



Banking Risk Management and Financial Stability: A Conceptual Review

M. Rizaldi Septian¹

¹ Universitas Mercu Buana, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Abstract

Article history:

Received: July 11, 2025

Revised: August 25, 2025

Accepted: October 27, 2025

Published: December 30, 2025

Keywords:

Banking risk management,
Financial stability,
Integrated risk framework,
Risk governance.

Identifier:

Zera Open

Page: 164-180

<https://zeraopen.com/journal/amos>

Banking risk management has become a central concern in the post-global financial crisis era, as banks face increasing exposure to credit, market, liquidity, and operational risks amid heightened economic uncertainty. This study aims to provide a conceptual synthesis of banking risk management by examining its scope, objectives, and implications for financial stability. Drawing on recent theoretical and review-based literature, the paper discusses how risk management frameworks function not only as technical control mechanisms but also as strategic tools that support bank resilience and long-term sustainability. The analysis highlights the evolving role of governance structures, regulatory oversight, and integrated risk approaches in shaping banks' ability to absorb shocks and maintain stability. By organizing existing studies into a coherent conceptual narrative, this paper identifies key gaps in the literature, particularly the dominance of single-risk perspectives and the limited integration between risk management practices and broader stability outcomes. The study contributes to the banking risk literature by offering a structured overview that can serve as a foundation for future empirical and policy-oriented research. Overall, the paper emphasizes the importance of comprehensive and forward-looking risk management frameworks in safeguarding the stability of banking systems in an increasingly complex financial environment



1. Introduction

Banks play a fundamental role in modern financial systems by intermediating funds, allocating capital, and supporting economic activity. Through their lending and investment functions, banks contribute to economic growth while simultaneously exposing themselves to various forms of risk. Credit defaults, market volatility, liquidity shortages, and operational disruptions are inherent features of banking activities, making risk management a core function within banking institutions (Bessis, 2022; Altunbas et al., 2022).

In recent decades, banking risk management has gained heightened attention following repeated episodes of financial instability and economic shocks. Experiences from global and regional financial crises have demonstrated that weaknesses in risk management practices can rapidly escalate into systemic disruptions, affecting not only individual banks but also the broader financial system (Gorton & Metrick, 2020; Aikman et al., 2021). These developments reinforce the view that banking risk management is not merely an internal control mechanism, but a central pillar of financial stability.

Traditionally, banking risk management has been organized around distinct risk categories, including credit risk, market risk, liquidity risk, and operational risk. This segmented approach has enabled banks to develop specialized tools and models for risk measurement and monitoring. However, recent literature suggests that such silo-based practices may limit banks' ability to capture interdependencies among risks, particularly in complex and interconnected financial environments (BCBS,

2021; Bessis, 2022). In practice, adverse shocks often propagate across multiple risk channels, amplifying their impact on bank stability.

From a financial stability perspective, the interaction among different types of banking risk poses significant challenges. Deterioration in credit quality may weaken banks' liquidity positions, market volatility may erode capital buffers, and operational failures may undermine confidence in financial institutions. These dynamics indicate that banking risk should be understood as a systemic phenomenon rather than a collection of isolated exposures (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2020; BIS, 2021). Consequently, effective risk management requires an integrated framework that links firm-level risk controls with system-wide stability objectives.

Regulatory developments have further elevated the importance of comprehensive banking risk management. In the aftermath of financial crises, regulatory authorities have strengthened prudential frameworks related to capital adequacy, liquidity management, governance, and supervisory oversight. These reforms aim to enhance banks' resilience to shocks and to mitigate the build-up of systemic risk (BCBS, 2021; European Central Bank, 2021). At the same time, increased regulatory complexity has encouraged banks to adopt more forward-looking and governance-oriented risk management practices that align internal decision-making with external stability requirements.

Despite the growing body of research on banking risk, the literature remains fragmented. Many studies focus on individual risk types or specific regulatory instruments, such as credit risk models, capital regulation, or stress testing frameworks. While these contributions provide valuable insights, they often lack an

integrative perspective that explains how different risk management practices jointly contribute to financial stability (Louzis & Vouldis, 2021; Berger et al., 2021). As a result, the relationship between banking risk management and financial stability is frequently examined in a partial or compartmentalized manner.

Accordingly, this study aims to provide a conceptual review of banking risk management and its implications for financial stability. By synthesizing recent theoretical, review-based, and policy-oriented literature, the paper examines the scope and objectives of banking risk management, highlights the role of governance and regulatory frameworks, and identifies key gaps in existing research. Rather than offering new empirical evidence, this study develops a structured conceptual narrative that positions banking risk management as an integrated and stability-oriented framework. This perspective is intended to support future empirical inquiry and to inform policy discussions on strengthening resilience within the banking system.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Banking Risk Management: Scope and Conceptual Foundations

Banking risk management is broadly understood as a structured process through which banks identify, assess, monitor, and control risks arising from their core intermediation activities. The literature emphasizes that banking risks encompass multiple dimensions, including credit risk, market risk, liquidity risk, and operational risk, each of which affects banks' financial performance and stability in distinct but interconnected ways (Bessis, 2022; Altunbas et al., 2022). Rather than

representing isolated exposures, these risk categories interact dynamically within banks' balance sheets and operating environments.

Recent studies highlight that banking risk management has evolved from a predominantly technical function into a broader strategic framework. This evolution reflects increasing recognition that risk management influences not only loss prevention but also decision-making quality, governance effectiveness, and long-term resilience (Godfrey et al., 2020; Eichholz et al., 2024). In this context, banking risk management is increasingly viewed as an integral component of strategic planning and organizational sustainability.

2.2.Credit, Market, Liquidity, and Operational Risk in Banking Stability

Among the various risk categories, credit risk is consistently identified as a dominant source of vulnerability in banking systems. Deterioration in credit quality, often reflected in rising non-performing loans, directly weakens asset quality, profitability, and capital adequacy, thereby undermining bank stability (Khan et al., 2020; Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2020). As a result, credit risk management occupies a central position in both academic research and regulatory frameworks.

Market risk and liquidity risk also play critical roles in shaping banking stability, particularly during periods of heightened economic uncertainty. Market volatility may rapidly erode the value of banks' trading and investment portfolios, while liquidity shortages can constrain banks' ability to meet short-term obligations. The literature suggests that these risks are closely interrelated, as adverse market movements often intensify liquidity pressures and vice versa (Aikman et al., 2021; Duffie, 2021).

Operational risk, including failures in internal processes, systems, and governance structures, has gained increasing attention in recent years. The expansion of digital banking and reliance on complex technological infrastructures have amplified operational vulnerabilities and introduced new sources of risk, such as cyber threats (Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, 2021; BIS, 2021). Studies emphasize that weaknesses in operational risk management can exacerbate other risk categories and contribute to systemic instability.

2.3. Risk Governance and Regulatory Perspectives

Risk governance constitutes a central theme in the banking risk literature, reflecting the importance of organizational structures and accountability mechanisms in shaping risk outcomes. Effective risk governance is characterized by clear allocation of responsibilities, strong board oversight, and integration of risk considerations into strategic decision-making processes (de Haan & Vlahu, 2021; Yahaya, 2024). The literature suggests that governance quality significantly influences how banks perceive, manage, and respond to risk.

Regulatory frameworks play a crucial role in shaping banking risk management practices. Post-crisis regulatory reforms have expanded supervisory expectations regarding capital adequacy, liquidity management, stress testing, and governance standards. These reforms are designed to strengthen banks' resilience and to reduce the likelihood of systemic crises (BCBS, 2021; European Central Bank, 2021). However, some studies argue that compliance-oriented approaches may lead to mechanistic risk management practices if not aligned with strategic objectives (Chhimwal, 2020; Udoh, 2024).

2.4. Integrated Risk Management and Financial Stability

An emerging stream of literature emphasizes the importance of integrated risk management approaches in banking. Integrated frameworks seek to capture interactions among different risk types and to align firm-level risk controls with financial stability objectives. Studies suggest that banks adopting integrated risk management practices are better positioned to absorb shocks and maintain operational continuity during periods of stress (Adeniran et al., 2024; Nwachukwu et al., 2021).

From a financial stability perspective, integrated risk management contributes to more effective identification of systemic vulnerabilities and transmission channels. By moving beyond silo-based approaches, banks can improve early warning capabilities and enhance coordination between risk management functions and strategic planning (Berisha et al., 2023; Louzis & Vouldis, 2021). These insights highlight the potential of integrated risk frameworks to bridge the gap between microprudential risk management and macroprudential stability objectives.

3. Methods

This study employs a qualitative research design based on a systematic literature review (SLR) to examine how regulatory compliance can be transformed into competitive advantage through strategic risk management in the banking sector. The SLR approach is selected to ensure a transparent and structured synthesis of existing knowledge, allowing for conceptual integration without relying on original empirical data. This methodological design is appropriate given the study's objective

to develop a theory-informed perspective on strategic risk management rather than to test causal relationships.

The literature review process followed three sequential stages: identification, screening, and qualitative synthesis. In the identification stage, relevant academic publications were retrieved using Google Scholar. Search queries included combinations of keywords such as “strategic risk management,” “risk and strategy,” “risk governance,” “regulatory compliance,” and “banking strategy.” To ensure theoretical rigor and contemporary relevance, the search was limited to publications released between 2020 and 2024. Special emphasis was placed on books and review-based journal articles that explicitly discuss the integration of risk considerations into strategic decision-making processes, with Godfrey et al. (2020) serving as the primary theoretical anchor.

During the screening stage, retrieved sources were evaluated using predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Peer-reviewed journal articles and academic books focusing on strategic risk management, governance, and banking regulation were included. Studies that addressed only narrow technical aspects of risk measurement or compliance procedures without linking them to strategy or governance were excluded. This screening process ensured that the final literature set directly addressed the intersection of regulatory compliance, risk governance, and strategic outcomes in banking.

The final stage involved qualitative content analysis and thematic synthesis. Each selected study was systematically reviewed and coded to identify recurring themes related to (1) the role of regulatory compliance in shaping risk management

practices, (2) the function of risk governance in strategic decision-making, and (3) the contribution of strategic risk management to organizational resilience and competitive positioning. Themes were compared across sources to identify conceptual patterns and points of convergence.

By synthesizing findings across these themes, the study develops an integrated conceptual framework that explains how compliance-driven risk management can evolve into a strategic capability. This qualitative synthesis provides a structured foundation for the subsequent discussion of theoretical and practical implications, while maintaining consistency with the conceptual and non-empirical scope of the research.

4. Results

4.1. Thematic Synthesis of Banking Risk Management and Financial Stability

Based on the qualitative Systematic Literature Review, the findings are synthesized into key conceptual themes that explain how banking risk management contributes to financial stability. Rather than reporting empirical outcomes, the results highlight recurring patterns and conceptual linkages identified across recent academic and policy-oriented studies.

The synthesis indicates that banking risk management influences financial stability through multiple interrelated channels, including asset quality preservation, liquidity resilience, governance effectiveness, and systemic risk mitigation. Table 1 summarizes the main conceptual results derived from the reviewed literature..

Table 1. Synthesized Results on Banking Risk Management and Financial Stability

No.	Synthesized Result	Conceptual Dimension	Key Supporting Literature
1	Banking risk management is a core determinant of bank resilience and financial stability	Risk management → Stability	Bessis (2022); Altunbas et al. (2022)
2	Credit risk represents the primary transmission channel through which risk affects bank stability	Credit risk → Asset quality → Stability	Khan et al. (2020); Demirgüç-Kunt et al. (2020)
3	Liquidity and market risks amplify instability during periods of stress and volatility	Liquidity & market risk interaction	Aikman et al. (2021); Duffie (2021)
4	Operational and governance failures exacerbate the impact of financial and non-financial risks	Operational risk → Governance	BCBS (2021); BIS (2021)
5	Integrated risk management enhances banks' capacity to absorb shocks	Integrated risk framework	Louzis & Vouldis (2021); Berisha et al. (2023)
6	Risk governance plays a mediating role between risk management practices and stability outcomes	Governance as mediator	de Haan & Vlahu (2021); Yahaya (2024)
7	Fragmented, single-risk approaches limit the effectiveness of banking risk management	Silo risk limitation	Altunbas et al. (2022); Aikman et al. (2021)

5. Discussion

The synthesized results confirm that banking risk management should be understood as a stability-oriented framework rather than a collection of isolated control mechanisms. The literature consistently positions risk management as a foundational element of bank resilience, shaping how institutions withstand economic shocks and maintain financial stability. This perspective reinforces the

argument that risk management is central to the functioning of modern banking systems (Bessis, 2022).

Among the identified risk categories, credit risk emerges as the most influential determinant of bank stability. Rising non-performing loans weaken asset quality, constrain liquidity, and erode capital buffers, thereby increasing banks' vulnerability to instability. This finding aligns with the broader literature emphasizing credit risk as a primary driver of banking crises and financial fragility (Khan et al., 2020; Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2020).

The discussion further highlights the amplifying role of liquidity and market risks, particularly during periods of heightened uncertainty. Adverse market movements and funding pressures often reinforce each other, accelerating stress transmission within banks and across the financial system. These dynamics underscore the importance of managing liquidity and market risks within an integrated framework rather than through silo-based approaches (Aikman et al., 2021; Duffie, 2021).

Operational risk and governance-related weaknesses also feature prominently in the reviewed literature. Failures in internal processes, systems, or oversight structures may magnify the impact of financial risks and undermine confidence in banking institutions. Recent studies emphasize that effective governance structures are essential for translating risk management policies into stable outcomes, particularly in increasingly complex and digitalized banking environments (BCBS, 2021; Yahaya, 2024).

A key contribution of the synthesized results lies in emphasizing integrated risk management as a mechanism linking firm-level practices to financial stability. Integrated frameworks enable banks to capture interactions among risk types and to align risk controls with strategic and regulatory objectives. The literature suggests that banks adopting such approaches are better positioned to absorb shocks and maintain stability under stress conditions (Berisha et al., 2023; Louzis & Vouldis, 2021).

Finally, the findings highlight persistent limitations in existing research and practice. Many studies continue to address banking risks in isolation, resulting in fragmented insights that fail to capture systemic interactions. This fragmentation limits the effectiveness of risk management in supporting financial stability and reinforces the need for conceptual integration across risk categories and governance mechanisms (Altunbas et al., 2022)..

6. Conclusion

This study provides a conceptual synthesis of banking risk management and its implications for financial stability by integrating insights from recent theoretical, review-based, and policy-oriented literature. The analysis highlights that banking risk management should not be understood merely as a set of technical controls designed to limit losses, but as a stability-oriented framework that shapes banks' resilience to economic shocks and systemic disturbances.

The findings indicate that credit risk remains the primary channel through which risk affects bank stability, as deterioration in asset quality directly undermines

liquidity, capital adequacy, and lending capacity. However, the study also demonstrates that credit risk does not operate in isolation. Liquidity risk, market risk, and operational risk interact with credit risk, amplifying instability during periods of stress and uncertainty. These interactions underscore the limitations of silo-based risk management approaches and emphasize the need for integrated risk frameworks.

Risk governance emerges as a critical mediating mechanism linking risk management practices to financial stability outcomes. Effective governance structures—characterized by clear accountability, strong board oversight, and alignment between risk management and strategic decision-making—enhance banks' ability to translate risk controls into stable performance. Conversely, weaknesses in governance may exacerbate the impact of both financial and non-financial risks, increasing vulnerability to instability.

By synthesizing fragmented strands of the literature, this study contributes conceptually to the banking risk literature by clarifying how integrated risk management frameworks support financial stability. The paper identifies a persistent gap in existing research, namely the limited conceptual integration between different risk categories and system-wide stability considerations. Addressing this gap is essential for advancing both academic understanding and regulatory practice.

From a practical perspective, the findings suggest that banks and regulators should prioritize holistic and forward-looking risk management frameworks that capture risk interdependencies and support long-term resilience. Future research may build on this conceptual foundation by empirically examining the effectiveness

of integrated risk management approaches across different banking systems or by exploring the evolving role of governance in managing emerging risks.

References

- Aikman, D., Haldane, A. G., & Nelson, B. D. (2021). Curbing the credit cycle. *The Economic Journal*, 131(634), 1670–1696.
- Altunbas, Y., Binici, M., & Gambacorta, L. (2022). Macroprudential policy and bank risk. *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 123, 102543.
- Bank for International Settlements. (2020). Stress testing: A macroprudential perspective. *BIS Quarterly Review*. Retrieved October 15, 2024, from <https://www.bis.org>
- Bank for International Settlements. (2021). Principles for financial market infrastructures. Bank for International Settlements. Retrieved November 20, 2024, from <https://www.bis.org>
- Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. (2021). Basel III: A global regulatory framework for more resilient banks and banking systems. Bank for International Settlements. Retrieved November 20, 2024, from <https://www.bis.org>
- Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. (2021). Principles for operational resilience. Bank for International Settlements. Retrieved November 20, 2024, from <https://www.bis.org>

- Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. (2022). Stress testing principles. Bank for International Settlements. Retrieved November 20, 2024, from <https://www.bis.org>
- Berger, A. N., Imbierowicz, B., & Rauch, C. (2021). The roles of corporate governance in bank failures during the recent financial crisis. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, 53(5), 1003–1034.
- Berisha, E., Gupta, J., & Meslier, C. (2023). Risk management practices and bank stability. *Journal of Financial Stability*, 66, 101090.
- Bessis, J. (2022). *Risk management in banking* (5th ed.). Wiley.
- Chhimwal, R. (2020). Strategic risk management: A conceptual framework. *Journal of Risk Management*, 7(2), 45–60.
- de Haan, J., & Vlahu, R. (2021). Corporate governance of banks: A survey. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 35(2), 470–499.
- Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Martinez Peria, M. S., & Tressel, T. (2020). The global financial crisis and banking stability. *World Bank Research Observer*, 35(2), 163–198.
- Duffie, D. (2021). Intermediation of payments. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 35(3), 47–76.
- Eichholz, R., Kok, S., & Quigley, J. M. (2024). Risk management and strategic decision-making under uncertainty. *Strategic Management Journal*, 45(1), 123–145.
- European Central Bank. (2021). Guide to internal models. European Central Bank. Retrieved November 10, 2024, from <https://www.ecb.europa.eu>

- European Central Bank. (2022). Supervisory expectations on governance and risk management. European Central Bank. Retrieved November 10, 2024, from <https://www.ecb.europa.eu>
- Godfrey, P. C., Lauria, E., Bugalla, J., & Narvaez, K. (2020). Strategic risk management: New tools for competitive advantage in an uncertain age. Berrett-Koehler.
- Gorton, G., & Metrick, A. (2020). Regulating the shadow banking system. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, Fall, 261–312.
- Khan, I., Ali, S., & Khan, A. (2020). Non-performing loans and bank stability. *Journal of Banking Regulation*, 21(3), 223–236.
- Louzis, D. P., & Vouldis, A. T. (2021). Credit risk management and financial stability. *Journal of Financial Stability*, 52, 100809.
- Nwachukwu, J. C., Bassey, B. E., & Umoh, E. A. (2021). Risk governance and banking performance. *International Journal of Finance & Economics*, 26(4), 5891–5908.
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339.
- Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review. *British Journal of Management*, 14(3), 207–222.
- Udoh, E. (2024). Risk governance and compliance in banking. *Journal of Banking Regulation*, 25(1), 89–105.
- World Bank. (2022). Global financial development report. World Bank Publications.

- World Bank. (2023). Financial consumer protection and stability. World Bank Publications. Retrieved December 1, 2024, from <https://www.worldbank.org>
- Yahaya, O. A. (2024). Risk governance and financial resilience in banking. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*, 24(2), 412–429.