



Health Risk Assessment of Natural Compounds in Food Consumption

Lira Kirana Candra¹

¹ Poltekkes Kemenkes Riau, Pekanbaru, Indonesia

Abstract

Article history:

Received: 8 August 2022

Revised: 19 September 2022

Accepted: 30 November 2022

Published: 30 December 2022

Keywords:

Bioactive compounds;

Dose–response;

Food safety;

Natural compounds;

Toxicity mechanisms;

Health risk assessment.

Identifier:

Zera Open

Page: 123-136

<https://zeraopen.com/journal/fct>

This study evaluates the health risks associated with natural compounds in food consumption, focusing on toxicological mechanisms, dose–response relationships, and safety considerations. Natural compounds, including phytochemicals and herbal substances, are widely perceived as safe due to their natural origin; however, evidence indicates that their effects are highly dependent on exposure conditions. Using a qualitative Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach, this study synthesizes recent research to examine how natural compounds interact with biological systems. The findings show that these compounds exhibit dual effects, where beneficial properties at low doses may shift to toxic outcomes at higher exposures. Key mechanisms such as oxidative stress and cellular disruption are identified as central pathways influencing health risks. Additionally, variability in composition and combined exposure from multiple compounds increases uncertainty in safety evaluation. This study highlights the need for integrated risk assessment approaches that consider dose, exposure patterns, and biological complexity in evaluating natural compounds in food systems.



1. Introduction

The increasing consumption of natural compounds in food and herbal products has been widely associated with health benefits, leading to a common perception that naturally derived substances are inherently safe. Natural bioactive compounds, including plant extracts, phytochemicals, and herbal preparations, are frequently used for nutritional, therapeutic, and preventive purposes. However, emerging evidence suggests that the safety of these compounds cannot be assumed solely based on their natural origin, as certain substances may exhibit toxic effects under specific conditions (Hudson et al., 2018; Mensah et al., 2019).

From a toxicological perspective, the effects of natural compounds are strongly influenced by dose–response relationships, where beneficial effects at low doses may shift to harmful outcomes at higher exposures. This biphasic behavior, often described as hormesis, highlights the complexity of evaluating natural compounds in food systems and underscores the importance of considering dosage as a critical factor in safety assessment (Vallejo et al., 2017; Jodynis-Liebert & Kujawska, 2020). Such dose-dependent variability challenges simplified assumptions regarding the universal safety of natural substances.

At the molecular level, natural compounds can interact with biological systems through mechanisms such as oxidative stress modulation, cellular signaling alteration, and metabolic interference. While some compounds exhibit antioxidant properties, others may induce oxidative damage or disrupt cellular homeostasis depending on concentration and exposure duration. These mechanisms demonstrate that natural compounds can exert both protective and toxic effects, reinforcing the

need for a balanced toxicological evaluation (Adwas et al., 2019; Forman & Zhang, 2021).

In addition to mechanistic considerations, specific classes of natural compounds such as alkaloids and flavonoids have been shown to possess a wide range of biological activities, including both therapeutic and toxic effects. These compounds are widely present in food and herbal products, and their impact on human health depends on factors such as chemical structure, metabolism, and cumulative exposure. This dual nature further complicates the assessment of safety and highlights the importance of context-specific evaluation (Debnath et al., 2018; Adamski et al., 2020).

Despite the growing body of research, existing studies often focus on either the beneficial properties or the toxic effects of natural compounds without integrating these perspectives into a comprehensive framework. This fragmented approach limits the ability to fully understand the balance between bioactivity and toxicity, particularly in the context of long-term dietary exposure. Furthermore, variability in product composition, preparation methods, and consumption patterns adds additional complexity to safety evaluation.

Accordingly, there is a need for a systematic and integrative analysis that examines natural compounds from a toxicological risk perspective, considering both their beneficial and adverse effects. Such an approach is essential for providing a more accurate assessment of their safety in food consumption.

Therefore, this study aims to evaluate the health risks associated with natural compounds in food consumption by synthesizing existing literature on their

toxicological mechanisms, dose–response relationships, and safety considerations. By adopting a qualitative Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach, this study seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of how natural compounds interact with biological systems and to assess their implications for human health risk.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Natural Compounds and Their Use in Food Systems

Natural compounds, particularly those derived from plants, are widely incorporated into food systems due to their bioactive properties and perceived health benefits. These compounds include a diverse range of substances such as alkaloids, flavonoids, and other phytochemicals, which are commonly found in fruits, vegetables, herbs, and dietary supplements. Their widespread use is driven by their functional roles, including antioxidant, antimicrobial, and anti-inflammatory activities (Hudson et al., 2018; Debnath et al., 2018).

However, the increasing use of natural compounds in food consumption has raised concerns regarding their safety and potential toxic effects. Herbal medicines and plant-based products, in particular, have been associated with variability in composition and potency, which can influence their biological impact. Such variability complicates the standardization and evaluation of safety, especially when these compounds are consumed over extended periods (Mensah et al., 2019; van Wyk & Prinsloo, 2020).

2.2. Dose–Response Relationships and Hormesis

The toxicological behavior of natural compounds is strongly influenced by dose–response relationships, where the same substance can produce beneficial or harmful effects depending on the level of exposure. This phenomenon, often described as hormesis, reflects a biphasic response in which low doses may stimulate positive biological effects, while higher doses may induce toxicity. Understanding this relationship is critical for evaluating the safety of natural compounds in food systems (Vallejo et al., 2017; Jodynis-Liebert & Kujawska, 2020).

In practical terms, dose–response variability highlights the importance of considering both the quantity and frequency of consumption. Repeated or excessive intake of certain natural compounds may lead to cumulative effects that are not immediately apparent but can contribute to long-term health risks. This reinforces the need for careful assessment of exposure levels in addition to inherent compound properties (Hudson et al., 2018).

2.3. Toxicological Mechanisms of Natural Compounds

At the molecular level, natural compounds interact with biological systems through multiple mechanisms that can influence cellular function and overall health. One of the primary pathways involves oxidative stress, where an imbalance between reactive oxygen species and antioxidant defenses can lead to cellular damage. While some natural compounds act as antioxidants, others may promote oxidative stress under certain conditions, particularly at higher concentrations (Adwas et al., 2019; Forman & Zhang, 2021).

In addition to oxidative mechanisms, natural compounds may affect cellular signaling pathways, enzyme activity, and metabolic processes. These interactions can result in both protective and adverse effects, depending on factors such as chemical structure, bioavailability, and exposure duration. The complexity of these mechanisms highlights the need for comprehensive toxicological evaluation rather than reliance on general assumptions of safety (Chen et al., 2022).

2.4. Health Risks and Safety Evaluation

The assessment of health risks associated with natural compounds requires an integrated approach that considers both toxicological evidence and real-world exposure scenarios. Certain natural compounds, particularly those found in herbal products, have been linked to adverse health effects such as hepatotoxicity and organ-specific toxicity, demonstrating that natural origin does not guarantee safety (Quan et al., 2020).

Furthermore, safety evaluation is complicated by factors such as product variability, lack of standardized dosing, and differences in individual susceptibility. These factors contribute to uncertainty in risk assessment and highlight the limitations of current evaluation frameworks. As a result, there is a growing need to develop more robust approaches that integrate dose–response relationships, mechanistic understanding, and exposure assessment to accurately evaluate the health risks of natural compounds in food consumption (van Wyk & Prinsloo, 2020; Vilas-Boas et al., 2021).

3. Methods

This study employs a qualitative Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach to evaluate the health risks associated with natural compounds in food consumption, with a focus on toxicological mechanisms, dose–response relationships, and safety considerations. The SLR method is selected to provide a structured synthesis of existing scientific knowledge without generating new empirical data, which is appropriate given the interdisciplinary nature of natural compound research spanning toxicology, food science, and pharmacology. The literature search was conducted using major academic databases and publisher platforms, including Google Scholar, Scopus-indexed journals, and databases such as Elsevier, Springer, and MDPI. The search strategy utilized combinations of keywords such as “natural compounds,” “phytochemicals,” “herbal toxicity,” “dose–response,” “hormesis,” “oxidative stress,” and “food safety” to identify relevant studies addressing both beneficial and adverse effects of natural substances. The inclusion criteria were limited to peer-reviewed articles published between 2017 and 2022 to ensure relevance and recency, while maintaining a focus on studies that explicitly discuss toxicological mechanisms, exposure levels, or safety evaluation of natural compounds in food or related products. Studies that focused solely on pharmacological benefits without addressing toxicity, or that lacked relevance to food consumption contexts, were excluded. The selected literature was analyzed using qualitative thematic synthesis, which involved identifying recurring patterns and conceptual relationships across studies. The analysis was structured around key thematic areas, including types of natural compounds, dose–response behavior,

mechanisms of toxicity, and health risk assessment. Rather than applying quantitative aggregation, the study emphasizes conceptual integration to provide a comprehensive understanding of how natural compounds may pose health risks under varying conditions of exposure.

4. Results

This section presents the synthesized findings from the systematic literature review on natural compounds in food consumption, focusing on their biological functions, toxicological mechanisms, dose–response relationships, and associated health risks. The analysis highlights that natural compounds exhibit a dual nature, where beneficial effects coexist with potential toxicity depending on exposure conditions. These findings emphasize that safety evaluation must consider not only the presence of natural compounds but also their concentration, duration of intake, and interaction with biological systems.

4.1. Types of Natural Compounds and Their Biological Effects

The reviewed literature indicates that natural compounds encompass a wide range of bioactive substances with diverse physiological effects. These compounds are widely present in food and herbal products and are often associated with health-promoting properties. However, their biological activity is not inherently beneficial and may shift toward toxicity under certain conditions.

Table 1. Natural Compounds, Biological Functions, and Potential Toxic Effects

Compound Type	Common Source	Biological Function	Potential Toxic Effect
Alkaloids	Herbs, medicinal plants	Antimicrobial, analgesic activity	Neurotoxicity, cytotoxicity at high doses (Debnath et al., 2018; Adamski et al., 2020)
Flavonoids	Fruits, vegetables, tea	Antioxidant, anti-inflammatory	Pro-oxidant effects at high concentrations (Ullah et al., 2020)
Herbal extracts	Traditional medicines, supplements	Therapeutic and preventive use	Variability in toxicity and safety concerns (Hudson et al., 2018; Mensah et al., 2019)
Phytochemicals	Plant-based foods	Cellular protection, metabolic regulation	Dose-dependent toxicity and cellular disruption (Vallejo et al., 2017)
Mixed bioactive compounds	Functional foods, supplements	Combined biological effects	Unpredictable interactions and cumulative toxicity (Vilas-Boas et al., 2021)

4.2. Dose–Response Relationships and Toxicological Risk

The findings consistently demonstrate that the health effects of natural compounds are strongly influenced by dose–response relationships. The transition from beneficial to harmful effects is often gradual and depends on both concentration and duration of exposure. This dynamic behavior complicates the classification of natural compounds as strictly safe or harmful.

Table 2. Conceptual Framework of Dose–Response and Toxicological Risk of Natural Compounds.

Exposure Level	Biological Response	Mechanism	Health Risk Implication
Low dose	Beneficial or protective effects	Antioxidant activity, cellular regulation	Reduced risk, potential health benefits

Exposure Level	Biological Response	Mechanism	Health Risk Implication
Moderate dose	Mixed effects	Balance between antioxidant and pro-oxidant activity	Uncertain or variable outcomes
High dose	Toxic effects	Oxidative stress, cellular damage	Increased risk of toxicity
Chronic exposure	Accumulated effects	Metabolic disruption, prolonged cellular stress	Long-term health risks
Variable intake	Inconsistent response	Interaction of multiple compounds	Unpredictable toxicity outcomes (Jodynys-Liebert & Kujawska, 2020; Forman & Zhang, 2021)

4.3. Interpretation of Key Findings

The synthesis reveals that natural compounds cannot be evaluated solely based on their origin or traditional use, as their effects are highly dependent on exposure conditions and biological context. The findings demonstrate that the assumption of inherent safety is not supported by toxicological evidence, particularly when considering dose–response variability and cumulative intake. This highlights the need for a more critical and evidence-based approach to evaluating natural compounds in food systems.

A key insight is that the dual role of natural compounds, as both beneficial and potentially harmful substances, is driven by underlying molecular mechanisms such as oxidative stress and cellular regulation. These mechanisms explain how the same compound can produce contrasting effects under different conditions,

reinforcing the importance of dosage and exposure patterns in determining health outcomes.

Furthermore, the results indicate that the complexity of natural compound mixtures in food and herbal products introduces additional uncertainty in safety evaluation. Interactions between multiple compounds may lead to synergistic or antagonistic effects that are difficult to predict using traditional assessment models. This underscores the need for more integrative frameworks that consider combined exposure and real-world consumption patterns in assessing health risks.

5. Discussion

The findings demonstrate that the perception of natural compounds as inherently safe is not supported when examined through a toxicological lens. Instead, the safety of these compounds is conditional and influenced by factors such as dosage, duration of exposure, and biological variability. Natural compounds exhibit a dual nature, where beneficial effects at low exposure levels may transition into harmful outcomes at higher doses. This challenges simplified assumptions about safety and highlights the importance of evaluating natural substances using the same rigorous frameworks applied to synthetic chemicals.

Another important implication is the complexity introduced by real-world consumption patterns, where individuals are exposed to multiple natural compounds simultaneously through food and herbal products. These combined exposures may lead to interactions that alter biological responses, making outcomes less predictable than those observed under controlled conditions. As a result, traditional approaches

to safety assessment may not fully capture the risks associated with natural compound consumption. A more integrated perspective that considers dose–response behavior, cumulative exposure, and compound interactions is therefore essential for accurately assessing health risks.

6. Conclusion

This study demonstrates that natural compounds in food consumption present a complex toxicological profile that cannot be evaluated solely based on their natural origin. While many of these compounds provide beneficial biological effects, their safety is highly dependent on factors such as dosage, exposure duration, and individual variability. The findings indicate that natural compounds may pose health risks when consumed in excessive amounts or under conditions that promote adverse biological responses.

From a conceptual perspective, this study highlights the importance of integrating dose–response relationships, toxicological mechanisms, and exposure patterns in assessing the safety of natural compounds. The analysis shows that processes such as oxidative stress and cellular disruption play a key role in determining whether a compound exerts protective or harmful effects. This integrated understanding challenges the assumption of inherent safety and provides a more balanced framework for evaluating natural substances in food systems.

In practical terms, the findings emphasize the need for improved risk assessment approaches that account for cumulative exposure and variability in consumption patterns. Future research may focus on refining safety evaluation

models, investigating interactions between multiple compounds, and developing clearer guidelines for safe consumption levels. Such efforts are essential to ensure that the use of natural compounds in food is both beneficial and safe for human health.

References

- Adamski, Z., Blythe, L. L., Milella, L., & Bufo, S. A. (2020). Biological activities of alkaloids: From toxicology to pharmacology. *Toxins*, 12(4), 210.
- Adwas, A. A., Elsayed, A., Azab, A. E., & Quwaydir, F. A. (2019). Oxidative stress and antioxidant mechanisms in human body. *Journal of Applied Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 6(1), 43–47.
- Chen, B., Zhao, J., Zhang, R., Zhang, L., Zhang, Q., Yang, H., & An, J. (2022). Neuroprotective effects of natural compounds on neurotoxin-induced oxidative stress and cell apoptosis. *Nutritional Neuroscience*, 25(5), 1078–1099.
- Debnath, B., Singh, W. S., Das, M., Goswami, S., Singh, M. K., Maiti, D., & Manna, K. (2018). Role of plant alkaloids on human health: A review of biological activities. *Materials Today Chemistry*, 9, 56–72.
- Forman, H. J., & Zhang, H. (2021). Targeting oxidative stress in disease: Promise and limitations of antioxidant therapy. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery*, 20(9), 689–709.
- Hudson, A., Lopez, E., Almalki, A. J., Roe, A. L., & Calderón, A. I. (2018). A review of the toxicity of compounds found in herbal dietary supplements. *Planta Medica*, 84(9–10), 613–626.

- Jodynys-Liebert, J., & Kujawska, M. (2020). Biphasic dose-response induced by phytochemicals: Experimental evidence. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 9(3), 718.
- Mensah, M. L., Komlaga, G., Forkuo, A. D., Firempong, C., Anning, A. K., & Dickson, R. A. (2019). Toxicity and safety implications of herbal medicines. *Herbal Medicine*, 63, 1–17.
- Quan, N. V., Dang Xuan, T., & Teschke, R. (2020). Potential hepatotoxins found in herbal medicinal products: A systematic review. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 21(14), 5011.
- Ullah, A., Munir, S., Badshah, S. L., Khan, N., Ghani, L., Poulson, B. G., & Jaremko, M. (2020). Important flavonoids and their role as a therapeutic agent. *Molecules*, 25(22), 5243.
- Vallejo, M. J., Salazar, L., & Grijalva, M. (2017). Oxidative stress modulation and ROS-mediated toxicity in cancer: A review on in vitro models for plant-derived compounds. *Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity*, 2017, 4586068.
- van Wyk, A. S., & Prinsloo, G. (2020). Health, safety and quality concerns of plant-based traditional medicines and herbal remedies. *South African Journal of Botany*, 133, 54–62.
- Vilas-Boas, A. A., Pintado, M., & Oliveira, A. L. (2021). Natural bioactive compounds from food waste: Toxicity and safety concerns. *Foods*, 10(7), 1564.