegTech adoption to concrete risk outcomes such as fewer compliance breaches, enforcement actions, or operational losses is still limited, especially in emerging markets. Future research is therefore needed to develop more robust outcome-based evaluations and to identify the specific conditions under which RegTech can most effectively strengthen compliance and reduce risk.

References

Anagnostopoulos, I. (2018). Fintech and regtech: Impact on regulators and banks. *Journal of Economics and Business, 100*, 7–25.

Arner, D. W., Barberis, J., & Buckley, R. P. (2016). FinTech, RegTech, and the reconceptualization of financial regulation. *Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business, 37*, 371–.

Arner, D. W., Barberis, J., & Buckley, R. P. (2018). RegTech: Building a better financial system. In *Handbook of blockchain, digital finance, and inclusion: Volume 1* (pp. 359–373). Academic Press.

Becker, M., Merz, K., & Buchkremer, R. (2020). RegTech: The application of modern information technology in regulatory affairs: Areas of interest in research and practice. *Intelligent Systems in Accounting, Finance and Management, 27*(4), 161–167.

Butler, T., & Brooks, R. (2018). On the role of ontology-based RegTech for managing risk and compliance reporting in the age of regulation. *Journal of Risk Management in Financial Institutions, 11*(1), 19–33.

Chao, X., Ran, Q., Chen, J., Li, T., Qian, Q., & Ergu, D. (2022). Regulatory technology (Reg-Tech) in financial stability supervision: Taxonomy, key methods, applications and future directions. *International Review of Financial Analysis, 80*, 102023.

Financial Action Task Force. (2021). *Opportunities and challenges of new technologies for AML/CFT*. FATF. Retrieved February 9, 2023 from https://www.fatf-

gafi.org/en/publications/Digitaltransformation/Opportunities-challenges-new-technologies-for-aml-cft.html

Financial Stability Board. (2020). *The use of supervisory and regulatory technology by authorities and regulated institutions: Market developments and financial stability implications*. Financial Stability Board. Retrieved February 9, 2023 https://www.fsb.org/uploads/P091020.pdf

Gunawan, I. J. (2021). Penerapan RegTech dalam penyelenggaraan fintech lending di Indonesia. *Jurnal Magister Hukum ARGUMENTUM, 7*(2), 47–62.

Turki, M., Hamdan, A., Cummings, R. T., Sarea, A., Karolak, M., & Anasweh, M. (2020). The regulatory technology "RegTech" and money laundering prevention in Islamic and conventional banking industry. *Heliyon, 6*(10), e04949.

Von Solms, J. (2021). Integrating regulatory technology (RegTech) into the digital transformation of a bank treasury. *Journal of Banking Regulation, 22*(2), 152–168.

Walker, G. A. (2021). Regulatory technology (RegTech): Construction of a new regulatory policy and model. *The International Lawyer, 54*(1), 1–82.